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#### Abstract

In 1966, Gallai conjectured that all the longest paths of a connected graph have a common vertex. Zamfirescu conjectured that the smallest counterexample to Gallai's conjecture is a graph on 12 vertices. We prove that Gallai's conjecture is true for every connected graph $G$ with $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 5$, which implies that Zamfirescu's conjecture is true.
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## 1. Introduction

Graphs in this paper are simple (without loops or parallel edges), finite and undirected. Let $G$ be a graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $V(G)$. The neighborhood of $v$ in $G$, denoted by $N_{G}(v)$, is the set of vertices in $V(G)$ which are adjacent to $v$. The degree of $v$ in $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(v)$, equals to $\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. A matching in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent edges. A maximum matching is a matching with the largest number of edges. The matching number of $G$, denoted by $\alpha^{\prime}(G)$, is the number of edges in the maximum matching of $G$.

The research on the intersection of longest paths in a graph has a long history. In particular, Gallai in [6] proposed the following conjecture in 1966.

Conjecture 1.1 (Gallai [6]). If $G$ is a connected graph, then all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex.

Three years later, Walther in [9] disproved Gallai's conjecture by exhibiting a counterexample on 25 vertices. Up to now, the smallest counterexample to Gallai's
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conjecture is a graph on 12 vertices (see Figure 1), which was found by Walther in [10] and Zamfirescu in [12], independently. One may find that this graph is somewhat interesting: for each vertex $v$ of it there is a longest path not containing $v$. Therefore, all the longest paths share no common vertex.


Figure 1. A counterexample to Gallai's conjecture on 12 vertices.

Although Gallai's conjecture has been disproved, finding classes of graphs that support this conjecture is also very meaningful. An obvious such example is the class of trees. In 1990, Klavžar and Petkovšek in [7] proved that Conjecture 1.1 holds on split graphs, and every connected graph such that each block is Hamiltonian-connected, almost Hamiltonian-connected or a cycle. As a corollary, Gallai's conjecture is true for the class of cacti. In 2004, Balister, Györi, Lehel, and Schelp in [1] showed that circular arc graphs support Conjecture 1.1. In 2013, Rezende, Fernandes, Martin and Wakabayashi in [5] proved that Conjecture 1.1 also holds on outer-planar graphs and 2-trees. In 2015, Chen in [3] proved that Gallai's conjecture is true for graphs with small matching number. In 2017, Chen et al. in [4] proved that Gallai's conjecture is true for all series-Parallel graphs ( $K_{4}$-minor-free graphs).

In this paper, we prove the following statement:

Theorem 1.1. If $G$ is a connected graph with $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 5$, then all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex.

Theorem 1.1 implies that the following conjecture is true, which was verified by Brinkmann and Van Cleemput, see [2], by using computers.

Conjecture 1.2 (Zamfirescu [11]). A smallest counterexample to Gallai's conjecture is a graph on 12 vertices.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove by contradiction. Let $G$ be a counterexample. Since $G$ is connected, if $G$ has no cycle, then $G$ is a tree, and therefore all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex (a center vertex of $G$ ). So $G$ has a cycle. Let $C=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{r} v_{1}$, $r \geqslant 3$ be a longest cycle of $G$, and $P=x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{s}$ be a longest path of $G$. We write $C\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ for the longer subpath of $C$ between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ (if there are two different longest paths between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ in $C$, we choose one for $C\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ arbitrarily), and $P\left[x_{m}, x_{n}\right]$ for the subpath of $P$ between $x_{m}$ and $x_{n}, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r$ and $0 \leqslant m, n \leqslant s$. Since $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 5$, we have that $r \leqslant 11$ and $s \leqslant 10$. If $C$ is a Hamilton cycle, then every longest path of $G$ is a Hamilton path, therefore all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex. Thus, $C$ is not a Hamilton cycle. Let $R=G-C$ and $u \in V(R)$.

## Claim 2.1. $s \geqslant r$.

Proof. Since $G$ is connected and $C$ is not a Hamilton cycle, there is a vertex $y \in V(R)$ such that $y v_{i} \in E(G)$, where $v_{i} \in V(C)$. Then $y v_{i} v_{i+1} \ldots v_{i-1}$ is a path of length $r$. Since $P$ is a longest path of $G, s \geqslant r$.

