

COHOMOLOGY AND DEFORMATIONS OF 3-DIMENSIONAL HEISENBERG HOM-LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

JUNXIA ZHU, LIANGYUN CHEN, Changchun

Received July 15, 2019. Published online February 3, 2021.

Abstract. We study Hom-Lie superalgebras of Heisenberg type. For 3-dimensional Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras we describe their Hom-Lie super structures, compute the cohomology spaces and characterize their infinitesimal deformations.

Keywords: Hom-Lie superalgebra; Lie superalgebra; Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra; cohomology; deformation

MSC 2020: 17B56, 17B60, 17B61, 17B99

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Hom-Lie algebras and other Hom-algebras are widely studied, motivated initially by instances appeared in Physics literature when looking for quantum deformations of some algebras of vector fields. Hom-Lie superalgebras, as a generalization of Hom-Lie algebras, are introduced in [3], [4]. Furthermore, the cohomology and deformation theories of Hom-algebras are studied in [1], [2], [6], [9] and so on, while the two theories of Hom-Lie superalgebras can be seen in [4], [5].

We will follow [7], [8] to define Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras, which are a special case of 2-step nilpotent Hom-Lie superalgebras. The main idea of this paper is to characterize the infinitesimal deformations of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras using cohomology.

The paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions of Hom-Lie superalgebras. Section 3 is dedicated to introduce Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras and classify three-dimensional Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras. In Section 4, we review the cohomology theory and give the 2nd cohomology spaces of Heisenberg

Supported by NNSF of China (Nos. 11771069 and 12071405).

Hom-Lie superalgebras of dimension three. In the last section, we characterize all the infinitesimal deformations of three-dimensional Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras using cohomology.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let V be a vector superspace over a field \mathbb{F} , that is, a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space with a direct sum decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. The elements of V_j , $j = 0, 1$, are called *homogeneous of parity j*. The parity of homogenous element x is denoted by $|x|$. Moreover, the superspace $\text{End}(V)$ has a natural direct sum decomposition $\text{End}(V) = \text{End}(V)_0 \oplus \text{End}(V)_1$, where $\text{End}(V)_j = \{f: f(V_i) \subseteq V_{i+j}\}$, $j = 0, 1$. Elements of $\text{End}(V)_j$ are homogeneous of parity j .

We review the definition of Hom-Lie superalgebra in [4].

Definition 2.1. A Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is a triple consisting of a superspace V over a field \mathbb{F} , an even bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and an even superspace homomorphism $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad [x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x] \quad (\text{skew-supersymmetry}),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|}[\alpha(x), [y, z]] = 0 \quad (\text{hom-Jacobi identity})$$

for all homogenous elements $x, y, z \in V$, where $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z}$ denotes the cyclic summation over x, y, z .

We denote $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}|_{V_0}$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}|_{V_1}$ and then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. It follows that \mathfrak{g} is a Hom-Lie algebra when $\mathfrak{g}_1 = 0$. The classical Lie superalgebra can be obtained when $\alpha = \text{id}$.

A Hom-Lie superalgebra is called *multiplicative* if $\alpha([x, y]) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$ for all x, y . It is obvious that the classical Lie superalgebras are a special case of multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebras.

The *center* of Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is defined by

$$Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in V: [x, y] = 0, \forall y \in V\}.$$

Two Hom-Lie superalgebras $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_1, \alpha)$ and $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_2, \beta)$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists an even bijective homomorphism $\phi: (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_1) \rightarrow (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_2)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([x, y]_1) &= [\phi(x), \phi(y)]_2 \quad \forall x, y \in V, \\ \phi \circ \alpha &= \beta \circ \phi. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $(V, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ and $(V, [\cdot, \cdot], \beta)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an even automorphism ϕ such that $\beta = \phi \alpha \phi^{-1}$.

Let V be a vector superspace as before. A bilinear form \mathcal{B} on V is called *homogeneous of parity j* if it satisfies $\mathcal{B}(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in V$, $|x| \neq |y| + j$, skew-supersymmetric if $\mathcal{B}(x, y) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\mathcal{B}(y, x)$ for all homogenous elements $x, y \in V$, non-degenerate if from $\mathcal{B}(x, y) = 0$ for all $x \in V$ it follows that $y = 0$.

