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Abstract. Menon's identity is a classical identity involving gcd sums and the Euler totient function $\varphi$. A natural generalization of $\varphi$ is the Klee's function $\Phi_{s}$. We derive a Menontype identity using Klee's function and a generalization of the gcd function. This identity generalizes an identity given by Y. Li and D. Kim (2017).
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## 1. Introduction

The Euler totient function $\varphi$ appears in many interesting identities in number theory. Probably because of its applications in various branches of number theory, it has been generalized in many ways. The Jordan function $J_{s}(n)$, the von Sterneck's function $H_{s}(n)$, the Cohen's function $\varphi_{s}$ (see [2]) and the Klee's function $\Phi_{s}$ (see [8]) are some important extensions of $\varphi$ (see the definitions in the next section). All these functions share several common properties. For example, Euler totient function $\varphi$ holds a relation with the Möbius function. Similar relations are satisfied by all these generalizations. All these generalizations have a product formulae in terms of the prime factorization of their arguments. Hence, all these are multiplicative and behave similarly to $\varphi$ on prime powers.
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Note that Cohen has proved in [2] the equality of the functions $J_{s}, H_{s}$ and $\varphi_{s}$, although they are all defined differently. Klee's function $\Phi_{s}$ and Cohen's $\varphi_{s}$ are connected by the relation $\varphi_{s}(n)=\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)$. Thus, $\Phi_{s}$ seems to be a natural generalization of $\varphi$ (as for $s=1$, the former turns out to be equal to the latter).

The classical Menon's identity which originally appeared in [7] is a gcd sum turning out to be equivalent to a product of the Euler function and the number of divisors function $\tau$. If $(m, n)$ denotes the gcd of $m$ and $n$, the identity is precisely the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\(m, n)=1}}^{n}(m-1, n)=\varphi(n) \tau(n) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been generalized and extended by many authors. Many of the identities were derived using elementary number theory techniques. For example, in a recent paper (see [19]), Zhao and Kao suggested a generalization involving Dirichlet characters $\bmod n$ using elementary number theoretic methods. Their identity is

$$
\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\(m, n)=1}}^{n}(m-1, n) \chi(m)=\varphi(n) \tau\left(\frac{n}{d}\right),
$$

where $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character $\bmod n$ with conductor $d$. When one takes $\chi$ as the principal character $\bmod n$, this identity turns to be equal to the Menon's identity. After this, a similar type of identity in terms of even functions $\bmod n$ was given by Tóth, see [17]. An arithmetical function $f$ is $n$-even (or even $\bmod n$ ) if $f(r)=f((r, n))$. Tóth also used elementary number theory techniques and properties of arithmetical functions to prove his identity. Rao in [11] gave a generalization of the form

$$
\sum_{m_{i} \in U_{k}(n)}\left(m_{1}-s_{1}, m_{2}-s_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-s_{k}, n\right)^{k}=J_{k}(n) \tau(n),
$$

where $U_{k}(n)$ is the unit goup modulo $n$ and $s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. He used Cauchy composition and finite Fourier representations to establish this result.

A different approach was used by Sury in [14]. He used the method of group actions to derive the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k} \leqslant n \\\left(m_{1}, n\right)=1}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right)=\varphi(n) \sigma_{k-1}(n), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{k}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} d^{k}$. Miguel in [10] extended this identity of Sury from $\mathbb{Z}$ to any residually finite Dedekind domains. A further extension of Miguel's result was given by Li and Kim in [9], Theorem 1.1. For the case of $\mathbb{Z}$, their result reads as follows [9], Corollary 1.3:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\substack{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s} \in U\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \\
b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}}} & \left(a_{1}-1, \ldots, a_{s}-1, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}, n\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\varphi(n) \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\varphi\left(p_{i}^{k_{i}}\right)^{s-1} p_{i}^{k_{i} r}-p_{i}^{k_{i}(s+r-1)}+\sigma_{s+r-1}\left(p_{i}^{k_{i}}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $n=p_{1}^{k_{1}} p_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots p_{m}^{k_{m}}$ is the prime factorization of $n$. Note that this identity generalizes the classical Menon's identity and Menon-Sury identity.