Claim 2.2. If there is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $N_{G}(v)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, then every longest path of $G$ containing $v$ must also contain $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a longest path of $G$ such that $v \in V(Q)$. If $v_{1} \notin V(Q)$ or $v_{2} \notin V(Q)$, then $v$ is an end-vertex of $Q$, since $N_{G}(v)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. But now $Q \cup v v_{1}$ or $Q \cup v v_{2}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction.

Claim 2.3. If there is a vertex $v \in V(G) \backslash V(P)$ such that $v x_{i} \in E(G), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant$ $s-1$, then $v x_{i-1}, v x_{i+1} \notin E(G)$.

Proof. If $v x_{i-1} \in E(G)$ or $v x_{i+1} \in E(G)$, then $\left(P-x_{i} x_{i-1}\right) \cup x_{i-1} v x_{i}$ or $\left(P-x_{i} x_{i+1}\right) \cup x_{i+1} v x_{i}$ is a path longer than $P$, a contradiction.

Claim 2.4. If $Q_{1}=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ and $Q_{2}=z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{s}$ are two longest paths of $G$, then $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}=\emptyset$, then since $G$ is connected, there is a path $W$ connecting $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. Suppose that $W$ connects $y_{j} \in Q_{1}$ and $z_{l} \in Q_{2}, 1 \leqslant j, l \leqslant s-1$, and $Q_{1}\left[y_{0}, y_{j}\right]$ is a longer part of $Q_{1}$, and $Q_{2}\left[z_{0}, z_{l}\right]$ is a longer part of $Q_{2}$. Now $Q_{1}\left[y_{0}, y_{j}\right] \cup W\left[y_{j}, z_{l}\right] \cup Q_{2}\left[z_{l}, z_{0}\right]$ is a path longer than $Q_{1}$, a contradiction.

By Claim 2.1 and $s \leqslant 10$ we have $r \leqslant 10$. Now we distinguish several cases in the following subsections.

### 2.1. Proof of the case $r=3$. If $r=3$, then by Claim 2.1 we have $s \geqslant 3$.

Claim 2.5. $|V(P) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 1$.
Proof. If $|V(P) \cap V(C)|=0$, then since $G$ is connected, there is a path $W$ connecting $P$ and $C$. Suppose that $W$ connects $v_{i}$ and $x_{j}$. We assume that $s \geqslant 6$, for otherwise either $v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} W x_{j} P x_{s}$ or $v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} W x_{j} P x_{0}$ is a path longer than $P$, a contradiction. If $s \geqslant 9$, then $x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{4} x_{5}, x_{6} x_{7}, x_{8} x_{9}, v_{1} v_{2}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $s \leqslant 8$. If $x_{j} \notin\left\{x_{3}, x_{s-3}\right\}$ or $v_{i} x_{j} \notin E(G)$, then $s=8$ and $x_{j}=x_{4}$, for otherwise either $v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} W x_{j} P x_{s}$ or $v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} W x_{j} P x_{0}$ is a path longer than $P$, a contradiction. But now $x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{5} x_{6}, x_{7} x_{8}, v_{i-1} v_{i+1}$ and an edge in $x_{4} W v_{i}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $x_{j} \in\left\{x_{3}, x_{s-3}\right\}$ and $v_{i} x_{j} \in E(G)$.

Now we can check that for each $v \in\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\right\}$, the followings hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(P)$ (since $r=3$ );
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash(V(P) \cup V(C))$ (since if there is a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash(V(P) \cup V(C))$ such that $z v \in E(G)$, then $z v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} x_{j} P x_{s}$ or $z v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} x_{j} P x_{0}$ or $z v_{i-1} v_{i+1} v_{i} x_{j} P x_{s}$ or $z v_{i-1} v_{i+1} v_{i} x_{j} P x_{0}$ is a path longer than $P$, a contradiction);
(iii) $d_{G}(v)=2$ (since (i) and (ii)).

By Claim 2.2, every longest path containing $v_{i+1}\left(v_{i-1}\right)$ must also contain $v_{i}$. Now we prove that if a longest path $Q$ contains $v_{i}$, then $Q$ contains $x_{j}$. By Claim 2.4, $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. If $Q$ does not contain $x_{j}$, then there exists a vertex $x_{t} \neq x_{j}$ such that $v_{i} Q x_{t}$ is a segment of $Q$ and $v_{i} Q \cap P=\emptyset$. By Claim 2.3, $x_{t} \notin\left\{x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}\right\}$. But now $v_{i} Q x_{t} P x_{j} v_{i}$ is a cycle of length at least 4 , a contradiction. Thus, every longest path of $G$ containing $v_{i}$ must also contain $x_{j}$. Therefore all the longest paths of $G$ contain $x_{j}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $|V(P) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 1$.