In this paper, we only discuss multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebras over the complex field \mathbb{C} and the elements mentioned are homogenous.

3. HEISENBERG HOM-LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Hom-Lie superalgebra with a 1-dimensional homogeneous derived ideal such that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset Z(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $h \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the homogenous generator of $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Then a homogenous skew-supersymmetric bilinear form $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ can be defined on \mathfrak{g} via $[x, y] = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, y)h$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. This induces a homogenous skew-supersymmetric bilinear form \mathcal{B} on $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$ via $\mathcal{B}(x + Z(\mathfrak{g}), y + Z(\mathfrak{g})) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, y)$.

Definition 3.1. A Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is called a *Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra* if the derived ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is generated by a homogenous element $h \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and \mathcal{B} is non-degenerate.

From now on, we will also denote a Hom-Lie superalgebra by (\mathfrak{h}, α) , where $\mathfrak{h} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a superalgebra and α is an even linear map. All brackets unmentioned in the following are zero.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a 3-dimensional Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra with a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$. Let $h \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the homogenous generator of the derived ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. We analyze the cases $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ separately.

Case 1: If $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, we have two subcases:

Subcase 1.1: There are $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ such that $\{u_1, u_2, h\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and $[u_1, u_2] = h$, which implies that \mathfrak{g} is a Hom-Lie algebra.

Subcase 1.2: There are $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ such that $\{h \mid v_1, v_2\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and $[v_1, v_2] = h$. Then the Hom-Lie superalgebra will be denoted by (\mathfrak{h}_1, α) .

Case 2: If $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, there exist $u \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ such that $\{u \mid v, h\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and $[u, v] = h$. In this case, we denote the Hom-Lie superalgebra by (\mathfrak{h}_2, α) .

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a multiplicative Heisenberg Hom-Lie (non-Lie) superalgebra of dimension three. Then \mathfrak{g} must be isomorphic to one of the following:

$$(1) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{11}\mu_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_{11}\mu_{22} \neq 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{12}\mu_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_{12}\mu_{21} \neq 0,$$

$$(3) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$(4) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$(5) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad (\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0,$$

where $\mu_0, \mu_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$, $i, j = 1, 2$.

P r o o f. We analyze the cases $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ separately.

Case 1: If $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, there exists a basis $\{u \mid v, h\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $[u, v] = h$. Suppose

$$\text{that } \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_0, \mu_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

We have that \mathfrak{g} is multiplicative if and only if $\alpha([e_i, e_j]) = [\alpha(e_i), \alpha(e_j)]$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, which implies $\mu_{12} = 0$ and $\mu_{22} = \mu_0\mu_{11}$. Then we obtain that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) If $\mu_{21} = 0$, we obtain a Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra

$$\left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

(b) If $\mu_{21} \neq 0$, let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{21} & b_0 b_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -b_0^{-1}b_{11}^{-2}b_{21} & b_0^{-1}b_{11}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \alpha \phi^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{21} & b_0 b_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_0^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -b_0^{-1}b_{11}^{-2}b_{21} & b_0^{-1}b_{11}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - \mu_0)\mu_{11}b_{11}^{-1}b_{21} + \mu_{21}b_0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu_0 \neq 1$ and $\mu_{11} \neq 0$, then $b_{21} = -(1 - \mu_0)^{-1} \mu_{11}^{-1} \mu_{21} b_0 b_{11}$ yields

$$\phi\alpha\phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix},$$

which induces a Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra like the one in (a).

$$\text{Otherwise, i.e., } \mu_0 = 1 \text{ or } \mu_{11} = 0, \text{ then } b_0 = \mu_{21}^{-1} \text{ yields } \phi\alpha\phi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can obtain a new Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra

$$\left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad (\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0.$$

Case 2: If $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, there exist $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ such that $\{h \mid v_1, v_2\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and $[v_1, v_2] = h$. In this case, we can get three Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{11}\mu_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_{11}\mu_{22} \neq 0, \\ & \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{12}\mu_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_{12}\mu_{21} \neq 0, \\ & \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