Various other generalizations of Menon's identity were provided by many authors, see for example [3], [5], [12], [16] and the more recent papers [4] and [18].

A natural question, arising if one considers a generalization of the usual gcd function (which we define in the next section) in the place of the gcd function appearing in Menon's identity (1.1), is what could be the possible change that can happen to this identity as well as the other generalizations of it. We propose a very natural generalization of the Li-Kim identity (1.3) involving generalized gcd function and Klee's function in this paper (which in turn generalizes Menon's identity as well). We prove it using elementary number theory techniques.

## 2. Notations and basic results

Most of the notations, functions, and identities we use in this paper are standard and their definitions can be found in [1]. For a finite set $A$, by $\# A$ we mean the number of elements in $A$.

The Jordan totient function $J_{s}(n)$ defined for positive integers $s$ and $n$ gives the number of ordered sets of $s$ elements from a complete residue system $(\bmod n)$ such that the greatest common divisor of each set is prime to $n$, see [6], pages 95-97. Von Sterneck's function $H_{s}$ is defined as

$$
H_{s}(n)=\sum_{n=\left[d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right]} \varphi\left(d_{1}\right) \varphi\left(d_{2}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(d_{s}\right),
$$

where the summation ranges over all ordered sets of $s$ positive integers $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}$ with their least common multiple equal to $n$. Note that $[a, b]$ denotes the lcm of integers $a, b$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N},(m, n)$ will denote the $\operatorname{gcd}$ of $m$ and $n$. Generalizing this notion, for positive integer $s$, integers $a, b$, not both zero, the largest $l^{s}$ (where $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ) dividing both $a$ and $b$ will be denoted by $(a, b)_{s}$. Following Cohen in [2] we call this function on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ as the generalized gcd function. When $s=1$, this will be equal to the usual $\operatorname{gcd}$ function. Like the gcd function, $(a, b)_{s}=(b, a)_{s}, a \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be $s$-power free or $s$-free if no $l^{s}$, where $l \in \mathbb{N}$, divides $a$.

Cohen's function $\varphi_{s}$ is defined as follows. If $(a, b)_{s}=1, a, b$ are said to be relatively $s$-prime. The subset $N$ of a complete residue system $M\left(\bmod n^{s}\right)$ consisting of all elements of $M$ that are relatively $s$-prime to $n^{s}$ is called an s-reduced residue system $(\bmod n)$. The number of elements of an $s$-reduced residue system is denoted by $\varphi_{s}(n)$.

The functions $J_{s}(n)$ and $\varphi_{s}(n)$ are the same [2], Theorem 5, although their definitions look different.

Using the above generalization of the gcd function, for positive integers $s$ and $n$ Klee's function $\Phi_{s}(n)$ is defined to give the cardinality of the set $\{m \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$, $\left.(m, n)_{s}=1\right\}$.

