

PAIRS OF SQUARE-FREE VALUES OF THE TYPE  $n^2 + 1$ ,  $n^2 + 2$ 

STOYAN DIMITROV, Sofia

Received April 26, 2020. Published online June 17, 2021.

*Cordially dedicated to Professor Ivan Trendafilov  
on the occasion of his 71th birthday*

*Abstract.* We show that there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of the form  $n^2 + 1$ ,  $n^2 + 2$ . We also establish an asymptotic formula for the number of such square-free pairs when  $n$  does not exceed given sufficiently large positive number.

*Keywords:* square-free number; asymptotic formula; Kloosterman sum

*MSC 2020:* 11L05, 11N25, 11N37

## 1. NOTATIONS

Let  $X$  be a sufficiently large positive number. By  $\varepsilon$  we denote an arbitrary small positive number, not necessarily the same, in different occurrences. As usual,  $\mu(n)$  is Möbius' function and  $\tau(n)$  denotes the number of positive divisors of  $n$ . Further,  $[t]$  and  $\{t\}$  denote the integer part and the fractional part of  $t$ , respectively. We shall use the convention that a congruence  $m \equiv n \pmod{d}$  will be written as  $m \equiv n(d)$ . As usual,  $(m, n)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$ . The letter  $p$  will always denote prime number. We put

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(t) = \{t\} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Moreover  $e(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$ . For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we write  $x \equiv y(1)$  when  $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $n$  and  $q$  such that  $(n, q) = 1$  we denote by  $\bar{n}_q$  the inverse of  $n$  modulo  $q$ . The number of distinct prime factors of a natural number  $n$  we denote by  $\omega(n)$ . For any odd prime number  $p$  we denote by  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$  the Legendre symbol. By  $K(r, h)$  we shall

denote the incomplete Kloosterman sum

$$(1.2) \quad K(r, h) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq x \leq \beta \\ (x, r) = 1}} e\left(\frac{h\bar{x}|r|}{r}\right),$$

where

$$h, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad hr \neq 0, \quad 0 < \beta - \alpha \leq 2|r|.$$

## 2. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

In 1931 Estermann in [6] proved that there exist infinitely many square-free numbers of the form  $n^2 + 1$ . More precisely, he proved that for  $X \geq 2$  the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \mu^2(n^2 + 1) = c_0 X + \mathcal{O}(X^{2/3} \log X)$$

holds. Here

$$c_0 = \prod_{p \equiv 1(4)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right).$$

Afterwards, Heath-Brown in [8] used a variant of the determinant method and improved the remainder term in the formula of Estermann with  $\mathcal{O}(X^{7/12+\varepsilon})$ .

On the other hand, in 1932 Carlitz in [1] showed that there exist infinitely many pairs of consecutive square-free numbers. More precisely, he proved the asymptotic formula

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq X} \mu^2(n)\mu^2(n+1) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right) X + \mathcal{O}(X^{\theta+\varepsilon}),$$

where  $\theta = 2/3$ . Formula (2.1) was sharpened by Heath-Brown (see [7]) to  $\theta = \frac{7}{11}$  and by Reuss (see [10]) to  $\theta = \frac{1}{81}(26 + \sqrt{433})$ .

The existence of infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of a special form was demonstrated by the author in [2], [3], [4], [5]. In particular, in [5] he proved that there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of the form  $x^2 + y^2 + 1$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 + 2$ . While in [5] the main role was played by the properties of Gauss sums, in this paper we use a surjective correspondence between the number of representations of numbers by binary quadratic form and the incongruent solutions of quadratic congruence.