4. THE ADJOINT COHOMOLOGY OF HEISENBERG HOM-LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

Let $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra. Let x_1, \dots, x_k be k homogeneous elements of V and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \wedge^k V$. Then we denote by $|(x_1, \dots, x_k)| = |x_1| + \dots + |x_k|$ the parity of (x_1, \dots, x_k) . The set $C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ of k -hom-cochains of $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is the set of k -linear maps $\varphi: \wedge^k V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \dots, x_k) = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{i+1}|} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha(\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)) = \varphi(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_k))$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. In particular, $C_\alpha^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}: \alpha(x) = x\}$. Denote by $|\varphi|$ the parity of φ and $|\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)| = |(x_1, \dots, x_k)| + |\varphi|$. We immediately get a direct sum decomposition $C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} \oplus C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$.

A k -coboundary operator $\delta^k(\varphi): C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C_\alpha^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^k(\varphi)(x_0, \dots, x_k) = & \sum_{0 \leq s < t \leq k} (-1)^{t+|x_t|(|x_{s+1}|+\dots+|x_{t-1}|)} \\ & \times \varphi(\alpha(x_0), \dots, \alpha(x_{s-1}), [x_s, x_t], \alpha(x_{s+1}), \dots, \hat{x}_t, \dots, \alpha(x_k)) \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^k (-1)^{s+|x_s|(|\varphi|+|x_0|+\dots+|x_{s-1}|)} \\ & \times [\alpha^{k-1}(x_s), \varphi(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_s, \dots, x_k)],\end{aligned}$$

where \hat{x}_i means that x_i is omitted.

The k -cocycles space, k -coboundaries space and k th cohomology space are defined as:

- (1) $Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \ker \delta^k$, $Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}} = Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \cap C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}}$, $j = 0, 1$,
- (2) $B^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Im } \delta^{k-1}$, $B^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}} = B^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \cap C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}}$, $j = 0, 1$,
- (3) $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})/B^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} \oplus H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$, where $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}} = Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}}/B^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{j}}$, $j = 0, 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *The cohomology spaces of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras are:*

$$(1) \quad H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} + a_{33} & a_{12}\delta_{\mu_{22},1} & a_{13}\delta_{\mu_{11},1} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{22}a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{11},1} & -\frac{1}{2}\mu_{11}a_{34}\delta_{\mu_{22},1} \\ 0 & \delta_{\mu_{11},1}a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{\mu_{22},1}a_{34} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$\text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{11}\mu_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right), \mu_{11}\mu_{22} \neq 0.$$

$$(2) \quad H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{22} & a_{12}\delta_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},1} & a_{12}\delta_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},1} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12}a_{23}\delta_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},1} & -2\mu_{12}^2a_{23}\delta_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\mu_{21}a_{23}\delta_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},1} & a_{23}\delta_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for $\mathfrak{g} = \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{12}\mu_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$, $\mu_{12}\mu_{21} \neq 0$.

$$(3) \quad H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} (a_{22} + a_{33})\delta_{\mu_{12},0} & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{12},0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}\delta_{\mu_{12},0} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{\mu_{12},0}a_{14} & 0 & a_{16} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} & \mathcal{C} & 0 & \delta_{\mu_{11},0}a_{26} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for $\mathfrak{g} = \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$, where $\mathcal{A} = a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{11}(\mu_{11}-1),0}$, $\mathcal{B} = a_{23}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} + \mu_{12}a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{11},1}$, $\mathcal{C} = a_{24}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} + \mu_{11}^{-1}\mu_{12}^2a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{11},1}$.

$$(4) \quad H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21}\delta_{\mu_0, \mu_{11}} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31}\delta_{\mu_0(\mu_{11}-1),0} & a_{32}\delta_{(\mu_0-1)\mu_{11},0} & a_{11} + a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{11},1} & -\mu_0 a_{34}\delta_{\mu_0\mu_{11},1} \\ 0 & a_{22}\delta_{\mu_{11},1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{26}\delta_{(\mu_0^2-1)\mu_{11},0} \\ 0 & a_{32}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} & a_{33}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} & a_{34}\delta_{\mu_0\mu_{11}(\mu_0\mu_{11}-1),0} & 0 & a_{36}\delta_{\mu_0(\mu_0-1)\mu_{11},0} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for $\mathfrak{g} = \left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$.

