

A q -CONGRUENCE FOR A TRUNCATED ${}_4\varphi_3$ SERIES

VICTOR J. W. GUO, Huai'an, CHUANAN WEI, Haikou

Received July 26, 2020. Published online June 18, 2021.

Abstract. Let $\Phi_n(q)$ denote the n th cyclotomic polynomial in q . Recently, Guo, Schlosser and Zudilin proved that for any integer $n > 1$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2 (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2},$$

where $(a; q)_m = (1-a)(1-aq)\dots(1-aq^{m-1})$. In this note, we give a generalization of the above q -congruence to the modulus $\Phi_n(q)^3$ case. Meanwhile, we give a corresponding q -congruence modulo $\Phi_n(q)^2$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Our proof is based on the ‘creative microscoping’ method, recently developed by Guo and Zudilin, and a ${}_4\varphi_3$ summation formula.

Keywords: basic hypergeometric series; Watson’s transformation; q -congruence; supercongruence; creative microscoping

MSC 2020: 33D15, 11A07, 11B65

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1997, Van Hamme in [18], Equation (H.2) established the following supercongruence:

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv \begin{cases} \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $(a)_n = a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol and $\Gamma_p(x)$ is the p -adic Gamma function. For refinements of (1.1) modulo p^3 or p^4 , see [10], [12].

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 11771175, 12071103, and 11661032).

In 2019, Guo and Zudilin in [6], Theorem 2 gave a q -analogue of (1.1) as follows: Modulo $\Phi_n(q)^2$,

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{(q; q^2)_k^2 (q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2 (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}^2}{(q^4; q^4)_{(n-1)/4}^2} q^{(n-1)/2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Here and throughout the paper, the q -shifted factorial is defined by $(a; q)_0 = 1$ and $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \dots (1-aq^{n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$. For simplicity, we sometimes compactly write $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \dots (a_m; q)_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Moreover, $[n] = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$ denotes the q -integer, and $\Phi_n(q)$ stands for the n th cyclotomic polynomial in q .

Recently, Mao and Pan in [13] (see also Sun in [15], Theorem 1.3) showed that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime, then

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(p+1)/2} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

And Guo, Schlosser, and Zudilin in [4] proved the following result: For any integer $n > 1$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2 (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

In this note, we shall give a generalization of (1.4) modulo $\Phi_n(q)^3$ and also a corresponding congruence modulo $\Phi_n(q)^2$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let n be a positive odd integer. Then*

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2 (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \Omega_n(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \Omega_n(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad \Omega_n(q) = \frac{1 + q^{2n-2} + 2q^{2n-1} + 4q^{2n} + 2q^{2n+1} + q^{2n+2} + q^{4n}}{(1 + q^{n-1})(1 + q^{n+1})}.$$

It is easy to see that $[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}$ and $[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}$ is relatively prime to $\Phi_n(q)$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, the q -congruence (1.5) implies (1.4).

Letting n be an odd prime and letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following conclusion, which was first proved by Guo and Zudilin, see [7].

Corollary 2. *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p+1)/2} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv \begin{cases} p \frac{(\frac{1}{4})_{(p-1)/2}}{(\frac{7}{4})_{(p-1)/2}} \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p \frac{(\frac{1}{4})_{(p-1)/2}}{(\frac{7}{4})_{(p-1)/2}} \pmod{p^2} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Some other recent q -analogues of supercongruences can be found in [2], [3], [5], [8], [9], [11], [14], [17], [19], [20], [21] with various techniques. In particular, Guo and Zudilin in [5] developed a method called ‘creative microscoping’ to prove quite a few q -supercongruences. We shall use this method to prove Theorem 1 in Section 3 (we need to give a related summation formula in the next section at first).