Note that $\Phi_{1}=\varphi$, the usual Euler totient function on $\mathbb{N}$. Some interesting properties of $\Phi_{s}$ are the following.
(1) For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}, \Phi_{s}(n)=\sum_{d^{s} \mid n} \mu(d) n / d^{s}$.
(2) For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}, \Phi_{s}(n)=n \prod_{\substack{p^{s} \mid n \\ p \text { prime }}}\left(1-1 / p^{s}\right)$, where by convention, empty product is taken to be equal to 1 .
(3) $\Phi_{s}\left(p^{a}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}p^{a}-p^{a-s} & \text { if } a \geqslant s, \\ p^{a} & \text { otherwise, }\end{array}\right.$ where $p$ is prime and $a \in \mathbb{N}$.
(4) $\Phi_{s}(n)$ is multiplicative in $n$.
(5) $\Phi_{s}(n)$ is not completely multiplicative in $n$.
(6) If $a$ divides $b$ and $(a, b / a)=1$, then $\Phi_{s}(a)$ divides $\Phi_{s}(b)$.
(7) For a prime $p, \Phi_{s}(p)=p$. So $\Phi_{s}(n)$ need not be even, whereas $\varphi(n)$ is even for $n>2$.
(8) If $2^{s+1}$ divides $n$ or $2^{s-1} \mid n$ and $2^{s} \nmid n$, then $\Phi_{s}(n)$ is even.
(9) If $p$ is an odd prime such that $p^{s}$ divides $n$, then $\Phi_{s}(n)$ is even.
(10) If $n=2^{s} a$, where $a$ is odd and $a$ is $s$-free, then $\Phi_{s}$ is odd.

Many of the above properties are listed in [8]. The rest can be verified easily via elementary techniques.

By $\tau_{s}(n)$, where $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we mean the number of $l^{s}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$ dividing $n$. The function $\tau_{s}(n)$ is multiplicative in $n$, because for $(m, n)=1, \tau_{s}(m n)=\sum_{d^{s} \mid m n} 1=$ $\sum_{d_{1}^{s} \mid m} 1 \sum_{d_{2}^{s} \mid n} 1=\tau_{s}(m) \tau_{s}(n)$. But $\tau_{s}(n)$ is not completely multiplicative as for ex-
ample $m=p_{1}^{s} p_{2}$ and $n=p_{2}^{s-1} p_{3}^{s}$ gives $\tau_{s}(m n) \neq \tau_{s}(m) \tau_{s}(n)$. The usual sum of divisors function can be generalized as follows: for $k, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\sigma_{k, s}(n)$ to be the $k$ th power sum of the $s$ th power divisors of $n$. That is, $\sigma_{k, s}(n)=\sum_{d^{s} \mid n}\left(d^{s}\right)^{k}$. Note that $\sigma_{k, s}(n) \neq \sigma_{k s}(n)$.

The principle of cross-classification lies in counting the number of elements in certain sets. Since we use it in our proofs, we state it below.

Theorem 2.1 ([1], Theorem 5.31). If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are given subsets of a finite set $A$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left(A-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)= & \# A-\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \# A_{i}+\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} \#\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right) \\
& -\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j<k \leqslant n} \#\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j} \cap A_{k}\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{n} \#\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Main results and proofs

We state below the main results we prove in this paper and provide the proof after that.

As a consequence of the principle of cross-classification, we prove the following.
Theorem 3.1. Let $n, d, s, r \in \mathbb{N}, d^{s} \mid n$. Let $\left(r, d^{s}\right)_{s}=1$. Number of elements in $A=\left\{r+t d^{s}: t=1,2, \ldots, n / d^{s}\right\}$ such that $\left(r+t d^{s}, n\right)_{s}=1$ is $\Phi_{s}(n) / \Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)$.

Theorem 3.2 (Generalization of Li-Kim identity (1.3)). Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}$, $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left(a_{i}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1, i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k} \leqslant m^{s} \\\left(m_{1}, n^{s} s=1 \\\left(m_{2}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1 \\\left(m_{k}, n_{s}=1 \\ 1 \leqslant b_{1}=1 \\ 1 \leqslant b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r} \leqslant n^{s}\right.\right.}}\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n^{s}\right)_{s} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the Menon-Sury identity (1.2) is a special case of the above generalization with $k=1, a_{1}=1$ and $s=1$.

We proceed to prove our results. First we prove Theorem 3.1, which is essential in the proof of our generalization.

Pr o of of Theorem 3.1. This result is a generalization of Theorem 5.32 appearing in [1]. We use the same techniques used there to justify our claim.

