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#### Abstract

We show that for every $p \in(1, \infty)$ there exists a weight $w$ such that the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}$ is reflexive, its lower Boyd and Zippin indices are equal to zero and its upper Boyd and Zippin indices are equal to one. As a consequence, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is unbounded on the constructed reflexive space $\Gamma_{p, w}$ and on its associate space $\Gamma_{p, w}^{\prime}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $m$ denote the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ is one of the most important operators in harmonic analysis. For a function $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ it is defined by

$$
(M f)(x):=\sup _{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{m(Q)} \int_{Q}|f(y)| \mathrm{d} y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with sides parallel to the axes. We are interested in the following question: Given a class $\mathfrak{S}$ of Banach function spaces (see [1], [9]), is it true that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ is bounded on each reflexive space $X\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right) \in \mathfrak{S}$ or on its associate space $X^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ ? It is well known that the answer is "yes" for the class of all Lebesgue spaces. We show
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that the answer is "no" for the class of Lorentz Gamma spaces $\Gamma_{p, w}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ (being a proper subclass of the class of all rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces).

A measurable function $w:(0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a weight function. Following [6], page 289, we say that a weight function belongs to the class $\mathcal{D}_{p}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, whenever for all $x \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
0<W(x):=\int_{0}^{x} w(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty, \quad W_{p}(x):=x^{p} \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-p} w(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty .
$$

Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be a totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic measure space such that $\mu(\mathcal{R})=\infty$. The Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is the set of all $\mu$-measurable functions $f: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\Gamma_{p, w}}:=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(f^{* *}(t)\right)^{p} w(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

where

$$
f^{* *}(t):=\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and $f^{*}$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $f$ (see e.g. [1], Chapter 2, Section 1 or [7], Chapter II, $\$ 2$ for its definition and properties). The Lorentz Gamma spaces were introduced by Sawyer (see [10]), but they can be traced to earlier works of Calderón, Hunt, O'Neil and others. These spaces have been studied by many authors (see e.g. [3], [4], [5], [6] and [9], Chapter 10 and also the references in the above monograph). The Lorentz Gamma spaces are examples of rearrangement-invariant (or symmetric) Banach function spaces (see [1], Chapter 2 and [7], Chapter II for the theory of rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces). We should also note that one can study quasi-Banach Lorentz Gamma spaces $\Gamma_{p, w}$ if one admits that $0<p<\infty$, see e.g. [6].

It is well known that Boyd and Zippin indices play important role in many questions related to interpolation properties of rearrangement-invariant spaces and boundedness behavior of classical operators of harmonic analysis (like the HardyLittlewood maximal operator or the Hilbert transform) on rearrangement-invariant spaces. The Boyd indices

$$
0 \leqslant \alpha(X) \leqslant \beta(X) \leqslant 1
$$

and Zippin indices

$$
0 \leqslant p(X) \leqslant q(X) \leqslant 1
$$

of a rearrangment-invariant space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ were introduced in [2] and [11], respectively. We refer to [1], Chapter 3, [7], Chapter 2, and [8] for their definitions, properties, and applications in interpolation theory and harmonic analysis. Boyd indices of Lorentz Gamma spaces $\Gamma_{p, w}$ were studied in [4], [6].

In this paper we construct an example of a reflexive Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, whose Boyd and Zippin indices behave "badly", (one says that the indices are trivial in this case) by rather elementary techniques:

$$
\alpha\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=p\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=0, \quad \beta\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=q\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=1
$$

It may well be that examples of reflexive rearrangement-invariant spaces $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ with both Boyd indices being trivial are known to experts or can be obtained from known results, but we were unable to find a published example of such space. Moreover, we believe that an example of a reflexive Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ with trivial Boyd and Zippin indices is of interest because it leads to the negative answer to the question posed above not only for the whole class of rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces, but to its narrower subclass of Lorentz Gamma spaces.