Claim 2.6. For any longest path $Q$ of $G,|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=1$ or $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=3$.
Proof. By Claim 2.5, $|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 1$. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=2$, then without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V(Q)$. Now $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(Q)$, since if $v_{1} v_{2} \notin$ $E(Q)$, then $v_{1} v_{3} v_{2} Q\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right] v_{1}$ is a cycle longer than $C$, a contradiction. But now $\left(Q-v_{1} v_{2}\right) \cup v_{1} v_{3} v_{2}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction.

Claim 2.7. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid=1$, then all the longest paths of $G$ share a common vertex.

Proof. Suppose that $V(Q) \cap V(C)=\left\{y_{j}\right\}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1}=y_{j}$. Let $Q_{1}$ be a longest path of $G$ containing $v_{i}(i \in\{2,3\})$. By Claim 2.4, $Q \cap Q_{1} \neq \emptyset$. If $Q_{1}$ does not contain $y_{j}$, then there exists a vertex $y_{t} \neq y_{j}$ such that
$v_{i} Q_{1} y_{t}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$ and $v_{i} Q_{1} \cap Q=\emptyset$. By Claim 2.3, $y_{t} \notin\left\{y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$. But now $v_{i} Q_{1} y_{t} Q y_{j} v_{i}$ is a cycle of length at least 4 , a contradiction. Thus, every longest path of $G$ containing $v_{2}\left(v_{3}\right)$ must also contain $v_{1}=y_{j}$. Therefore all the longest paths of $G$ contain $y_{j}$.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, by Claims 2.6 and 2.7, for every longest path $Q$ of $G$, $V(C) \subset V(Q)$. But now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $V(C)$, a contradiction.

### 2.2. Proof of the case $r=4$. If $r=4$, then by Claim 2.1, $s \geqslant 4$.

Claim 2.8. For any longest path $Q$ of $G,|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 2$.
Proof. Let $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ be a longest path of $G$. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=0$, then $s \leqslant 6$, for otherwise $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}, v_{3} v_{4}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. But now we could find a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction.

If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=1$, then $s \geqslant 6$, for otherwise we could find a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Suppose that $V(Q) \cap V(C)=\left\{y_{j}\right\}$. Without loss of generality, assume $y_{j}=v_{1}$. If $s \geqslant 9$, then $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{2} v_{3}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $6 \leqslant s \leqslant 8$.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ the following holds:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to $y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}$ (since otherwise $\left(Q-v_{1} y_{j-1}\right) \cup v_{1} C\left[v_{1}, v\right] v y_{j-1}$ or $\left(Q-v_{1} y_{j+1}\right) \cup v_{1} C\left[v_{1}, v\right] v y_{j+1}$ is a path longer than $\left.Q\right)$;
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{j-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=4$ ).

Let $Q_{1}$ be a longest path of $G$ containing $v_{i}, i \in\{2,3,4\}$. By Claim 2.4, $Q \cap Q_{1} \neq \emptyset$. If $Q_{1}$ does not contain $v_{1}$, then there exists a vertex $y_{t} \neq y_{j}\left(v_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i} Q_{1} y_{t}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$ and $v_{i} Q_{1} \cap Q=\emptyset$. By (i) and (ii) we could obtain a cycle of length at least 5 , a contradiction.

Thus, every longest path of $G$ containing $v_{i}, i \in\{2,3,4\}$ must also contain $v_{1}$. Therefore all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{1}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \geqslant 2$.

Claim 2.9. For any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Proof. If not, then there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ and $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ or $v_{i+1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By Claim 2.8, $v_{i+1}, v_{i-2} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. If $v_{i+1} v_{i-2} \in E\left(Q_{1}\right)$, then $\left(Q_{1}-v_{i+1} v_{i-2}\right) \cup v_{i+1} v_{i} v_{i-1} v_{i-2}$ is a path longer than $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus $v_{i+1} v_{i-2} \notin E\left(Q_{1}\right)$. But now $Q_{1}\left[v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}\right] v_{i-2} v_{i-1} v_{i} v_{i+1}$ is a cycle longer than $C$, a contradiction.

Claim 2.10. If there is a vertex $v_{i} \in V(C)$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, then all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i+1}$.