We have to find the number of elements $r+t d^{s}$ such that $\left(n, r+t d^{s}\right)_{s}=1$. Hence, we need to remove elements from $A$ that have $\left(r+t d^{s}, n\right)_{s}>1$. If for an element $r+t d^{s}$ of $A, p^{s} \mid n$ and $p^{s} \mid r+t d^{s}$, then since $(r, n)_{s}=1, p^{s} \nmid d^{s}$. Therefore, the number we require is the number of elements in $A$ with $p^{s} \mid n$ and $p^{s} \nmid d^{s}$ for some prime $p$. Let these primes be $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}$. Write $l=p_{1}^{s} p_{2}^{s} \ldots p_{m}^{s}$. Let $A_{i}=\{x: x \in A$ and $\left.p_{i}^{s} \mid x\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. If $x \in A_{i}$ and $x=r+t d^{s}$, then $r+t d^{s} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{i}^{s}\right)$. This means that $t d^{s} \equiv-r\left(\bmod p_{i}^{s}\right)$. Since $p_{i}^{s} \nmid d^{s}\left(\right.$ which is if and only if $\left.p_{i} \nmid d\right)$, there is a unique $t \bmod p_{i}^{s}$ satisfying this congruence equation. Therefore, there exists exactly one $t$ in each of the intervals $\left[1, p_{i}^{s}\right],\left[p_{i}^{s}+1,2 p_{i}^{s}\right], \ldots,\left[(q-1) p_{i}^{s}+1, q p_{i}^{s}\right]$, where $q p_{i}^{s}=n / d^{s}$. Therefore

$$
\#\left(A_{i}\right)=q=\frac{n / d^{s}}{p_{i}^{s}}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\#\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right)=\frac{n / d^{s}}{p_{i}^{s} p_{j}^{s}}, \ldots, \#\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{m}\right)=\frac{n / d^{s}}{p_{1}^{s} p_{2}^{s} \ldots p_{m}^{s}}
$$

Hence, by cross classification principle, the number of elements we seek is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left(A-\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}\right)= & \#(A)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \#\left(A_{i}\right)+\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m} \#\left(A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right) \\
& -\ldots+(-1)^{m} \#\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \ldots \cap A_{m}\right) \\
= & \frac{n}{d^{s}}-\sum \frac{n / d^{s}}{p_{i}^{s}}+\sum \frac{n / d^{s}}{p_{i}^{s} p_{j}^{s}}+\ldots+(-1)^{m} \frac{n / d^{s}}{p_{1}^{s} p_{2}^{s} \ldots p_{m}^{s}} \\
= & \frac{n}{d^{s}}\left(1-\sum \frac{1}{p_{i}^{s}}+\sum \frac{1}{p_{i}^{s} p_{j}^{s}}+\ldots+\frac{(-1)^{m}}{p_{1}^{s} p_{2}^{s} \ldots p_{m}^{s}}\right) \\
= & \frac{n}{d^{s}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{1}^{s}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{2}^{s}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{m}^{s}}\right) \\
= & \frac{n}{d^{s}} \prod_{p^{s} \mid l}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)=\frac{n}{d^{s}} \frac{\prod_{p^{s} \mid n}\left(1-1 / p^{s}\right)}{\prod_{p^{s} \mid d^{s}}\left(1-1 / p^{s}\right)}=\frac{\Phi_{s}(n)}{\Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we prove the generalization we proposed. Here we use elementary number theoretic techniques in the proof. Li and Kim used direct computations involving Dedekind domains to derive their identity.