For a precise definition of what we mean by a "rearrangement-invariant Banach function space" and by its "associate space", see [1], Chapter 1, Definitions 1.1 and 2.1; Chapter 2, Definition 4.1 and Section 2 below. Definitions of Boyd and Zippin indices are also given in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1 (Main result). Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be a totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic measure space such that $\mu(\mathcal{R})=\infty$. If $p \in(1, \infty)$ and

$$
w(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{x(1-\log x)^{2}}, & 0<x<1  \tag{1.1}\\ \frac{x^{p-1}}{(1+\log x)^{2}}, & x \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

then the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is a reflexive rearrangement-invariant Banach function space and its Boyd and Zippin indices are trivial, that is,

$$
\alpha\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=p\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=0, \quad \beta\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=q\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=1
$$

Combining Theorem 1.1 with the Lorentz-Shimogaki theorem (see e.g. [1], Chapter 3 , Theorem 5.17), we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and a weight $w$ be given by (1.1). Then the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ is a reflexive rearrangement-invariant Banach function space and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ is unbounded on the space $\Gamma_{p, w}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ and on its associate space $\Gamma_{p, w}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the notions of a rearrangement-invariant Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ and its associate space $X^{\prime}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, and give the definitions of its Boyd indices $\alpha(X), \beta(X)$ and Zippin indices $p(X), q(X)$. In Section 3, we show that the Lorentz Gamma spaces $\Gamma_{p, q}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ fall into the class of rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces if $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$. Further, we formulate necessary and sufficient conditions for the reflexivity of $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

## 2. Rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces and their indices

2.1. Banach function spaces. Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be a totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic measure space such that $\mu(\mathcal{R})=\infty$. The set of all $\mu$-measurable complex-valued functions on $\mathcal{R}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be the subset of functions in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ whose values lie in $[0, \infty]$. The characteristic function of a $\mu$-measurable set $E \subset \mathcal{R}$ is denoted by $\chi_{E}$. Following [1], Chapter 1, Definition 1.1, a mapping

$$
\varrho: \mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu) \rightarrow[0, \infty]
$$

is called a Banach function norm if, for all functions $f, g, f_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ in $\mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, for all constants $a \geqslant 0$, and for all $\mu$-measurable subsets $E$ of $\mathcal{R}$, the following axioms hold:
(A1) $\varrho(f)=0 \Leftrightarrow f=0$ a.e., $\varrho(a f)=a \varrho(f), \varrho(f+g) \leqslant \varrho(f)+\varrho(g)$,
(A2) $0 \leqslant g \leqslant f$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \varrho(g) \leqslant \varrho(f)$ (the lattice property),
(A3) $0 \leqslant f_{n} \uparrow f$ a.e. $\Rightarrow \varrho\left(f_{n}\right) \uparrow \varrho(f)$ (the Fatou property),
(A4) $\mu(E)<\infty \Rightarrow \varrho\left(\chi_{E}\right)<\infty$,
(A5) $\mu(E)<\infty \Rightarrow \int_{E} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \leqslant C_{E} \varrho(f)$
with $C_{E} \in(0, \infty)$, which may depend on $E$ and $\varrho$ but is independent of $f$. When functions differing only on a set of $\mu$-measure zero are identified, the set $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ of all functions $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ for which $\varrho(|f|)<\infty$ is called a Banach function space. For each $f \in X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, the norm of $f$ is defined by

$$
\|f\|_{X}:=\varrho(|f|)
$$

Under the natural linear space operations and under this norm, the set $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ becomes a Banach space (see [1], Chapter 1, Theorems 1.4 and 1.6). If $\varrho$ is a Banach function norm, its associate norm $\varrho^{\prime}$ is defined on $\mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ by

$$
\varrho^{\prime}(g):=\sup \left\{\int_{\mathcal{R}} f(x) g(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x): f \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu), \varrho(f) \leqslant 1\right\}, \quad g \in \mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)
$$