Proof. If not, then there is a longest path $Q_{1}$ such that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ or $v_{i+1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By Claim 2.9, $v_{i} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. We assume that $v_{i}$ is not the endvertex of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $v_{i-1}$ or $v_{i+1}$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \geqslant 3$, a contradiction.

Claim 2.11. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 3$, then for each vertex $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q), d_{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, and all the longest paths of $G$ share a common vertex.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \notin V(Q)$. By Claim 2.9, $v_{2}$, $v_{4} \in V(Q)$. Since $r=4, v_{2} w_{1} v_{4}$ is a subpath of $Q$ in $G\left(w_{1}\right.$ may be a vertex of $\left.V(C)\right)$. Now $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are not end-vertices of $Q$, since otherwise adding $v_{1}$ to $Q$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose $v_{2}=y_{k}, v_{4}=y_{j}, 1 \leqslant k<j \leqslant s-1$.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+1}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=4$ );
(iii) $d_{Q}(v)=2$ (since (i) and (ii)).

If $d_{G}(v)=2\left(v \in\left\{v_{1}, w_{1}\right\}\right)$, then by Claim 2.10, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $d_{G}(v) \geqslant 3$. Thus, there is a vertex $v^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v v^{\prime} \in E(G)$. If there is a vertex $v^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[v_{4}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 3 , for otherwise either $v^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{s}\left(v^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{4} Q y_{s}\right)$ or $v^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime} v_{1} v_{4} Q y_{0}\left(v^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime} w_{1} v_{4} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{0}\right)$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} y_{k-1}, w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}, v_{4} y_{j+1}, y_{s-1} y_{s}$, $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \cup\{v\}$.

Since $N_{Q}(v) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\}, N_{Q}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{4}\right\} \cup\{v \in Q\}$. By Claim 2.3, $v^{\prime} v_{2}, v^{\prime} v_{4} \notin$ $E(G)$. Thus $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=1$.

Now if there is a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $v_{2}$, then by Claim 2.9, $v_{1}, w_{1} \in$ $V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Since $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=d_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right)=2$, there are two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} v_{1} v_{4}$ and $u_{2} w_{1} v_{4}$ are two subpaths of $Q_{1}$. Since $d_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=d_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)=1$, $Q_{1}=u_{1} v_{1} v_{4} w_{1} u_{2}$. But now $u_{1} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} v_{4} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, by Claim 2.11, for every longest path $Q$ of $G, V(C) \subset$ $V(Q)$. But now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $V(C)$, a contradiction.
2.3. Proof of the case $r=5$. If $r=5$, then by Claim 2.1 we have that $s \geqslant 5$.

Claim 2.12. For any longest path $Q$ of $G,|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 3$.

Proof. Let $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ be a longest path of $G$. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \leqslant 1$, then $s \leqslant 6$, for otherwise $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}$ and two independent edges in $C \backslash V(Q)$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. But now, we could find a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=2$, then at least two vertices of $V(C) \backslash$ $V(Q)$ are consecutive in $C$. Suppose $v_{i} W v_{j}$ is a maximum consecutive segment in $C \backslash V(Q)$, and $N_{C \cap Q}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\}, N_{C \cap Q}\left(v_{j}\right)=\left\{\bar{v}_{j}\right\}$. Since $Q$ is a longest path of $G$, the length of $Q\left[\bar{v}_{i}, \bar{v}_{j}\right]$ is at least 3 . But now $v_{i} W v_{j} \bar{v}_{j} Q\left[\bar{v}_{j}, \bar{v}_{i}\right] \bar{v}_{i} v_{i}$ is a cycle of length at least 6 , a contradiction. Thus $|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 3$.

Claim 2.13. For any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Proof. We could obtain this result by the proof of Claim 2.12.

Claim 2.14. If there is a vertex $v_{i} \in V(C)$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, then all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i+1}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Claim 2.10, we could obtain this result.

Claim 2.15. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 4$, then for each vertex $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q), d_{Q}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, and all the longest paths of $G$ share a common vertex.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \notin V(Q)$. By Claim 2.13, $v_{2}, v_{5} \in V(Q)$. Since $r=5, v_{2} w_{1} v_{5}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{5}$ is a subpath of $Q\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right.$ may be vertices of $V(C)$ ). Now $v_{2}$ and $v_{5}$ are not end-vertices of $Q$, since otherwise adding $v_{1}$ to $Q$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose that $v_{2}=y_{k}$, $v_{5}=y_{j}, 1 \leqslant k<j \leqslant s-1$.