Define

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(X) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \mu^2(n^2 + 1) \mu^2(n^2 + 2),$$

$$(2.3) \quad S(q_1, q_2) = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq n \leq q_1 q_2, n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(q_1), n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(q_2)\}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda(q_1, q_2) = \sum_{n \in S(q_1, q_2)} 1.$$

We establish our result by combining the tasks of Estermann and Carlitz. Thus, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For the sum  $\Gamma(X)$  defined by (2.2), the asymptotic formula*

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma(X) = \sigma X + \mathcal{O}(X^{8/9+\varepsilon})$$

holds. Here

$$(2.6) \quad \sigma = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{(-1/p) + (-2/p) + 2}{p^2}\right).$$

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of the form  $n^2 + 1$ ,  $n^2 + 2$ , where  $n$  runs over naturals.

### 3. LEMMAS

The first lemma we need gives us important expansions.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $M \geq 2$  we have*

$$\psi(t) = - \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M} \frac{e(mt)}{2\pi i m} + \mathcal{O}(f_M(t)),$$

where  $f_M(t)$  is a positive function of  $t$  which is infinitely many times differentiable and periodic with period 1. It can be expanded into the Fourier series

$$f_M(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_M(m) e(mt)$$

with coefficients  $b_M(m)$  such that

$$b_M(m) \ll \frac{\log M}{M} \quad \forall m$$

and

$$\sum_{|m| > M^{1+\varepsilon}} |b_M(m)| \ll M^{-A}.$$

Here  $A > 0$  is arbitrarily large and the constant in the  $\ll$  symbol depends on  $A$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* See [11], Theorem 1. □

The next lemma we need is well-known.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(A, B) = 1$ . Then*

$$\frac{\bar{A}_{|B|}}{B} + \frac{\bar{B}_{|A|}}{A} \equiv \frac{1}{AB}(1).$$

*Proof.* See [12], Lemma 17.5.1. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *For the sum denoted by (1.2) the estimate*

$$K(r, h) \ll |r|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (r, h)^{1/2}$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Follows easily from Weil's estimate for the Kloosterman sum. See [9], Chapter 11, Corollary 11.12. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $n \geq 5$ . There exists a surjective function from the solution set of the equation*

$$(3.1) \quad x^2 + 2y^2 = n, \quad (x, y) = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

*to the incongruent solutions modulo  $n$  of the congruence*

$$(3.2) \quad z^2 + 2 \equiv 0(n).$$

*Proof.* Let  $F$  denote the set of ordered pairs  $(x, y)$  satisfying (3.1) and  $E$  denote the set of solutions of the congruence (3.2). We consider each residue class modulo  $n$  with representatives satisfying (3.2) as one solution of (3.2).

Let  $(x, y) \in F$ . From (3.1) it follows that  $(n, y) = 1$ . Therefore, there exists a unique residue class  $z$  modulo  $n$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad zy \equiv x(n).$$

For this class we have

$$(z^2 + 2)y^2 \equiv (zy)^2 + 2y^2 \equiv x^2 + 2y^2 \equiv 0(n).$$

From the last congruence and  $(n, y) = 1$  we deduce  $z^2 + 2 \equiv 0(n)$  which means that  $z \in E$ . We define the map

$$(3.4) \quad \beta: F \rightarrow E$$

that associates to each pair  $(x, y) \in F$  the residue class  $z = x\bar{y}_n$  satisfying (3.3).

We shall prove that the map (3.4) is a surjection. Let  $z \in E$ . From Dirichlet's approximation theorem it follows that there exist integers  $a$  and  $q$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \frac{z}{n} - \frac{a}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q\sqrt{n}}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \sqrt{n}, \quad (a, q) = 1.$$

Replace

$$(3.6) \quad r = zq - an.$$

Hence

$$(3.7) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 = z^2q^2 - 2zqan + a^2n^2 + 2q^2 \equiv (z^2 + 2)q^2(n).$$

From (3.2) and (3.7) it follows

$$(3.8) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 \equiv 0(n).$$

By (3.5) and (3.6) we deduce

$$(3.9) \quad |r| < \sqrt{n}.$$

Using (3.5) and (3.9) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad 0 < r^2 + 2q^2 < 3n.$$

Bearing in mind (3.8) and (3.10) we conclude that  $r^2 + 2q^2 = n$  or  $r^2 + 2q^2 = 2n$ . Consider two cases.