Pro of of Theorem 3.2. We know that [13], Section V.3, $n^{s}=\sum_{d \mid n} J_{s}(d)$ and $J_{s}(n)=\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)$. Hence,

$$
n^{s}=\sum_{d \mid n} \Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)=\sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)
$$

Now $\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n^{s}\right)_{s}$ is the $s$ th power of some natural number. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k} \leqslant n^{s}}}\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n^{s}\right)_{s} \\
& \left(m_{1}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1 \\
& \left(m_{2}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1 \\
& \underset{1 \leqslant b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r} \leqslant n^{s}}{\left(m_{k}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{m_{1}=1 \\
\left(m_{1}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1 \\
n^{s}}} \ldots \sum_{\substack{m_{k}=1 \\
\left(m_{k}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1}}^{n^{s}} \sum_{b_{1}=1}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{b_{r}=1}^{n^{s}}\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n^{s}\right)_{s} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{m_{1}=1 \\
\left(m_{1}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1}}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{\substack{m_{k}=1 \\
\left(m_{k}, n\right)_{s}=1}}^{n^{s}} \sum_{b_{1}=1}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{b_{r}=1}^{n^{s}} \sum_{d^{s} \mid\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n^{s}\right)_{s}} \Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right) \\
& =\sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right) \sum_{\substack{b_{1}=1 \\
d^{s} \mid b_{1}}}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{\substack{b_{r}=1 \\
d^{s} \mid b_{r}}}^{n^{s}} \sum_{\substack{\left.m_{1}=1 \\
m_{1}=1, n\right) s=1 \\
m_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d^{s}\right)}}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{\substack{m_{k}=1 \\
\left(m_{k}, n^{s}\right)=1 \\
m_{k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod d^{s}\right)}}^{n^{s}} 1 \\
& =\sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right) \sum_{\substack{b_{1}=1 \\
d^{s} \mid b_{1}}}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{\substack{b_{r}=1 \\
d^{s} \mid b_{r}}}^{n^{s}}\left(\frac{\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)}{\Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)}\right)^{k} \quad \text { (using Theorem 3.1) } \\
& =\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)^{k} \sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \frac{1}{\Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)^{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{b_{1}=1 \\
d^{s} \mid b_{1}}}^{n^{s}} \ldots \sum_{\substack{b_{r}=1 \\
d^{s} \mid b_{r}}}^{n^{s}} 1=\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)^{k} \sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \frac{1}{\Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)^{k-1}}\left(\frac{n^{s}}{d^{s}}\right)^{r} \\
& =\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)^{k} \sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \frac{\left(d^{s}\right)^{r}}{\Phi_{s}\left(\frac{n^{s}}{d^{s}}\right)^{k-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
We will now show that the above identity is indeed the same as Li-Kim identity (1.3) when $s=a_{i}=1$. For that we need to show that the RHS of identities (3.1) and (1.3) are equal to the LHS of our identity, which can be quickly seen to be equal to the LHS of (1.3) when $s=a_{i}=1$. To prove that the RHS are also equal, we require the following identity.

## Lemma 3.1.

$$
\sum_{d \mid p^{t}} d^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p^{t}\right)}{\varphi\left(p^{t} / d\right)}\right)^{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} p^{j(k+r)}+p^{t(k+r)}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{k}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d \mid p^{t}} d^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p^{t}\right)}{\varphi\left(p^{t} / d\right)}\right)^{k} & =\sum_{j=0}^{t}\left(p^{j}\right)^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p^{t}\right)}{\varphi\left(p^{t-j}\right)}\right)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} p^{j r}\left(\frac{p^{t}(1-1 / p)}{p^{t-j}(1-1 / p)}\right)^{k}+p^{t r} p^{t k}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} p^{j(k+r)}+p^{t(k+r)}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we show what we claimed, that is

$$
\sum_{d \mid n} d^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(n / d)}\right)^{k-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(\varphi\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}}\right)^{k-1} p_{i}^{t_{i} r}-p_{i}^{t_{i}(k+r-1)}+\sigma_{k+r-1}\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