$$(5) \quad H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{33} & 0 \\ a_{31}\delta_{\mu_0, \mu_{11}} & a_{32}\delta_{(\mu_0-1)\mu_{11},0} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12}\delta_{\mu_0,0}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} & a_{13}\delta_{\mu_0,0}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} & 0 & 0 & a_{16}\delta_{\mu_0,0} \\ 0 & \mathcal{D} & 0 & 0 & \mu_0 a_{36} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32}\delta_{\mu_{11}(\mu_{11}-1),0} & a_{33}\delta_{\mu_{11}(\mu_{11}-1),0} & a_{34}\delta_{\mu_0,2}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} & 0 & a_{36} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for $\mathfrak{g} = \left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$, $(\mu_0-1)\mu_{11} = 0$, where $\mathcal{D} = 2a_{33}\delta_{\mu_{11},1} + a_{34}\delta_{\mu_{11},0}$.

P r o o f. It is easy to obtain $C_\alpha^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ for $k = 1, 2$ by (4.1) and (4.2). Taking $\mathfrak{g} = \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{11}\mu_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right)$, $\mu_{11}\mu_{22} \neq 0$ for example,

$$C_\alpha^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23}\delta_{\mu_{11}, \mu_{22}} \\ 0 & a_{32}\delta_{\mu_{11}, \mu_{22}} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12}\delta_{\mu_{11}, \mu_{22}} & a_{13} & a_{14}\delta_{\mu_{11}, \mu_{22}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{25}\delta_{\mu_{11}\mu_{22}, 1} & a_{26}\delta_{\mu_{22}^2, 1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{35}\delta_{\mu_{11}^2, 1} & a_{36}\delta_{\mu_{11}\mu_{22}, 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\varphi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in C_\alpha^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$. Then

$$B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} = \{\delta^1\varphi_0: \varphi_0 \in C_\alpha^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}\} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2a_{32} & a_{22} + a_{33} - a_{11} & 2a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Moreover, we have $\varphi_0 \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$ if and only if $\delta^1(\varphi_0) = 0$.

In the same way, we suppose $\varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$ and immediately get $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$ and $B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$.

Now suppose $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{35} & b_{36} \end{pmatrix} \in C_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$, $\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in C_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$. We know that $\psi_0 \in Z_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$ (or $\psi_1 \in Z_\alpha^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{1}}$) if and only if $\delta^1(\psi_0) = 0$ (or $\delta^1(\psi_1) = 0$). \square

5. INFINITESIMAL DEFORMATIONS OF HEISENBERG HOM-LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

Let $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0, \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $\varphi: V \times V \rightarrow V$ be an even bilinear map commuting with α . A bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called an *infinitesimal deformation* of \mathfrak{g} if φ satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad [x, y]_t = -[y, x]_t,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} (-1)^{|x||z|} [\alpha(x), [y, z]_t] = 0$$

for $x, y, z \in V$. The previous equation (5.1) implies φ is skew-supersymmetric. We denote

$$\varphi \circ \psi(x, y, z) = \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} (-1)^{|x||z|} \varphi(\alpha(x), \psi(y, z)),$$

and then equation (5.2) can be denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]_t \circ [\cdot, \cdot]_t = 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0, \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie superalgebra and $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ be an infinitesimal deformation of $g = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0, \alpha)$. Then $\varphi \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$.*

Proof. By (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad 0 &= [\cdot, \cdot]_t \circ [\cdot, \cdot]_t \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} (-1)^{|x||z|} ([\alpha(x), [y, z]_0]_0 + t\varphi(\alpha(x), [y, z]_t)) \\ &= \circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} (-1)^{|x||z|} [t([\alpha(x), \varphi(y, z)]_0 + \varphi(\alpha(x), [y, z]_0)) + t^2\varphi(\alpha(x), \varphi(y, z))]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\circlearrowleft_{x, y, z} (-1)^{|x||z|} ([\alpha(x), \varphi(y, z)]_0 + \varphi(\alpha(x), [y, z]_0)) = (-1)^{|x||z|} \delta^2 \varphi.$$