*Case 1:*

$$(3.11) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 = n.$$

From (3.6) and (3.11) we get

$$n = (zq - an)^2 + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - (zq - an)an + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - ran + 2q^2$$

and therefore

$$(3.12) \quad ra + 1 = kq,$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad k = \frac{z^2 + 2}{n}q - az.$$

By (3.2) and (3.13) it follows that  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and taking into account (3.12) we deduce

$$(3.14) \quad (r, q) = 1.$$

Using (3.11), (3.14) and  $n \geq 5$  we establish that  $r \neq 0$ .

Consider first  $r > 0$ . Replace

$$(3.15) \quad x = r, \quad y = q.$$

From (3.11), (3.14) and (3.15) it follows that  $(x, y) \in F$ . Also (3.6) and (3.15) give us (3.3). Consequently  $\beta(x, y) = z$ .

Next we consider  $r < 0$ . Put

$$(3.16) \quad x = -r, \quad y = -q.$$

Again (3.11), (3.14) and (3.16) lead to  $(x, y) \in F$ . As well from (3.6) and (3.16) follows (3.3). Therefore  $\beta(x, y) = z$ .

*Case 2:*

$$(3.17) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 = 2n.$$

From (3.6) and (3.17) we find

$$2n = (zq - an)^2 + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - (zq - an)an + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - ran + 2q^2$$

and thus

$$(3.18) \quad ra + 2 = kq,$$

where  $k$  is defined by (3.13). From (3.18) we conclude

$$(3.19) \quad (r, q) \leq 2.$$

By (3.17), (3.19) and  $n \geq 5$  we deduce that  $r \neq 0$ .

On the other hand, from (3.17) it follows that  $r$  is even. We replace  $r = 2r_0$  in (3.17) and obtain

$$(3.20) \quad q^2 + 2r_0^2 = n.$$

We shall verify that

$$(3.21) \quad (r_0, q) = 1.$$

If we assume that  $(r_0, q) > 1$ , then (3.19) gives us

$$(3.22) \quad (r_0, q) = 2.$$

From (3.20) and (3.22) it follows

$$(3.23) \quad n \equiv 0(4).$$

Finally (3.2) and (3.23) imply

$$z^2 + 2 \equiv 0(4),$$

which is impossible. This proves (3.21).

No matter whether  $r$  is positive or negative we replace

$$(3.24) \quad x = q, \quad y = -r_0.$$

Using (3.20), (3.21) and (3.24) we deduce that  $(x, y) \in F$ .

By (3.6) and (3.24) we get

$$(3.25) \quad 2(z y - x) = -2(z r_0 + q) = -z r - 2q = -(z^2 + 2)q + z a n.$$

From (3.2) and (3.25) we conclude

$$(3.26) \quad 2(z y - x) \equiv 0(n).$$

If  $n$  is odd, then (3.26) gives us (3.3). Consequently  $\beta(x, y) = z$ .

Let  $n$  be even. Since (3.23) is impossible,

$$(3.27) \quad n = 2n_0, \quad n_0 \text{ is odd.}$$

By (3.20) and (3.27) it follows

$$(3.28) \quad q \equiv 0(2),$$

i.e.,  $q$  is even.

On the other hand, (3.2) and (3.27) imply that

$$(3.29) \quad z \equiv 0(2),$$

i.e.,  $z$  is even.

Now (3.24), (3.28) and (3.29) give us

$$(3.30) \quad zy - x \equiv 0(2),$$

i.e.,  $zy - x$  is even.

Finally from (3.26), (3.27) and (3.30) we obtain (3.3). Therefore  $\beta(x, y) = z$ .