We can check that for the vertex $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (if $v_{2} w_{1} v_{5}$ is a segment of $Q$ ) and $w_{1}, w_{2}$, $y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (if $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{5}$ is a segment of $Q$ ) (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=5$ ); (iii) $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$ (since (i) and (ii)).

If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$, then by Claim 2.14, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$ and $v_{5}$. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 3$, then there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$. If there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, then $s=8$ and $Q=y_{0} y_{1} y_{2} v_{2} w_{1} v_{5} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8}$, for otherwise the 5 independent edges in $Q$ together with $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

We can check that for the vertex $w_{1}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to $\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+1}, y_{8}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+1}, y_{8}\right]$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $y_{8} Q w_{1} z Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ or $y_{0} Q w_{1} z Q v_{5} v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a path of length at least 9 , a contradiction);
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $z w_{1} \in E(G)$, then $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} v_{2}, w_{1} z, v_{5} y_{6}, y_{7} y_{8}, v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ are 6 independent edges);
(iv) $d_{G}\left(w_{1}\right)=2$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

If there is a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $v_{2}$, then by Claim 2.13, $v_{1} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By Claim 2.2, $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. If $v_{5} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$, then $C_{1}=v_{1} Q_{1}\left[v_{1}, v_{5}\right] v_{5} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 6 , a contradiction. Thus $v_{5} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By Claim 2.13, $v_{4} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. But now $C_{2}=v_{1} Q_{1}\left[v_{1}, v_{4}\right] v_{4} v_{5} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction. Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, for each vertex $w \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} w \in$ $E(G)$ we must have $N_{G}(w) \cap(V(G) \backslash V(Q))=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{2}$, then by Claim 2.13, $v_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then there exists a vertex $u \in Q\left[w_{1}, v_{5}\right]$ such that $V\left(Q\left[w_{1}, u\right]\right) \cap V\left(Q_{2}\right)=\{u\}$, for otherwise by Claim 2.13, $v_{4} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ and $C_{3}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, v_{4}\right] v_{4} v_{5} Q w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction. But now $C_{4}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, u\right] u Q w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 6 , a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the above, $y_{k-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ and $N_{G}\left(y_{k-1}\right) \cap\left(V(G) \backslash V\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)=\left\{v_{2}\right\}$. Thus $y_{k-2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now $C_{5}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, y_{k-2}\right] y_{k-2} y_{k-1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 6 , a contradiction.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, by Claim 2.15, for every longest path $Q$ of $G, V(C) \subset$ $V(Q)$. But now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $V(C)$, a contradiction.
2.4. Proof of the case $r=6$. If $r=6$, then by Claim 2.1 we have that $s \geqslant 6$.

Claim 2.16. For any longest path $Q$ of $G,|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 3$.
Proof. Let $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ be a longest path of $G$. Similar to the proof of Claim 2.12, we could obtain that $|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 2$.
If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=2$, then at least two vertices of $V(C) \backslash V(Q)$ are consecutive in $C$. Suppose $v_{i} W v_{j}$ is a maximum consecutive segment in $C \backslash V(Q)$, and $N_{C \cap Q}\left(v_{i}\right)=$ $\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\}, N_{C \cap Q}\left(v_{j}\right)=\left\{\bar{v}_{j}\right\}$. If the length of $W\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ is at least 2 , then as $Q$ is a longest path of $G$, the length of $Q\left[\bar{v}_{i}, \bar{v}_{j}\right]$ is at least 4. But now $v_{i} W v_{j} \bar{v}_{j} Q\left[\bar{v}_{j}, \bar{v}_{i}\right] \bar{v}_{i} v_{i}$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction. If the length of $W\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ is at most 1 , then as $r=6$, there are two independent edges in $C \backslash V(Q)$. We assume that $s \leqslant 6$,
since otherwise $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}$ and two independent edges in $C \backslash V(Q)$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. But now, we could find a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction.