Hence, $\varphi \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$. \square

By (5.3), we can see that $[\cdot, \cdot]_t$ is an infinitesimal deformation if and only if $\varphi \circ \varphi = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_t = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_t, \alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{g}'_t = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]'_t, \alpha')$ be two deformations of \mathfrak{g} , where $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]'_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\psi(\cdot, \cdot)$. If there exists a linear automorphism $\Phi_t = \text{id} + t\phi$, $\phi \in C_\alpha^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$ satisfying

$$\Phi_t([x, y]_t) = [\Phi_t(x), \Phi_t(y)]'_t \quad \forall x, y \in V,$$

we say that the deformations \mathfrak{g}_t and \mathfrak{g}'_t are equivalent. It is obvious that \mathfrak{g}_t and \mathfrak{g}'_t are equivalent if and only if $\varphi - \psi \in B^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$. Therefore, the set of infinitesimal deformations of \mathfrak{g} can be parameterized by $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}}$. A deformation \mathfrak{g}_t of Hom-Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g} is called *trivial* if it is equivalent to \mathfrak{g} .

Corollary 5.2. *All the infinitesimal deformations of the following Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras are trivial:*

$$(1) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{11}\mu_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_{11}\mu_{22} \neq 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_1, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{12}\mu_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_{12}\mu_{21} \neq 0,$$

$$(3) \quad \left(\mathfrak{h}_2, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_0(\mu_0^2 - 1)\mu_{11} \neq 0.$$

P r o o f. All the infinitesimal deformations of a Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras are trivial if and only if $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})_{\bar{0}} = 0$. \square

In the following, we discuss the nontrivial infinitesimal deformations of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras. We will distinguish two separate cases: the ones that are also Lie superalgebras and those that are not.

We recall the classification of three-dimensional Lie superalgebras, see [11].

Theorem 5.3. *Let $L = (V, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie superalgebras with a direct sum decomposition $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, where $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = 1$ and $\dim V_{\bar{1}} = 2$. There are $e_1 \in V_{\bar{0}}$ and $e_2, e_3 \in V_{\bar{1}}$ such that $\{e_1 \mid e_2, e_3\}$ is a basis of V . Then L must be isomorphic to one of the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} L_1: & [e_1, V_{\bar{1}}] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_2] = e_1, \quad [e_3, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0; \\ L_2: & [e_1, V_{\bar{1}}] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_2] = [e_3, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 0; \\ L_3^\lambda: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = \lambda e_3, \quad [V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{1}}] = 0; \\ L_4: & [e_1, e_2] = e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_2 + e_3, \quad [V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{1}}] = 0; \\ L_5: & [e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_2, \quad [V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{1}}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We construct a new Lie superalgebra $L'_2 = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0)$. Let $\{h \mid v_1, v_2\}$ be a basis of V satisfying $[v_1, v_2]_0 = h$. There is an even bijective morphism $\phi: (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0) \rightarrow L_2$

$$\phi(h) = e_1, \quad \phi(v_1) = e_2 + ie_3, \quad \phi(v_2) = \frac{1}{2}e_2 - \frac{1}{2}ie_3$$

such that $\phi([x, y]_0) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]$ for all $x, y \in V$. Then L'_2 is isomorphic to L_2 and we shall replace L_2 with it in Theorem 5.3.

Proposition 5.4. *A nontrivial infinitesimal deformation of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra (\mathfrak{h}_1, α) , which is also a Lie superalgebra, is isomorphic to*

$$\left(L'_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

P r o o f. Denote by $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \alpha) = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0, \alpha)$. There is a basis $\{h \mid v_1, v_2\}$ such that $[v_1, v_2]_0 = h$ and others are zero. If $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{11}\mu_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\mu_{11}\mu_{22} \neq 0$ or

$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{12}\mu_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & \mu_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mu_{12}\mu_{21} \neq 0$, all the infinitesimal deformations of (\mathfrak{g}_1, α) are trivial.