The lemma is proved. □

#### 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Using (2.2) and the well-known identity  $\mu^2(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu(d)$  we get

$$(4.1) \quad \Gamma(X) = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \mu(d_1)\mu(d_2) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq X \\ n^2+1 \equiv 0(d_1^2) \\ n^2+2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1 = \Gamma_1(X) + \Gamma_2(X),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \Gamma_1(X) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 \leq z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\Sigma(X, d_1^2, d_2^2),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \Gamma_2(X) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\Sigma(X, d_1^2, d_2^2),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \Sigma(X, d_1^2, d_2^2) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq X \\ n^2+1 \equiv 0(d_1^2) \\ n^2+2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sqrt{X} \leq z < X,$$

where  $z$  is to be chosen later.



**4.1. Estimation of  $\Gamma_1(X)$ .** Suppose that  $q_1 = d_1^2$ ,  $q_2 = d_2^2$ , where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are square-free,  $(q_1, q_2) = 1$  and  $d_1 d_2 \leq z$ .

Denote

$$(4.6) \quad \Omega(X, q_1, q_2, n) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq X \\ m \equiv n \pmod{q_1 q_2}}} 1.$$

Using (2.3), (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain upon partitioning sum (4.4) into residue classes modulo  $q_1 q_2$

$$(4.7) \quad \Sigma(X, q_1, q_2) = \sum_{n \in S(q_1, q_2)} \Omega(X, q_1, q_2, n).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(4.8) \quad \Omega(X, q_1, q_2, n) = \frac{X}{q_1 q_2} + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

From (2.4), (4.7) and (4.8) we find

$$(4.9) \quad \Sigma(X, q_1, q_2) = X \frac{\lambda(q_1, q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda(q_1, q_2)).$$

Taking into account (2.3), (2.4), Chinese remainder theorem and that the number of solutions of the congruence  $n^2 \equiv a(q_1 q_2)$  is less than or equal to  $\tau(q_1 q_2)$ , we get

$$(4.10) \quad \lambda(q_1, q_2) \ll \tau(q_1 q_2).$$

From (4.9), (4.10) and the inequalities

$$\tau(q_1 q_2) \ll (q_1 q_2)^\varepsilon \ll X^\varepsilon$$

it follows

$$(4.11) \quad \Sigma(X, q_1, q_2) = X \frac{\lambda(q_1, q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + \mathcal{O}(X^\varepsilon).$$

Bearing in mind (4.2), (4.5) and (4.11) we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(X) &= X \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 \leq z \\ (d_1, d_2) = 1}} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) \lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(z X^\varepsilon) \\ &= \sigma X - X \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2) = 1}} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) \lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(z X^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.13) \quad \sigma = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2=1 \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2}.$$

Using (4.10) we find

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2} \ll \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \frac{(d_1 d_2)^\varepsilon}{(d_1 d_2)^2} \ll \sum_{n > z} \frac{\tau(n)}{n^{2-\varepsilon}} \ll z^{\varepsilon-1}.$$

It remains to see that product (2.6) and sum (4.13) coincide. From definition (2.4) it follows that the function  $\lambda(q_1, q_2)$  is multiplicative, i.e. if

$$(q_1 q_2, q_3 q_4) = (q_1, q_2) = (q_3, q_4) = 1,$$

then

$$(4.15) \quad \lambda(q_1 q_2, q_3 q_4) = \lambda(q_1, q_3)\lambda(q_2, q_4).$$

The proof is elementary and we leave it to the reader.

From property (4.15) and  $(d_1, d_2) = 1$  it follows

$$(4.16) \quad \lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2) = \lambda(d_1^2, 1)\lambda(1, d_2^2).$$

Bearing in mind (4.13) and (4.16) we get

$$(4.17) \quad \sigma = \sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \sum_{d_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2),$$

where

$$f_{d_1}(d_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (d_1, d_2) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } (d_1, d_2) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly the function

$$\frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2)$$

is multiplicative with respect to  $d_2$  and the series

$$\sum_{d_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2)$$

is absolutely convergent.

Applying the Euler product we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_{d_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2) = \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \\ = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

From (4.17) and (4.18) it follows

$$(4.19) \quad \sigma = \sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1} \\ = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Obviously the function

$$\frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}$$

is multiplicative with respect to  $d_1$  and the series

$$\sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}$$

is absolutely convergent.