It is a Banach function norm itself, see [1], Chapter 1, Theorem 2.2. The Banach function space $X^{\prime}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ determined by the Banach function norm $\varrho^{\prime}$ is called the associate space (Köthe dual) of $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. The associate space $X^{\prime}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is naturally identified with a subspace of the (Banach) dual space $[X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)]^{*}$.
2.2. Rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{0}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be the classes of a.e. finite functions in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, respectively. The distribution function $\mu_{f}$ of $f \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is given by

$$
\mu_{f}(\lambda):=\mu\{x \in \mathcal{R}:|f(x)|>\lambda\}, \quad \lambda \geqslant 0
$$

Two functions $f, g \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ are said to be equimeasurable if $\mu_{f}(\lambda)=\mu_{g}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \geqslant 0$. The decreasing rearrangement of $f \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is the function defined by

$$
f^{*}(t):=\inf \left\{\lambda: \mu_{f}(\lambda) \leqslant t\right\}, \quad t \geqslant 0 .
$$

We use here the standard convention that $\inf \emptyset=\infty$.
A Banach function norm $\varrho: \mathfrak{M}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called rearrangement-invariant if for every pair of equimeasurable functions $f, g \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, the equality $\varrho(f)=\varrho(g)$ holds. In that case, the Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ generated by $\varrho$ is said to be a rearrangement-invariant Banach function space (or simply a rearrangementinvariant space). Lebesgue spaces $L^{p}(\mathcal{R}, \mu), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, Orlicz spaces $L^{\Phi}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, and Lorentz spaces $L^{p, q}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ are classical examples of rearrangement-invariant Banach function spaces (see e.g. [1] and the references therein). By [1], Chapter 2, Proposition 4.2, if a Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is rearrangement-invariant, then its associate space $X^{\prime}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is also rearrangement-invariant.
2.3. Boyd and Zippin indices. A measurable function $\varrho:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is said to be submultiplicative if

$$
\varrho\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \leqslant \varrho\left(x_{1}\right) \varrho\left(x_{2}\right) \quad \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in(0, \infty) .
$$

The behavior of a measurable submultiplicative function $\varrho$ in neighborhoods of zero and infinity is described by the quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(\varrho):=\sup _{x \in(0,1)} \frac{\log \varrho(x)}{\log x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \varrho(x)}{\log x}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \beta(\varrho):=\inf _{x \in(1, \infty)} \frac{\log \varrho(x)}{\log x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varrho(x)}{\log x},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<\alpha(\varrho) \leqslant \beta(\varrho)<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [7], Chapter 2, Theorem 1.3. The numbers $\alpha(\varrho)$ and $\beta(\varrho)$ are called the lower and upper indices of the measurable submultiplicative function $\varrho$.

Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be a totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic measure space such that $\mu(\mathcal{R})=\infty$. Suppose $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is a rearrangement-invariant Banach function space generated by a rearrangement-invariant Banach function norm $\varrho$. In this case, the Luxemburg representation theorem (see [1], Chapter 2, Theorem 4.10) provides a unique rearrangement-invariant Banach function norm $\varrho$ over the half-line $\mathbb{R}_{+}=(0, \infty)$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure $m$, defined by

$$
\bar{\varrho}(h):=\sup \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} g^{*}(t) h^{*}(t) \mathrm{d} t: \varrho^{\prime}(g) \leqslant 1\right\},
$$

and such that $\varrho(f)=\bar{\varrho}\left(f^{*}\right)$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}^{+}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. The rearrangement-invariant Banach function space generated by $\bar{\varrho}$ is denoted by $\bar{X}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$.