Claim 2.17. For any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Proof. If not, then there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ and $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ or $v_{i+1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By the proof of Claim 2.16, $v_{i+1}, v_{i-2} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now $v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $v_{i}, v_{i-1}$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose that $v_{i+1}=y_{k}, v_{i-2}=y_{j}, k<j$. Since $Q_{1}$ is a longest path of $G$ and $r=6, v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right.$ may be vertices of $V(C)$ ). If $s \geqslant 9$, then $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{i} v_{i-1}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $s \leqslant 8$.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{v_{i}, v_{i-1}\right\}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, w_{2}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q_{1}\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q_{1}\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=6$ );
(iii) $N_{Q_{1}}(v) \subseteq\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

If there is a vertex $v_{i}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then as $s \leqslant 8, Q_{1}=$ $y_{0} y_{1} v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8}$. We assume that $N_{G}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q_{1}}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. Since if there is a vertex $v_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, then $y_{0} y_{1} v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2} v_{i-1} v_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is a path of length 9 , and if $v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i-1} \in E(G)$, then $y_{0} y_{1} v_{i+1} v_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i-1} v_{i-2} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8}$ is a path of length 9 , a contradiction. Furthermore, we assume that $N_{G}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{i}, v_{i-2}\right\}$. Since by (iii), we could obtain that $N_{Q_{1}}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}\right\}$, and if $v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i+1} \in E(G)$, then $y_{0} y_{1} v_{i+1} v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i} v_{i-1} v_{i-2} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8}$ is a path of length 9 , a contradiction. Now $v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \notin$ $E(G)$ and there is no vertex $v_{i-1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i-1} v_{i-1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$. Since otherwise $v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i} v_{i-1} v_{i+1} Q y_{8}$ or $v_{i-1}^{\prime} v_{i-1} v_{i} v_{i+1} Q y_{8}$ is a path of length 9 , a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}\left(v_{i-1}\right)=2$.

Now if there is a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{i-2}$, then by Claim 2.2, $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the proof of Claim 2.16, $v_{i}, v_{i-3} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. We can check that $N_{Q_{2}}\left(v_{i-2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{i}, v_{i-3}\right\}$. Now $w_{2} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ or $y_{6} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $w_{2} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. As above, we could prove that $N_{G}\left(w_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{i-2}, v_{i}\right\}$. By $(\mathrm{i}), v_{i} w_{2} \notin E(G)$. Now $d_{G}\left(w_{2}\right)=1$, a contradiction to that $Q_{1}=y_{0} y_{1} v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8}$. Therefore all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-2}$.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, $N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}\right\}$ and $N_{G}\left(v_{i-1}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}, v_{i}\right\}$. If $v_{i} v_{i-2} \in E(G)$, then all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-2}$. Since if there is a longest path $Q_{3}$ not containing $v_{i-2}$, then as $d_{G}\left(v_{i-2}\right) \geqslant 4$, $v_{i-1} \in V\left(Q_{3}\right)$. Now $v_{i-1}, v_{i}$ (if they exist) are not end-vertices of $Q_{3}$, otherwise
adding $v_{i-2}$ to $Q_{3}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $v_{i+1} v_{i-1} v_{i} v_{i+1}$ is a segment of $Q_{3}$, a contradiction. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$. Similarly, $d_{G}\left(v_{i-1}\right)=2$. Now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-2}$. Since if there is a longest path $Q_{4}$ not containing $v_{i-2}$, then by Claim $2.2, v_{i}, v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{4}\right)$. But now by the proof of Claim 2.16, we could obtain that $Q_{4}$ is a path of length at least 10, a contradiction. Since $G$ is a counterexample, for any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in V(Q)$.

Claim 2.18. If there is a vertex $v_{i} \in V(C)$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, then all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i+1}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Claim 2.10, we could obtain this result.

Claim 2.19. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 5$, then for each vertex $v \in V(C) \backslash V(Q), d_{Q}(v)=2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$. By Claim 2.17, $v_{2}, v_{6} \in V(Q)$. Since $r=6, v_{2} w_{1} v_{6}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{6}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{6}$ is a subpath of $Q$ in $G\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right.$ may be vertices of $\left.V(C)\right)$. Now $v_{2}$ and $v_{6}$ are not end-vertices of $Q$, since otherwise adding $v_{1}$ to $Q$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose $v_{2}=y_{k}, v_{6}=y_{j}, 1 \leqslant k<j \leqslant s-1$.