Applying again the Euler product from (2.4) and (4.19) we find

$$(4.20) \quad \sigma = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^2, 1)}{p^2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^2, 1) + \lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{(-1/p) + (-2/p) + 2}{p^2}\right).$$

Bearing in mind (4.5), (4.12), (4.14) and (4.20) we get

$$(4.21) \quad \Gamma_1(X) = \sigma X + \mathcal{O}(zX^\varepsilon),$$

where  $\sigma$  is given by product (2.6).

**4.2. Estimation of  $\Gamma_2(X)$ .** Using (4.3), (4.4) and splitting the range of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  into dyadic subintervals of the form  $D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1$ ,  $D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2$  we write

$$(4.22) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll (\log X)^2 \sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1 \\ n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d_1^2)}} \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2 \\ n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1,$$

where

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq D_1, \quad D_2 \leq \sqrt{X^2 + 2}, \quad D_1 D_2 > \frac{z}{4}.$$

On the one hand, (4.22) gives us

$$(4.24) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll X^\varepsilon \Sigma_1,$$

where

$$(4.25) \quad \Sigma_1 = \sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1 \\ n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d_1^2)}} 1.$$

On the other hand, (4.22) implies

$$(4.26) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll X^\varepsilon \Sigma_2,$$

where

$$(4.27) \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2 \\ n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1.$$

**Estimation of  $\Sigma_1$ .** Define

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{N}_1(d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq n \leq d, n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d)\},$$

$$(4.29) \quad \mathcal{N}'_1(d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq n \leq d^2, n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d^2)\}.$$

By (4.25) and (4.29) we obtain

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \sum_{\substack{m \leq X \\ m \equiv n(d_1^2)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left( \left[ \frac{X-n}{d_1^2} \right] - \left[ \frac{-n}{d_1^2} \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left( \frac{X}{d_1^2} + \psi\left(\frac{-n}{d_1^2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2}\right) \right) \\ &\ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_1^{-1} + |\Sigma'_1| + |\Sigma''_1|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.31) \quad \Sigma'_1 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{-n}{d_1^2}\right),$$

$$(4.32) \quad \Sigma''_1 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2}\right)$$

and  $\psi(t)$  is defined by (1.1).

Firstly, we consider the sum  $\Sigma'$ . We note that the sum over  $n$  in (4.31) does not contain terms with  $n = \frac{1}{2}d_1^2$  and  $n = d_1^2$ . Moreover, for any  $n$  satisfying the congruences  $n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d_1^2)$  and such that  $1 \leq n < \frac{1}{2}d_1^2$ , the number  $d_1^2 - n$  satisfies the same congruence and we have,

$$\psi\left(\frac{-n}{d_1^2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{-(d_1^2 - n)}{d_1^2}\right) = 0.$$

Bearing in mind these arguments for the sum  $\Sigma'$  denoted by (4.31) we have that

$$(4.33) \quad \Sigma' = 0.$$

Next, we consider the sum  $\Sigma''$  denoted by (4.32). Let  $D_1 \leq X^{1/2}$ . The trivial estimation gives us

$$(4.34) \quad \Sigma''_1 \ll \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} d_1^\varepsilon \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Let

$$(4.35) \quad D_1 > X^{1/2}.$$

From the theory of the quadratic congruences we know that when  $\#\mathcal{N}'_1(d) \neq 0$ , then  $d$  is odd and

$$(4.36) \quad \#\mathcal{N}_1(d) = \#\mathcal{N}'_1(d) = 2^{\omega(d)}.$$

Denote

$$(4.37) \quad k = 2^{\omega(d)},$$

$$(4.38) \quad n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathcal{N}_1(d), \quad n'_1, \dots, n'_k \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d).$$