where $n=p_{1}^{t_{1}} p_{2}^{t_{2}} \ldots p_{q}^{t_{q}}$.
Starting from the RHS, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(\varphi\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}}\right)^{k-1} p_{i}^{t_{i} r}-p_{i}^{t_{i}(k+r-1)}+\sigma_{k+r-1}\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}(k-1)}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)^{k-1} p_{i}^{t_{i} r}-p_{i}^{t_{i}(k+r-1)}+1+p_{i}^{k+r-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+p_{i}^{2(k+r-1)}+\ldots+p_{i}^{\left(t_{i}-1\right)(k+r-1)}+p_{i}^{t_{i}(k+r-1)}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(1+p_{i}^{k+r-1}+p_{i}^{2(k+r-1)}+\ldots+p_{i}^{\left(t_{i}-1\right)(k+r-1)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+p_{i}^{t_{i}(k+r-1)}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)^{k-1}\right)
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& =\prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{t_{i}-1} p_{i}^{j(k+r-1)}+p_{i}^{t_{i}(k+r-1)}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)^{k-1}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{d_{i} \mid p_{i}^{t_{i}}} d_{i}^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}}\right)}{\varphi\left(p_{i}^{t_{i}} / d_{i}\right)}\right)^{k-1} \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{d_{1} \mid p_{1}^{t_{1}}} d_{1}^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p_{1}^{t_{1}}\right)}{\varphi\left(p_{1}^{t_{1}} / d_{1}\right)}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{d_{2} \mid p_{2}^{t_{2}}} d_{2}^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p_{2}^{t_{2}}\right)}{\varphi\left(p_{2}^{t_{2}} / d_{2}\right)}\right)^{k-1} \ldots \sum_{d_{q} \mid p_{q}^{t_{q}}} d_{q}^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p_{q}^{t_{q}}\right)}{\varphi\left(p_{q}^{t_{q}} / d_{q}\right)}\right)^{k-1} \\
& =\sum_{d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{q} \mid p_{1}^{t_{1}} p_{2}^{t_{2}} \ldots p_{q}^{t_{q}}}\left(d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{q}\right)^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(p_{1}^{t_{1}} p_{2}^{t_{2}} \ldots p_{q}^{t_{q}}\right)}{\varphi\left(p_{1}^{t_{1}} p_{2}^{t_{2}} \ldots p_{q}^{t_{q}} / d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{q}\right)}\right)^{k-1} \\
& =\sum_{d \mid n} d^{r}\left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{\varphi(n / d)}\right)^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get the Li-Kim identity (1.3) as a special case of our identity (3.1) when $s=1$ and $a_{i}=1$. Hence, our identity also gives a generalization of the Menon-Sury identity which in turn is a generalization of Menon's identity.

The following identities can be easily deduced from our result by giving special values to $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ and may be of independent interest. Note that the first one gives another generalization of the Li-Kim identity and it involves the usual gcd function.

## Corollary 3.1.

(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k} \leqslant n \\
\left(m_{1}, n\right)=1 \\
\left(m_{2}, n\right)=1 \\
\ldots \\
\left(m_{k}, n\right)=1 \\
1 \leqslant b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r} \leqslant n}}\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{r}, n\right) \\
& =\varphi(n)^{k} \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{(d)^{r}}{\varphi(n / d)^{k-1}}, \\
& \text { (2) } \sum_{1 \leqslant m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k} \leqslant n^{s}}\left(m_{1}-a_{1}, m_{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}-a_{k}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right)^{k} \sum_{d^{s} \mid n^{s}} \frac{1}{\Phi_{s}\left(d^{s}\right)^{k-1}} \text {, } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left(m_{1}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1 \\
\left(m_{2}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1
\end{array} \\
& \left(m_{2}, n\right)_{s}=1 \\
& \left(m_{k}, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1 \\
& \text { (3) } \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
\left(m, n^{s}\right)_{s}=1}}^{n^{s}}\left(m-1, n^{s}\right)_{s}=\Phi_{s}\left(n^{s}\right) \tau_{s}\left(n^{s}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. An alternating way of defining $\Phi_{s}$ and extending it further