For each $t>0$ let $E_{t}$ denote the dilation operator defined on $\mathfrak{M}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$ by

$$
\left(E_{t} f\right)(s)=f(s t), \quad 0<s<\infty
$$

With $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ and $\bar{X}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$ as above, let $h(t, X)$ denote the norm of $E_{1 / t}$ as an operator on $\bar{X}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$. By [1], Chapter 3, Proposition 5.11, for each $t>0$, the operator $E_{t}$ is bounded on $\bar{X}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$ and the function $h(\cdot, X)$ is increasing (and hence, measurable) and submultiplicative on $(0, \infty)$. The indices of $h(\cdot, X)$ are called the Boyd indices of the rearrangement-invariant Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ and are denoted by

$$
\alpha(X):=\alpha(h(\cdot, X)), \quad \beta(X):=\beta(h(\cdot, X)) .
$$

Following [1], Chapter 2, Definition 5.1, for each finite value $t$ let $E \subset \mathcal{R}$ be such that $\mu(E)=t$ and let

$$
\varphi_{X}(t):=\left\|\chi_{E}\right\|_{X}
$$

The function $\varphi_{X}$ so defined is called the fundamental function of the rearrangementinvariant Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. Following [11], page 271 (see also [8], page 28), for a given rearrangement-invariant Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ with the fundamental function $\varphi_{X}$, let us consider the function

$$
M(t, X):=\sup _{0<x<\infty} \frac{\varphi_{X}(t x)}{\varphi_{X}(x)}, \quad t \in(0, \infty) .
$$

It is easy to check that this function is increasing (and hence, measurable) and submultiplicative on $(0, \infty)$. The indices of $M(\cdot, X)$ are called the Zippin (or fundamental) indices of the rearrangement-invariant Banach function space $X(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ and are denoted by

$$
p(X):=\alpha(M(\cdot, X)), \quad q(X):=\beta(M(\cdot, X)) .
$$

It is easy to see that $M(t, X) \leqslant h(t, X)$ for $t \in(0, \infty)$. Combining this inequality with [1], Chapter 3, Proposition 5.13, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t, X) \leqslant h(t, X) \leqslant \max \{1, t\}, \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.1)-(2.3) that

$$
0 \leqslant \alpha(X) \leqslant p(X) \leqslant q(X) \leqslant \beta(X) \leqslant 1
$$

The lower Boyd (or Zippin) index is said to be trivial if $\alpha(X)=0$ (or $p(X)=0$ ). Analogously, the upper Boyd (or Zippin) index is said to be trivial if $\beta(X)=1$ (or $q(X)=1$ ).

Note that for the Lebesgue spaces $L^{p}(\mathcal{R}, \mu), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, all these indices are equal to $1 / p$. Hence the Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is reflexive if and only if its Boyd and Zippin indices are nontrivial. In contrast, Theorem 1.1 asserts that an analogous result fails for more general Lorentz Gamma spaces $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$.

## 3. Lorentz Gamma spaces

### 3.1. Lorentz Gamma spaces are rearrangement-invariant Banach func-

tion spaces. The following lemma contains well known information on Lorentz Gamma spaces (see e.g. [4], Section 2 and [6], Section 0). We give its proof here for completeness.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be a totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic measure space such that $\mu(\mathcal{R})=\infty$. If $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and $w \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$, then the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is a rearrangement-invariant Banach function space. The fundamental function of $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\Gamma_{p, w}}(t)=\left(W(t)+W_{p}(t)\right)^{1 / p}, \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Axioms (A1)-(A3) in the definition of a Banach function space are satisfied in view of [1], Chapter 2, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.4.

If $\mu(E)=0$, then $\chi_{E}^{* *}(x)=0$ for $x \in(0, \infty)$ and $\left\|\chi_{E}\right\|_{\Gamma_{p, w}}=0$. Let $E \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a set of measure $t>0$. Then $\chi_{E}^{*}=\chi_{[0, t]}$ and $\chi_{E}^{* *}(x)=\max \{1, t / x\}$. Hence

$$
\varphi_{\Gamma_{p, w}}(t)=\left\|\chi_{E}\right\|_{\Gamma_{p, w}}=\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(x) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{t}^{\infty}(t / x)^{p} w(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p}=\left(W(t)+W_{p}(t)\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

If $w \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$, then the right-hand side of the above inequality is finite. Therefore $\mu(E)<\infty \Rightarrow\left\|\chi_{E}\right\|_{\Gamma_{p, w}}<\infty$. Thus, Axiom (A4) is satisfied and the fundamental function of the space $\Gamma_{p, w}$ is given by (3.1).