From (4.28), (4.29), (4.35)–(4.38) and  $d \geq D_1 > X^{1/2}$  it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.39) \quad & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{n'_1 + \dots + n'_{k/2} + (d_1^2 - n'_1) + \dots + (d_1^2 - n'_{k/2})}{d_1^2} \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_{k/2} + (d_1 - n_1) + \dots + (d_1 - n_{k/2})}{d_1} \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \frac{n}{d_1} \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{\sqrt{X}}{d_1}\right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{\sqrt{X}}{d_1}\right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.32), (4.35) and (4.39) we obtain

$$(4.40) \quad \Sigma''_1 \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} + |\Sigma_3|,$$

where

$$(4.41) \quad \Sigma_3 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1}\right).$$

Using (4.41) and Lemma 3.1 with

$$(4.42) \quad M_1 = X^{1/2}$$

we find

$$\Sigma_3 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left( - \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_1} \frac{e(m(\sqrt{X}-n)/d_1)}{2\pi im} + \mathcal{O}\left(f_{M_1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1}\right)\right) \right).$$

Arguing as in [12], Theorem 17.1.1 we deduce

$$(4.43) \quad \Sigma_3 \ll X^\varepsilon (D_1 M_1^{-1} + D_1^{3/4} + X^{1/2} M_1 D_1^{-1/4}).$$

Bearing in mind (4.30), (4.33), (4.34), (4.40), (4.42) and (4.43) we get

$$(4.44) \quad \Sigma_1 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_1^{-1/4}.$$

**Estimation of  $\Sigma_2$ .** Our argument is a modification of Tolev (see [12], Theorem 17.1.1) argument.

Define

$$(4.45) \quad \mathcal{N}_2(d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq n \leq d, n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(d)\}.$$

Working as in  $\Sigma_1$ , from (4.27) and (4.45) we find

$$(4.46) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_2^{-1}$$

for  $D_2 \leq X^{1/2}$  and

$$(4.47) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} + |\Sigma_4|$$

for

$$(4.48) \quad D_2 > X^{1/2},$$

where

$$(4.49) \quad \Sigma_4 = \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2}\right).$$

From (4.49) and Lemma 3.1 with

$$(4.50) \quad M_2 = X^{1/2}$$

we obtain

$$(4.51) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_4 &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} \left( - \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_2} \frac{e(m((\sqrt{X} - n)/d_2))}{2\pi i m} + \mathcal{O}\left(f_{M_2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2}\right)\right) \right) \\ &= \Sigma_5 + \Sigma_6, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.52) \quad \Sigma_5 = \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_2} \frac{\Theta_m}{2\pi i m},$$

$$(4.53) \quad \Theta_m = \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}m}{d_2}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} e\left(-\frac{nm}{d_2}\right),$$

$$(4.54) \quad \Sigma_6 = \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} f_{M_2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2}\right).$$

By (4.53), (4.54) and Lemma 3.1 it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.55) \quad \Sigma_6 &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{M_2}(m) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2} m\right) \\
&= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{M_2}(m) \Theta_m \\
&\ll \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} |\Theta_0| + \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |\Theta_m| + \sum_{|m| > M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |b_{M_2}(m)| |\Theta_m| \\
&\ll \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} D_2^{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |\Theta_m| + D_2^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{|m| > M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |b_{M_2}(m)| \\
&\ll \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} D_2^{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |\Theta_m|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.51), (4.52) and (4.55) we get

$$(4.56) \quad \Sigma_4 \ll X^\varepsilon \left( \frac{D_2}{M_2} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} \frac{|\Theta_m|}{m} \right).$$

Define

$$(4.57) \quad \mathcal{F}(d) = \{(u, v) : u^2 + 2v^2 = d, (u, v) = 1, u \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

According to Lemma 3.4 there exists a surjection

$$\beta : \mathcal{F}(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(d)$$

from  $\mathcal{F}(d)$  to  $\mathcal{N}_2(d)$  defined by (4.45) that associates to each couple  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{F}(d)$  the element  $n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d)$  satisfying

$$(4.58) \quad nv \equiv u(d).$$

Consequently, there exists a subset  $\mathcal{F}_0(d) \subset \mathcal{F}(d)$  such that the restriction

$$\beta|_{\mathcal{F}_0(d)} : \mathcal{F}_0(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(d)$$

of  $\beta$  to  $\mathcal{F}_0(d)$  is bijection.