Consider $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{14}\delta_{\mu_{12},0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{26}\delta_{\mu_{11},0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be an even 2-cocycle and $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then $\varphi \circ \varphi = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_t = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_t, \alpha')$ is an infinitesimal deformation of (\mathfrak{h}_1, α) . Moreover, \mathfrak{g}_t is a Lie superalgebra if and only if $[\cdot, \cdot]_t \circ [\cdot, \cdot]_t = 0$, i.e., $a_{26} = 0$. All deformations are given in Table 1. \square

μ_{11}	μ_{12}	$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$\neq 0$	0	$[v_1, v_2]_t = h$ $[v_2, v_2]_t = a_{14}h$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2}a_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L'_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & \mu'_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$

Table 1.

Proposition 5.5. *The nontrivial infinitesimal deformations of (\mathfrak{h}_2, α) , which are also Lie superalgebras, are isomorphic to:*

$$(1a) \quad \left(L_3^\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad (\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0,$$

$$(1b) \quad \left(L_3^0, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & -\mu_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & -2\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$(1c) \quad \left(L_3^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\mu_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\xi\mu_{11} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\xi^{-1}\mu_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

$$\text{for } \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_0(\mu_0^2 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0.$$

$$(2a) \quad \left(L_3^0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$(2b) \quad \left(L_4, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$(2c) \quad \left(L_3^{\mu_0}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \mu_0 \neq 0, 1$$

$$\text{for } \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

P r o o f. Denote by $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \alpha) = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_0, \alpha)$. There is a basis $\{u \mid v, h\}$ such that $[u, v]_0 = h$ and others are zero.

Consider $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0 \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}$, $\mu_0(\mu_0^2 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0$. Let $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{E} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{F} \end{pmatrix}$, where $\mathcal{E} = a_{26}\delta_{(\mu_0^2 - 1)\mu_{11}, 0}$, $\mathcal{F} = a_{36}\delta_{\mu_0(\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11}, 0}$, be an even 2-cocycle. Then $\varphi \circ \varphi = 0$ and we obtain an infinitesimal deformation $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_t, \alpha')$ where $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$. It is easy to see that it is also a Lie superalgebra. We analyze the cases (1a) $(\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0$, (1b) $\mu_0 = -1$ and (1c) $\mu_0 = 0$, which are given in Tables 2a–2c, separately.

$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$[u, v]_t = h$	$\begin{pmatrix} k_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau a_{26}^{-1} & -\tau k_2 \\ 0 & -\tau a_{36}^{-1} & \tau k_1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$[u, h]_t = a_{26}v + a_{36}h$		
$a_{26}a_{36} \neq 0$	$\begin{aligned} \tau &= (k_1 - k_2)^{-1} \\ k_1 &\neq k_2, k_1 k_2 = -a_{26}^{-1} \\ k_1 + k_2 &= -a_{36}a_{26}^{-1} \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= k_1 k_2^{-1}, \lambda \neq 0, -1 \\ (\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} &= 0 \end{aligned}$
$[u, v]_t = h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{36} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{36}^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & a_{36}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^0, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$[u, h]_t = a_{36}h$		
$a_{36} \neq 0$	$a_{36} \neq 0$	$(\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0$
$[u, v]_t = h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{26}^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa & \kappa a_{26}^{3/2} \\ 0 & -\kappa a_{26}^{1/2} & \kappa a_{26} \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^{-1}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$[u, h]_t = a_{26}h$		
$a_{26} \neq 0$	$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= (1 + a_{26})^{-1} \\ a_{26} &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} (\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} &= 0 \end{aligned}$

Table 2a. The case (1a) $(\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0$.

$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$[u, v]_t = h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{36} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{36}^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & a_{36}^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^0, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu_{11} & \mu_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & 2\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$a_{36} \neq 0$	$a_{36} \neq 0$	

Table 2b. The case (1b) $\mu_0 = -1$.