Since $w \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$, we have $0<W(t)<\infty$ for all $t>0$. Let $E \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a set of positive measure $t$ and $f \in \Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. By the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (see [1], Chapter 2, inequality (3.1) and Theorem 2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E}|f(y)| \mathrm{d} \mu(y) \leqslant \int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(x) \mathrm{d} x=t f^{* *}(t) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $f^{* *}$ is decreasing (see [1], Chapter 2, Proposition 3.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
t f^{* *}(t) & =\frac{t}{(W(t))^{1 / p}} f^{* *}(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t} w(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leqslant \frac{t}{(W(t))^{1 / p}}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(f^{* *}(x)\right)^{p} w(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \frac{t}{(W(t))^{1 / p}}\|f\|_{\Gamma_{p, w}} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.2)-(3.3) that

$$
\mu(E)<\infty \Rightarrow \int_{E}|f(y)| \mathrm{d} \mu(y) \leqslant C_{E}\|f\|_{\Gamma_{p, w}}
$$

where $C_{E}=\mu(E) /(W(\mu(E)))^{1 / p}$. Thus, Axiom (A5) is satisfied.
3.2. Reflexivity of Lorentz Gamma spaces. Now we recall necessary and sufficient conditions for the reflexivity of Lorentz Gamma spaces.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ be a totally $\sigma$-finite nonatomic measure space such that $\mu(\mathcal{R})=\infty$. Suppose that $w \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$ is a weight such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} w(t) t^{-p} \mathrm{~d} t=\infty \quad \forall x \in(0, \infty) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is reflexive if and only if

$$
W(\infty)=\int_{0}^{\infty} w(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty \quad \text { and } \quad V(\infty)=\int_{0}^{\infty} v(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

where $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$ and

$$
v(t)=\frac{t^{p^{\prime}-1} W(t) W_{p}(t)}{\left(W(t)+W_{p}(t)\right)^{p^{\prime}+1}}, \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

The above lemma is proved in [3], Lemma 6.4 in the case of $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)=\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$. Its proof is a combination of three ingredients. Two of these ingredients, namely, see [1], Chapter 1.1, Corollary 4.4 and [4], Theorem A, are proved for the measure spaces $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ under consideration. It remains to observe that, although the last ingredient (see [6], Proposition $1.1(1)$ ) is proved for $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, m\right)$, an inspection of its proof shows that it is also valid for $(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$.

## 4. Proof of the main results

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Taking into account (1.1), a straightforward calculation gives that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
W(x)=\frac{1}{1-\log x} \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1] \\
W_{p}(x)=\frac{x^{p}}{1+\log x} \quad \text { for } x \in[1, \infty) . \tag{4.2}
\end{array}
$$

Thus $w \in \mathcal{D}_{p}$. By Lemma 3.1, the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is a rearrange-ment-invariant Banach function space.