In [15] Tărnăuceanu suggested a new generalization of $\varphi$ using elementary concepts in group theory. His generalization was based on the following idea. An element $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is a generator of the group $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$ if and only if $(m, n)=1$, which is if and only if $o(m)=n=\exp \left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$, where $o(m)$ is the order of the element $m$ and $\exp \left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ is the exponent of the group $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n},+\right)$. Thus, $\varphi(n)$ is the number of elements of order $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. That is, $\varphi(n)=\#\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}: o(m)=\exp \left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)\right\}$. Tărnăuceanu extended $\varphi$ to an arbitrary finite group $G$ by defining $\varphi(G)=\#\{m \in G: o(m)=\exp (G)\}$.

We may adapt this technique for defining the generalization $\Phi_{s}$ as follows. An $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be counted in $\Phi_{s}(n)$ if and only if $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n$ and $(m, n)_{s}=1$. Now $o(m)=n /(m, n)$, and $(m, n)_{s}=1$ if and only if $m$ and $n$ do not share any prime factor with power greater than or equal to $s$. That is, $(m, n)=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{a_{r}}$ with $0 \leqslant a_{i}<s$. Here $p_{i}$ are prime divisors of $n$. Therefore

$$
o(m)=\frac{n}{(m, n)}=\frac{n}{p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{a_{r}}}, \quad 0 \leqslant a_{i}<s .
$$

By using this fact, we may observe that

$$
\Phi_{s}(n)=\#\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}: o(m)=\frac{n}{p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{a_{r}}}, p_{i}^{a_{i}} \mid n \text { and } 0 \leqslant a_{i}<s, i=1,2, \ldots, r\right\} .
$$

Now the extension of $\Phi_{s}$ can be defined as follows. For any arbitrary finite group $G$, define

$$
\Phi_{s}(G)=\#\left\{a \in G: o(a)=\frac{\exp (G)}{p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{r}^{a_{r}}}, p_{i}^{a_{i}} \mid \exp (G) \text { and } 0 \leqslant a_{i}<s, i=1,2, \ldots r\right\} .
$$

With this definition we have the following quick observations. For any finite cyclic group $G, \Phi_{s}(G)=\Phi_{s}(\# G)$. For relatively prime integers $m$ and $n$, we have $\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m n}\right)=\Phi_{s}(m n)$. For $s$-free integers $m$ and $n, \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=$ $\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$. The last statement follows because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=\# & \left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}: o(a)=\frac{\exp \left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)}{p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{a_{k}}},\right. \\
& \left.p_{i}^{a_{i}} \mid \exp \left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right) \text { and } 0 \leqslant a_{i}<s, i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\} \\
=\# & \left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}: o(a)=\frac{\operatorname{lcm}(m, n)}{p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{k}^{a_{k}}}\right. \\
& \left.p_{i}^{a_{i}} \mid \operatorname{lcm}(m, n) \text { and } 0 \leqslant a_{i}<s, i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $m$ and $n$ are $s$-free, then $\operatorname{lcm}(m, n)$ is also an $s$-free integer. We have $\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)=\#\left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}: o(a)=d\right.$, where $\left.d \mid \operatorname{lcm}(m, n)\right\}=$
$m n=\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}\right) \times \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$. It is not very difficult to deduce the following general statement. For $s$-free integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}, \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_{k}}\right)=$ $\Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m_{1}}\right) \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m_{2}}\right) \ldots \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m_{k}}\right)$.

## 5. FURTHER DIRECTIONS

Since we feel that this is the first time Menon's identity is revisited through the generalized gcd concept, it would be interesting to see what possible results can be obtained if one tries to apply our techniques to other generalizations of the identity. We note that we have investigated how does the identity of Zhao and Cao in [19] change if one uses the generalized gcd, $\Phi_{s}$ and $\tau_{s}$ in a recent (unpublished) work. We expect our generalization to have interesting consequences in group theory considering the definition of $\Phi_{s}$ we gave in the previous section.
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