Case 2.4.1. $v_{2} w_{1} v_{6}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, we can check that for the vertex $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-3}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=6$ );
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{k-2}, y_{j+2}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Claim 2.20. $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then since $r=6, v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. Now we can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{w_{1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in$ $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right]$ such that $v z \in E(G)$, then $z Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q w_{1} z$ or $z Q y_{j} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} z$ is a cycle of length at least 7);
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ such that $v z \in E(G)$, then $z Q y_{j} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} z$ or $z Q y_{j+2} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{j+1} z$ is a cycle of length at least 7);
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to $y_{j+1}$ (since otherwise $y_{0} Q w_{1} y_{j+1} y_{j} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$ );
(iv) $N_{Q}(v) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

If there exists a vertex $w_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{6} Q y_{s}$ is a path of length at least 7 and there is no vertex $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$. Since if there exists such vertex, then $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{6}\right]$ has length at least 5 , and $y_{0} y_{1} Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{6} w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a path of length at least 9 , and we could find 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}, N_{Q}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{w_{1}, v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. By Claim 2.3, $w_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}, w_{1}^{\prime} v_{6} \notin E(G)$. If $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{6} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} w_{1}^{\prime}$ is a cycle of length 7 , a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Similarly, we could obtain that for any vertex $y_{j+1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $y_{j+1} y_{j+1}^{\prime} \in E(G), d_{G}\left(y_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

If there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} \in E(G)$, then $s \geqslant 8$, for otherwise either $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{s}$ or $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Furthermore $s=8$, for otherwise $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. We assume that $N_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Since if there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, then $v_{1}^{\prime \prime} v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{s}$ is a path of length at least 10 , a contradiction. As $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}, N_{Q}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. By Claim 2.3, $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}, v_{1}^{\prime} v_{6}, v_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$. Thus $d_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

If there is a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $v_{6}$, then by Claim 2.17, $v_{1}, w_{1}, y_{j+1} \in$ $V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now $v_{1}, w_{1}, y_{j+1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{1}$, otherwise adding $v_{6}$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. If $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, then there are two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} v_{2}, u_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ or $u_{1} y_{j+1} v_{2}$, $u_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ are two subpaths of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} w_{1} v_{2}$ or $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. But now $Q_{1}=u_{1} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u_{2}$ or $Q_{1}=u_{1} y_{j+1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} w_{1} u_{2}$ is a path of length 6 , a contradiction. Since $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=$ $\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}$, there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ or $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Now there is no vertex $u_{1} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} v_{2}$ or $u_{1} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, for otherwise $Q_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} u_{1}$ or $Q_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} u_{1}$, a contradiction. If there is a vertex $u_{1} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, then $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, for otherwise $Q_{1}=u_{1} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u_{2}$ $\left(u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)\right)$, a contradiction. But now $Q_{1}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} w_{1} u_{1}$, a contradiction. Since $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{6}, y_{j+2}\right\}, v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$. Similarly, we could prove that $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$. But now $v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{6}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we could obtain that $v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. Therefore $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.
Case 2.4.2. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{6}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, we can check that for the vertex $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=6$ );
(iii) $d_{Q}(v)=2$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Case 2.4.3. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{6}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, similar to the proof of Case 2.4.1 we could obtain that $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Claim 2.21. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 5$, then all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$. By Claim 2.19, $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$, then by Claim 2.18, all the longest paths of $G$ share a common vertex.

If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 3$, then similar to the proof of Claim 2.15 in the third, forth, fifth paragraphs, we could obtain that for each vertex $w \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} w \in$ $E(G)$ we must have $N_{G}(w) \cap(V(G) \backslash V(Q))=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{2}$, then by Claim 2.17, $v_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then there exists a vertex $u \in Q\left[w_{1}, v_{6}\right]$ such that $u \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, for otherwise by Claim 2.17, $v_{5} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$ and $C_{3}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, v_{5}\right] v_{5} v_{6} Q w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction. But now as $r=6, C_{4}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, u\right] u w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length 6 . Now for $C_{4}, v_{2}, w_{1} \in V\left(C_{4}\right) \backslash V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, a contradiction to Claim 2.17. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By Claim 2.19, $y_{k-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the above, $N_{G}\left(y_{k-1}\right) \cap\left(V(G) \backslash V\left(Q_{2}\right)\right)=\left\{v_{2}\right\}$. Thus $y_{k-2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now $C_{5}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, y_{k-2}\right] y_{k-2} y_{k-1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length 6 , a contradiction to Claim 2.17.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, by Claim 2.21, for every longest path $Q$ of $G, V(C) \subset$ $V(Q)$. But now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $V(C)$, a contradiction.
2.5. Proof of the case $r=7$. If $r=7$, then by Claim 2.1 we have that $s \geqslant 7$.