2. A SUMMATION FORMULA

Following Gasper and Rahman (see [1]), the *basic hypergeometric series* ${}_{r+1}\varphi_r$ is defined as

$${}_{r+1}\varphi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r \end{matrix} ; q, z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}; q)_k z^k}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_r; q)_k}.$$

We shall use Watson’s ${}_8\varphi_7$ transformation formula, see [1], Appendix (III.18)

$$(2.1) \quad {}_8\varphi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & qa^{1/2}, & -qa^{1/2}, & b, & c, & d, & e, & q^{-m} \\ a^{1/2}, & -a^{1/2}, & aq/b, & aq/c, & aq/d, & aq/e, & aq^{m+1}, & q, & \frac{a^2 q^{m+2}}{bcde} \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_m}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_m} {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} aq/bc, d, e, q^{-m} \\ aq/b, aq/c, deq^{-m}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right],$$

and the q -Paff-Saalschütz formula, see [1], Appendix (II.12)

$$(2.2) \quad {}_3\varphi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, q^{-m} \\ c, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = \frac{(c/a, c/b; q)_m}{(c, c/ab; q)_m}$$

to give a new ${}_4\varphi_3$ summation formula, which plays an important part in our proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let n be a positive odd number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-1-n}; q^2)_k (q^{-1+n}; q^2)_k (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^{2-n}; q^2)_k (q^{2+n}; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q^n)(q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} (1 + q^{2n-2} + 2q^{2n-1} + 4q^{2n} + 2q^{2n+1} + q^{2n+2} + q^{4n})}{(1 - q^3)(q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} (1 + q^{n-1})(1 + q^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Letting $a = q^{-1/2}$, $b = -q^{-1/2}$, $c = q^{m-1}$, $d = q^{-1/4}$ and $e = -q^{-1/4}$ in Watson's transformation formula (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-1/2}, -q^{-1/2}, q^{m-1}, q^{-m} \\ -q, q^{3/2-m}, q^{1/2+m} \end{matrix}; q, q^3 \right] \\ = \frac{(q^{1/2}, -q; q)_m}{(q^{3/4}, -q^{3/4}; q)_m} {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-1/4}, -q^{-1/4}, -q^{2-m}, q^{-m} \\ -q, q^{3/2-m}, -q^{-m} \end{matrix}; q, q \right].$$

Moreover, replacing c by cq in (2.2), we get

$$(2.4) \quad {}_3\varphi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, q^{-m} \\ cq, abq^{-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \frac{(cq/a, cq/b; q)_m}{(cq, cq/ab; q)_m}.$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, q^{-m} \\ cq, x, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] &= \frac{(1-c)(ab - cxq^m)}{(1-x)(ab - c^2q^m)} {}_3\varphi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, q^{-m} \\ c, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] \\ &+ \frac{(c-x)(ab - cq^m)}{(1-x)(ab - c^2q^m)} {}_3\varphi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, q^{-m} \\ cq, abq^{-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] \end{aligned}$$

by comparing the k th summands in the summations. Substituting (2.2) and (2.4) into the last equation, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, q^{-m} \\ cq, x, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \Omega(q; a, b, c, x, m),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega(q; a, b, c, x, m) \\ &= \frac{(c/a, c/b; q)_m}{(qc, c/ab; q)_m} \left(\frac{(1 - cq^m)(ab - cxq^m)}{(1-x)(ab - c^2q^m)} + \frac{(c-x)(ab-c)(a - cq^m)(b - cq^m)}{(1-x)(a-c)(b-c)(ab - c^2q^m)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing c by cq in (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, q^{-m} \\ cq^2, x, abq^{-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \Omega(q; a, b, cq, x, m).$$

It is also routine to verify the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_5\varphi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, yq, q^{-m} \\ cq^2, x, y, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] &= \frac{(1-cq)(ab-cyq^m)}{(1-y)(ab-c^2q^{m+1})} {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, q^{-m} \\ cq, x, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] \\
 &+ \frac{(cq-y)(ab-cq^m)}{(1-y)(ab-c^2q^{m+1})} {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, q^{-m} \\ cq^2, x, abq^{-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into the last equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad {}_5\varphi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, xq, yq, q^{-m} \\ cq^2, x, y, abq^{1-m}/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] &= \frac{(1-cq)(ab-cyq^m)}{(1-y)(ab-c^2q^{m+1})} \Omega(q; a, b, c, x, m) \\
 &+ \frac{(cq-y)(ab-cq^m)}{(1-y)(ab-c^2q^{m+1})} \Omega(q; a, b, cq, x, m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the series on the right-hand side of (2.3) by the case $a = q^{-1/4}$, $b = -q^{-1/4}$, $c = -q^{-1}$, $x = -q^{-m}$, $y = -q^{1-m}$ of (2.7), we gain