Let us show that the space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is reflexive. Using L'Hôpital's rule, one can easily derive from (1.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W(x)}{x^{p}(1+\log x)^{-2}}=\frac{1}{p}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{W_{p}(x)}{(1-\log x)^{-2}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-p} w(t) \mathrm{d} t}{x^{-p}(1-\log x)^{-2}}=\frac{1}{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\infty)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} W(x)=\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
v(x) & =\frac{x^{p^{\prime}-1} W(x) W_{p}(x)}{\left(W(x)+W_{p}(x)\right)^{p^{\prime}+1}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& =x^{p^{\prime}-1+2 p-p\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)} \frac{1+o(1)}{p(1+\log x)^{3}}\left(\frac{1+o(1)}{p(1+\log x)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(1+\log x)}\right)^{-\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{p x}(1+\log x)^{p^{\prime}-2}(1+o(1))\left(\frac{1+o(1)}{p(1+\log x)}+1\right)^{-\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{p x}(1+\log x)^{p^{\prime}-2}(1+o(1)) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p^{\prime}>1$, i.e., $2-p^{\prime}<1$, it follows from (4.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\infty)=\int_{0}^{\infty} v(x) \mathrm{d} x \geqslant \text { const } \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x(1+\log x)^{2-p^{\prime}}} \mathrm{d} x=\infty . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that (3.4) holds for $w$ defined by (1.1). Then it follows from (4.5) and (4.7) that the conditions of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied and hence the space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is reflexive.

Let us calculate the Boyd and Zippin indices of the space $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$. By Lemma 3.1, the fundamental function of $\Gamma_{p, w}(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\Gamma_{p, w}}(x)=\left(W(x)+W_{p}(x)\right)^{1 / p}, \quad x \in(0, \infty) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t \in[1, \infty)$, then it follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{0<x<\infty} & \frac{W(t x)+W_{p}(t x)}{W(x)+W_{p}(x)} \geqslant \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W(t x)+W_{p}(t x)}{W(x)+W_{p}(x)}  \tag{4.9}\\
& =t^{p} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1+\log x}{1+\log (t x)}\right)^{2} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+o(1)+p(1+\log (t x))}{1+o(1)+p(1+\log x)}=t^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $t \in(0,1)$, then it follows from (4.1) and (4.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{0<x<\infty} & \frac{W(t x)+W_{p}(t x)}{W(x)+W_{p}(x)} \geqslant \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{W(t x)+W_{p}(t x)}{W(x)+W_{p}(x)}  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1-\log x}{1-\log (t x)}\right)^{2} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{p(1-\log (t x))+1+o(1)}{p(1-\log x)+1+o(1)}=1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.8)-(4.10), we conclude that for $t \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
M\left(t, \Gamma_{p, w}\right) & =\sup _{0<x<\infty} \frac{\varphi_{\Gamma_{p, w}}(t x)}{\varphi_{\Gamma_{p, w}}(x)}=\sup _{0<x<\infty}\left(\frac{W(t x)+W_{p}(t x)}{W(x)+W_{p}(x)}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \geqslant \max \{1, t\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it follows from (2.3) and (4.11) that

$$
M\left(t, \Gamma_{p, w}\right)=h\left(t, \Gamma_{p, w}\right)=\max \{1, t\}, \quad t \in(0, \infty) .
$$

Applying (2.1) to the submultiplicative functions $M\left(\cdot, \Gamma_{p, w}\right)$ and $h\left(\cdot, \Gamma_{p, w}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=p\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \max \{1, t\}}{\log t}=0 \\
& \beta\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=q\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \max \{1, t\}}{\log t}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
4.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2. In view of Theorem 1.1, the Lorentz Gamma space $\Gamma_{p, w}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ is a reflexive rearrangement-invariant Banach function space such that its Boyd indices are trivial, that is, $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=0$ and $\beta\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=1$. Since $\beta\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=1$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M$ is unbounded on the space $\Gamma_{p, w}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$ in view of the Lorentz-Shimogaki theorem (see e.g. [1], Chapter 3, Theorem 5.17). By [1], Chapter 3, Proposition 5.13, $\beta\left(\Gamma_{p, w}^{\prime}\right)=1-\alpha\left(\Gamma_{p, w}\right)=1$. Now, applying [1], Chapter 3, Theorem 5.17 to the associate space $\Gamma_{p, w}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$, we conclude that the operator $M$ is unbounded on the space $\Gamma_{p, w}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, m\right)$.
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