$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$[u, v]_t = h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{26}^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varrho & \varrho a_{26}^{3/2} \\ 0 & -\varrho a_{26}^{1/2} & \varrho a_{26} \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\mu_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\xi\mu_{11} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\xi^{-1}\mu_{11} & \frac{1}{2}\mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$a_{26} \neq 0$	$\varrho = (1 + a_{26})^{-1}$ $a_{26} \neq 0$	$\xi = -a_{26}^{-1/2}$

Table 2c. The case (1c) $\mu_0 = 0$.

Consider $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix}$, $(\mu_0 - 1)\mu_{11} = 0$. Let $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G} & \mathcal{H} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_0 a_{36} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{36} \end{pmatrix}$,

where $\mathcal{G} = a_{12}\delta_{\mu_0, 0}\delta_{\mu_{11}, 0}$, $\mathcal{H} = a_{13}\delta_{\mu_0, 0}\delta_{\mu_{11}, 0}$, be an even 2-cocycle. Then $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_t, \alpha')$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$, if and only if $\varphi \circ \varphi = 0$, is an infinitesimal deformation. We analyze the cases (2a) $\mu_0 = \mu_{11} = 0$, (2b) $\mu_0 = 1$ and (2c) $\mu_0 \neq 0, 1$, $\mu_{11} = 0$, separately.

For case (2a), $\varphi \circ \varphi = 0$ implies $a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$ or $a_{36} = 0$. Furthermore, if $a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$, the deformation \mathfrak{g}_t is also a Lie superalgebra.

For cases (2b) and (2c), \mathfrak{g}_t is an infinitesimal deformation for all φ . The deformation is also a Lie superalgebra if $a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$.

The deformations of cases (2a), (2b) and (2c) are given in Tables 3a–3c.

$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$[u, v]_t = h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{36} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{36}^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{36}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$a_{36} \neq 0$	$a_{36} \neq 0$	$\mu_{22} = a_{36}$

Table 3a. The case (2a): $\mu_0 = \mu_{11} = 0$

$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$[u, v]_t = a_{36}v + h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{36} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{36} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_4, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$[u, v]_t = a_{36}h$		
$a_{36} \neq 0$	$a_{36} \neq 0$	

Table 3b. The case (2b): $\mu_0 = 1$

$[\cdot, \cdot]_t$	base change	Hom-Lie superalgebra
$[u, v]_t = \mu_0 a_{36}v + h$	$\begin{pmatrix} a_{36} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\mu_0 - 1)a_{36} & \mu_0^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(L_3^\lambda, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$
$[u, v]_t = a_{36}$		
$a_{36} \neq 0$	$a_{36} \neq 0$	$\lambda \neq 0, 1$

Table 3c. The case (2c): $\mu_0 \neq 0, 1, \mu_{11} = 0$

□

Propositions 5.4 and 5.5 give the infinitesimal deformations of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras that are also Lie superalgebras. Before discussing the rest deformations, we will recall some multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebras and those can be find in the classification of multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebras of [10]. Let V be a superspace with a direct sum decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ be an even bilinear map and σ be an even linear map on V . Let $\{e_1 \mid e_2, e_3\}$ be a basis of V . The following are three Hom-Lie superalgebras on V :

$$L_{1,2}^{43,a}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = \beta e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = 0, [e_3, e_3] = \gamma e_1,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \neq 0,$$

$$L_{1,2}^{45,a}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = \beta e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = \nu e_1, [e_3, e_3] = \gamma e_1,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \neq 0,$$

$$L_{1,2}^{46,a,b}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = \beta e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = \mu e_1, [e_3, e_3] = 0,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^2 + b^2 \neq 0.$$

Propositions 5.6 and 5.7 will characterize the infinitesimal deformations of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebras that are not Lie superalgebras.