Let  $\beta|_{\mathcal{F}_0(d)}(u, v) = n_{u,v}$ . Now (4.58) gives us

$$n_{u,v} \equiv u\bar{v}_d(d)$$



and therefore

$$(4.59) \quad \frac{n_{u,v}}{d} \equiv u \frac{\bar{v}u^2+2v^2}{u^2+2v^2} (1).$$

Bearing in mind (4.59) and Lemma 3.2 we deduce

$$(4.60) \quad \frac{n_{u,v}}{d} \equiv \frac{u}{v(u^2+2v^2)} - \frac{\bar{u}|v|}{v} (1),$$

$$(4.61) \quad \frac{n_{u,v}}{d} \equiv -\frac{2v}{u(u^2+2v^2)} + \frac{\bar{v}u}{u} (1).$$

From (4.53), (4.60) and (4.61) we find

$$(4.62) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_m &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{d_2}\right) \sum_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{F}_0(d_2)} e\left(-\frac{n_{u,v}}{d_2} m\right) \\ &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{d_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{(u,v) \in \mathcal{F}_0(d_2) \\ 0 < u < |v|}} e\left(-\frac{mu}{v(u^2+2v^2)} + \frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{d_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{(u,v) \in \mathcal{F}_0(d_2) \\ 0 < |v| < u}} e\left(\frac{2mv}{u(u^2+2v^2)} - \frac{m\bar{v}u}{u}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq u^2+2v^2 < 2D_2 \\ 0 < u < |v| \\ (u,v)=1}} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{u^2+2v^2} - \frac{mu}{v(u^2+2v^2)} + \frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq u^2+2v^2 < 2D_2 \\ 0 < |v| < u \\ (u,v)=1}} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{u^2+2v^2} + \frac{2mv}{u(u^2+2v^2)} - \frac{m\bar{v}u}{u}\right) \\ &= \Theta'_m + \Theta''_m. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider  $\Theta'_m$ . Denote

$$(4.63) \quad f(u) = e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{u^2+2v^2} - \frac{mu}{v(u^2+2v^2)}\right),$$

$$(4.64) \quad \eta_1(v) = \sqrt{\max(0, D_2 - 2v^2)}, \quad \eta_2(v) = \sqrt{\min(v^2, 2D_2 - 2v^2)},$$

$$(4.65) \quad K_{v,m}(t) = \sum_{\substack{\eta_1(v) \leq u \leq t \\ (u,v)=1}} e\left(\frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right).$$

Using (4.62)–(4.65) and Abel’s summation formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.66) \quad \Theta'_m &= \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \sum_{\substack{\eta_1(v) \leq u \leq \eta_2(v) \\ (u,v)=1}} f(u) e\left(\frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \left( f(\eta_2(v)) K_{v,m}(\eta_2(v)) - \int_{\eta_1(v)}^{\eta_2(v)} K_{v,m}(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} f(t)\right) dt \right) \\
&\ll \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \left(1 + \frac{m\sqrt{X}}{v^2}\right) \max_{\eta_1(v) \leq t \leq \eta_2(v)} |K_{v,m}(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

We are now in a good position to apply Lemma 3.3 because the sum defined by (4.65) is incomplete Kloosterman sum. Thus,

$$(4.67) \quad K_{v,m}(t) \ll |v|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (v, m)^{1/2}.$$