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_4\varphi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-1/2}, -q^{-1/2}, q^{m-1}, q^{-m} \\ -q, q^{3/2-m}, q^{1/2+m} \end{matrix}; q, q^3 \right] &= \frac{(1-q^{m-1/2})(1-q)(q^{-3/4}, -q^{-3/4}; q)_m}{(1+q^{m-1/2})(1+q^{m-1})(q^{3/4}, -q^{3/4}; q)_m} \\
 &\times \left(\frac{(1-q^{m-1})(1-q^{2m-3/2})(1+q^{2m})}{(1+q^m)(1-q^{-3/2})(1-q)} \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{q^m(1+q^{m-1}+q^m+2q^{m-1/2}+q^{2m-1})}{(1-q^{3/2})(1-q^{-1/2})} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Employing the substitutions $q \mapsto q^2$ and $m \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ in the above ${}_4\varphi_3$ summation, we arrive at Theorem 3. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The following simple q -congruence (see [3], Lemma 3.1 and Equation (5.4)) will be used in our proof.

Lemma 1. *Let n be a positive odd integer. Then for $0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ we have*

$$\frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}}{(q^2/a; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k}} = (-a)^{(n+1)/2-2k} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k}{(q^2/a; q^2)_k} q^{(n-1)^2/4+3k-1} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

We are going to prove Theorem 1 using the creative microscoping method, see [5]. That is, we need to establish the following parametric version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 4. *Let $n > 1$ be an odd integer. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k (q^{-1}/a; q^2)_k (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/a; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \\ \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} \Omega_n(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)} (1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} \Omega_n(q) \pmod{(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)}}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_n(q)$ is given by (1.6).

Proof. For $a = q^{-n}$ or $a = q^n$, by Theorem 3 the left-hand side of (3.1) is equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-1-n}; q^2)_k (q^{-1+n}; q^2)_k (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^{2-n}; q^2)_k (q^{2+n}; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} = \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2} \Omega_n(q)}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}.$$

This shows that the q -congruence (3.1) holds modulo $1 - aq^n$ and $a - q^n$. On the other hand, by Lemma 1 we can easily verify that for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ($n > 1$) and $0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$,

$$\frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k} (q^{-1}/a; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k} (q^{-2}; q^4)_{(n+1)/2-k}}{(aq^2; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k} (q^2/a; q^2)_{(n+1)/2-k} (q^4; q^4)_{(n+1)/2-k}} q^{6((n+1)/2-k)} \\ \equiv - \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k (q^{-1}/a; q^2)_k (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/a; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

Namely, the sum of the k th and $(\frac{1}{2}(n+1) - k)$ th summands of the left-hand side of (3.1) vanishes modulo $\Phi_n(q)$. It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^2)_k (q^{-1}/a; q^2)_k (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(aq^2; q^2)_k (q^2/a; q^2)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$$

for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ($n > 1$). Since $[n] \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ for $n > 1$, we have proved that the q -congruence (3.1) is also true modulo $\Phi_n(q)$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Finally, noticing that the polynomials $1 - aq^n$, $a - q^n$ and $\Phi_n(q)$ are pairwise relatively prime, we complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 is obviously true for $n = 1$ (both sides are equal to 1). For $n > 1$, note that the limit of $(1 - aq^n)(a - q^n)$ as $a \rightarrow 1$ contains the factor $\Phi_n(q)^2$ and the limits of the denominators on both sides of (3.1) as $a \rightarrow 1$ are relatively prime to $\Phi_n(q)$. Letting $a \rightarrow 1$ in (3.1), we obtain (1.5) immediately. \square

4. TWO OPEN PROBLEMS

Swisher in [16], Equation (H.3) has made two interesting conjectures on supercongruences generalizing (1.1) as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv -\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{4})^4 \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r-1}-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r}}, \quad p \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{(p^{r-2}-1)/2} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \pmod{p^{3r-1}}, \quad p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, r \geq 2, p > 3.$$

We did not find Swisher-type general patterns for (1.3). Nevertheless, we have the following supercongruences conjectures for generalizations of (1.3).