Proposition 5.6. *An infinitesimal deformation of Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra (\mathfrak{h}_1, α) , which is not a Lie superalgebra, is isomorphic to $L_{1,2}^{46,a,0}$, $a \neq 0$.*

P r o o f. By the proof of Proposition 5.4, we know that (\mathfrak{h}_1, α) have an infinitesimal deformation (not a Lie superalgebra) if and only if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu_{12} \neq 0, \quad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{26} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_{26} \neq 0.$$

There is a basis $\{h \mid v_1, v_2\}$ of V such that $[v_1, v_2]_0 = h$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_t = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_t, \alpha')$ is an infinitesimal deformation, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi$ and $[v_1, v_2]_t = h$, $[h, v_2]_t = a_{26}v_1$, $a_{26} \neq 0$. Then the deformation \mathfrak{g}_t is isomorphic to

$$L_{1,2}^{46,\mu_{12},0}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = a_{26}e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_3, e_3] = 0,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Proposition 5.7. *An infinitesimal deformation of (\mathfrak{h}_2, α) , which is not a Lie superalgebra, is isomorphic to $L_{1,2}^{43,1}$, $L_{1,2}^{45,1}$, or $L_{1,2}^{46,1,0}$.*

P r o o f. By the proof of Proposition 5.5, Heisenberg Hom-Lie superalgebra (\mathfrak{h}_2, α) has infinitesimal deformations if and only if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a basis $\{u \mid v, h\}$ of V such that $[u, v]_0 = h$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_t = (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_t, \alpha')$ is an infinitesimal deformation, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_t = [\cdot, \cdot]_0 + t\varphi$ and $[u, v]_t = h$, $[v, v]_t = a_{12}u$, $[v, h]_t = a_{13}u$. We analyze in three cases.

(a) If $a_{12} \neq 0$ and $a_{13} \neq 0$, the infinitesimal deformation \mathfrak{g}_t is isomorphic to

$$L_{1,2}^{45,1}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = a_{13}e_1, [e_3, e_3] = a_{12}e_3,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) If $a_{12} = 0$ and $a_{13} \neq 0$, \mathfrak{g}_t is isomorphic to

$$L_{1,2}^{46,1,0}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = a_{13}e_1, [e_3, e_3] = 0,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) If $a_{12} \neq 0$ and $a_{13} = 0$, \mathfrak{g}_t is isomorphic to

$$L_{1,2}^{43,1}: [e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = e_2, [e_2, e_2] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = 0, [e_3, e_3] = a_{12}e_1,$$

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

References

- [1] *M. A. Alvarez, F. Cartes*: Cohomology and deformations for the Heisenberg Hom-Lie algebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **67** (2019), 2209–2229. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi, A. Makhlouf*: Cohomology and deformations of Hom-algebras. *J. Lie Theory* **21** (2011), 813–836. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *F. Ammar, A. Makhlouf*: Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras. *J. Algebra* **324** (2010), 1513–1528. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *F. Ammar, A. Makhlouf, N. Saadaoui*: Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q -deformed Witt superalgebra. *Czech. Math. J.* **63** (2013), 721–761. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *Y. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Ma*: Hom-Nijenhuis operators and T^* -extentions of Hom-Lie superalgebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), 2131–2144. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov*: Notes on 1-parameter formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras. *Forum Math.* **22** (2010), 715–739. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *R. Peniche, O. A. Sánchez-Valenzuela*: On Heisenberg-like super group structures. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **10** (2010), 1395–1417. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *M. Rodríguez-Vallarte, G. Salgado, O. A. Sánchez-Valenzuela*: Heisenberg Lie superalgebras and their invariant superorthogonal and supersymplectic forms. *J. Algebra* **332** (2011), 71–86. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *Y. Sheng*: Representations of Hom-Lie algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **15** (2012), 1081–1098. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *C. Wang, Q. Zhang, Z. Wei*: A classification of low dimensional multiplicative Hom-Lie superalgebras. *Open Math.* **14** (2016), 613–628. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *Z. Wang*: A Classification of Low Dimensional Lie Superalgebras: Master Thesis. East China Normal University, Shanghai, 2006. (In Chinese.)

Authors' address: Junxia Zhu, Liangyun Chen (corresponding author), School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, No. 5268, Renmin Street, Changchun, Jilin Province, Changchun, 130024, P. R. China, e-mail: chenly640@nenu.edu.cn.