By (4.66) and (4.67) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.68) \quad \Theta'_m &\ll \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \left(1 + \frac{m\sqrt{X}}{v^2}\right) |v|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (v, m)^{1/2} \\
&\ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{1/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-3/4}) \sum_{0 < v < \sqrt{D_2}} (v, m)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.69) \quad \sum_{0 < v < \sqrt{D_2}} (v, m)^{1/2} &\leq \sum_{l|m} l^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{v \leq \sqrt{D_2} \\ v \equiv 0(l)}} 1 \\
&\ll D_2^{1/2} \sum_{l|m} l^{-1/2} \ll D_2^{1/2} \tau(m) \ll X^\varepsilon D_2^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimations (4.68) and (4.69) imply

$$(4.70) \quad \Theta'_m \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{3/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-1/4}).$$

Proceeding in a similar way for  $\Theta''_m$  from (4.62) we deduce

$$(4.71) \quad \Theta''_m \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{3/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-1/4}).$$

Now (4.62), (4.70) and (4.71) give us

$$(4.72) \quad \Theta_m \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{3/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-1/4}).$$

From (4.56) and (4.72) it follows

$$(4.73) \quad \Sigma_4 \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2 M_2^{-1} + D_2^{3/4} + X^{1/2} M_2 D_2^{-1/4}).$$

Taking into account (4.50) and (4.73) we find

$$(4.74) \quad \Sigma_4 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_2^{-1/4}.$$

Using (4.46), (4.47) and (4.74) we obtain

$$(4.75) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_2^{-1/4}.$$

**Estimation of  $\Gamma_2(X)$ .** Summarizing (4.23), (4.24), (4.26), (4.44) and (4.75) we get

$$(4.76) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} z^{-1/8}.$$

**4.3. The end of the proof.** Bearing in mind (4.1), (4.21), (4.76) and choosing  $z = X^{8/9}$  we establish the asymptotic formula (2.5).

The theorem is proved. □

#### References

- [1] *L. Carlitz*: On a problem in additive arithmetic. II. *Q. J. Math., Oxf. Ser. 3* (1932), 273–290. zbl doi
- [2] *S. I. Dimitrov*: Consecutive square-free numbers of the form  $[n^c], [n^c] + 1$ . *JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl.* 40 (2018), 945–956. zbl doi
- [3] *S. I. Dimitrov*: On the distribution of consecutive square-free numbers of the form  $[\alpha n], [\alpha n] + 1$ . *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* 22 (2019), 463–470. zbl MR doi
- [4] *S. I. Dimitrov*: Consecutive square-free values of the form  $[\alpha p], [\alpha p] + 1$ . *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* 23 (2020), 519–524. MR
- [5] *S. I. Dimitrov*: On the number of pairs of positive integers  $x, y \leq H$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 + 1, x^2 + y^2 + 2$  are square-free. *Acta Arith.* 194 (2020), 281–294. zbl MR doi
- [6] *T. Estermann*: Einige Sätze über quadratfreie Zahlen. *Math. Ann.* 105 (1931), 653–662. (In German.) zbl MR doi
- [7] *D. R. Heath-Brown*: The square sieve and consecutive square-free numbers. *Math. Ann.* 266 (1984), 251–259. zbl MR doi
- [8] *D. R. Heath-Brown*: Square-free values of  $n^2 + 1$ . *Acta Arith.* 155 (2012), 1–13. zbl MR doi
- [9] *H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski*: *Analytic Number Theory*. Colloquium Publications 53. American Mathematical Society, 2004. zbl MR doi
- [10] *T. Reuss*: Pairs of  $k$ -free numbers, consecutive square-full numbers. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3150v2> (2014), 28 pages.
- [11] *D. I. Tolev*: On the exponential sum with square-free numbers. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 37 (2005), 827–834. zbl MR doi
- [12] *D. I. Tolev*: *Lectures on Elementary and Analytic Number Theory. II*. St. Kliment Ohridski University Press, Sofia, 2016. (In Bulgarian.)

*Author's address:* Stoyan Dimitrov, Technical University of Sofia, 1000, 8 Kl. Ohridski Blvd, Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: [sdimitrov@tu-sofia.bg](mailto:sdimitrov@tu-sofia.bg).