Conjecture 1. *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and let $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2r}}.$$

Conjecture 2. *Let p be a prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and let $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^r \frac{(\frac{1}{4})_{(p^r-1)/2}}{(\frac{7}{4})_{(p^r-1)/2}} \pmod{p^{r+1}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv p^r \frac{(\frac{1}{4})_{(p^r-1)/2}}{(\frac{7}{4})_{(p^r-1)/2}} \pmod{p^{r+1}}.$$

Any proof of the above two conjectures will be very interesting.

Since $(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3}$ for k in the range $\frac{1}{2}(n+1) < k \leq n-1$, we see that (1.5) can also be written as

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^2 (q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2 (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{6k} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \Omega_n(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{[n](q; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}}{[3](q^7; q^4)_{(n-1)/2}} \Omega_n(q) \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and integer $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_{(p^r-1)/2}}{\left(\frac{7}{4}\right)_{(p^r-1)/2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, letting $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.5) and (4.1), we obtain the following supercongruences: for any prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and integer $r \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}.$$

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] *G. Gasper, M. Rahman: Basic Hypergeometric Series. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 96. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *V. J. W. Guo, M. J. Schlosser: Proof of a basic hypergeometric supercongruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial. J. Difference Equ. Appl. 25 (2019), 921–929.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *V. J. W. Guo, M. J. Schlosser: Some q -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series. Constr. Approx. 53 (2021), 155–200.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *V. J. W. Guo, M. J. Schlosser, W. Zudilin: New quadratic identities for basic hypergeometric series and q -congruences. Preprint. Available at <http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo/maths/quad.pdf>.*
- [5] *V. J. W. Guo, W. Zudilin: A q -microscope for supercongruences. Adv. Math. 346 (2019), 329–358.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *V. J. W. Guo, W. Zudilin: On a q -deformation of modular forms. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 475 (2019), 1636–1646.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *V. J. W. Guo, W. Zudilin: A common q -analogue of two supercongruences. Result. Math. 75 (2020), Article ID 46, 11 pages.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *V. J. W. Guo, W. Zudilin: Dwork-type supercongruences through a creative q -microscope. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 178 (2021), Article ID 105362, 37 pages.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *L. Li, S.-D. Wang: Proof of a q -supercongruence conjectured by Guo and Schlosser. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM 114 (2020), Article ID 190, 7 pages.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *J.-C. Liu: On Van Hamme’s (A.2) and (H.2) supercongruences. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 471 (2019), 613–622.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *J.-C. Liu, F. Petrov: Congruences on sums of q -binomial coefficients. Adv. Appl. Math. 116 (2020), Article ID 102003, 11 pages.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *L. Long, R. Ramakrishna: Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series. Adv. Math. 290 (2016), 773–808.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *G.-S. Mao, H. Pan: On the divisibility of some truncated hypergeometric series. Acta Arith. 195 (2020), 199–206.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *H.-X. Ni, H. Pan: On a conjectured q -congruence of Guo and Zeng. Int. J. Number Theory 14 (2018), 1699–1707.* [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [15] *Z.-W. Sun*: On sums of Apéry polynomials and related congruences. *J. Number Theory* *132* (2012), 2673–2690. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *H. Swisher*: On the supercongruence conjectures of VanHamme. *Res. Math. Sci.* *2* (2015), Article ID 18, 21 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *R. Tauraso*: q -analogs of some congruences involving Catalan numbers. *Adv. Appl. Math.* *48* (2012), 603–614. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *L. Van Hamme*: Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series. *p -Adic Functional Analysis. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 192. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997, pp. 223–236. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [19] *X. Wang, M. Yue*: A q -analogue of the (A.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme for any prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. *Int. J. Number Theory* *16* (2020), 1325–1335. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] *X. Wang, M. Yue*: Some q -supercongruences from Watson’s ${}_8\phi_7$ transformation formula. *Result. Math.* *75* (2020), Article ID 71, 15 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] *W. Zudilin*: Congruences for q -binomial coefficients. *Ann. Comb.* *23* (2019), 1123–1135. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors’ addresses: Victor J. W. Guo, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, 111 West Changjiang Road, Huai’an 223300, Jiangsu, P. R. China, e-mail: jwguo@hytc.edu.cn; Chuanan Wei (corresponding author), School of Biomedical Information and Engineering, Hainan Medical University, No 3, Xueyuan Road, Haikou 571199, P. R. China, e-mail: weichuanan78@163.com.