Claim 2.22. For any longest path $Q$ of $G,|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 3$.
Proof. Let $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ be a longest path of $G$. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \leqslant 2$, then $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}$ and two independent edges in $C \backslash V(Q)$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

Claim 2.23. For any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Proof. If not, then there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ and $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ or $v_{i+1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now similar to the proof of Claim 2.17 in the first paragraph, we
could obtain that $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2}$ or $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right.$ may be vertices of $V(C)$ ) and $7 \leqslant s \leqslant 8$.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{v_{i}, v_{i-1}\right\}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, w_{2}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (if $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$ ) or $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (if $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$ ) (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q_{1}\left[y_{0}, y_{k-3}\right] \cup Q_{1}\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=7$ );
(iii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{k-2}, y_{j+2}\right\}$ (since otherwise $v_{i} v_{i-1} y_{k-2} Q_{1} y_{s}$ or $v_{i-1} v_{i} y_{k-2} Q_{1} y_{s}$ or $v_{i} v_{i-1} y_{j+2} Q_{1} y_{0}$ or $v_{i-1} v_{i} y_{j+2} Q_{1} y_{0}$ is a path of length at least 9 , a contradiction);
(iv) $N_{Q_{1}}(v) \subseteq\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

Now similar to the proof of Claim 2.17 in the third, forth and fifth paragraphs, we could obtain that for any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Claim 2.24. If there is a vertex $v_{i} \in V(C)$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, then all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i+1}$.

Proof. We could obtain this result similarly as in the proof of Claim 2.10.
Claim 2.25. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 6$, then for each vertex $v \in V(C) \backslash V(Q), d_{Q}(v)=2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$. By Claim 2.23, $v_{2}, v_{7} \in V(Q)$. Since $r=7, v_{2} w_{1} v_{7}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{7}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{7}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} v_{7}$ is a subpath of $Q\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right.$ may be vertices of $\left.V(C)\right)$. Now $v_{2}$ and $v_{7}$ are not end-vertices of $Q$, since otherwise adding $v_{1}$ to $Q$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose $v_{2}=y_{k}, v_{7}=y_{j}, 1 \leqslant k<j \leqslant s-1$.

Case 2.5.1. $v_{2} w_{1} v_{7}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, we can check that for the vertex $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-4}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=7$ );
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{k-2}, y_{k-3}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Claim 2.26. $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+3} \in E(G)$, then by Claim 2.3, $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$. Furthermore, as $r=7, v_{1} y_{k-2}, v_{1} y_{k-3} \notin E(G)$. We assume that $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$. Since if there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 2 , for otherwise $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{s}$ or $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer
than $Q$, a contradiction. But now $s \geqslant 9$ and $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

Now we can check that for the vertex $w_{1}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $z Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q w_{1} z$ or $z Q v_{7} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} z$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction);
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{j+1}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. Since otherwise

$$
y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} w_{1} y_{j+1} Q y_{s} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} Q v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} y_{j} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}
$$

is a path longer than $Q$;
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (If there exists a vertex $z \in$ $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 2, for otherwise $z w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} Q y_{s}$ or $z w_{1} Q y_{j+3} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} v_{1}, w_{1} z, v_{7} y_{j+1}, y_{j+2} y_{j+3}$, $y_{s-1} y_{s}$ are 6 independent edges.);
(iv) $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+3}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{7}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $v_{7}$, then by Claim 2.23, $w_{1}, v_{1} \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now $w_{1}, v_{1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $v_{7}$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} w_{1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we could obtain that $v_{1} y_{k-3} \notin E(G)$.
Claim 2.27. $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then as $r=7, v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. We can check that for the vertex $w_{1}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $z Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q w_{1} z$ or $z Q v_{7} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} z$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction);
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}, y_{j+3}\right\}$ (since otherwise $y_{0} Q y_{k-1}$ $w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} w_{1} y_{j+1} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q w_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction);
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+2}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Similarly, we could prove that $N_{Q}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+2}\right\}$.
If there exists a vertex $w_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} Q y_{s}$ is a path of length at least 7. If there is a vertex $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash$ $V(Q)$ such that $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, then $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{7}\right]$ has length at least 5 , for otherwise $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now
$y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{7} w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is a path of length at least 10 , and we could find 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. By (iii), $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{w_{1}, v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. By Claim 2.3, $w_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}, w_{1}^{\prime} v_{7} \notin E(G)$. If $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{7} w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Similarly, we could prove that $d_{G}\left(y_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)=1$ holds for any vertex $y_{j+1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $y_{j+1} y_{j+1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$.

Now if there is a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{7}$, then by Claim 2.23, $v_{1}, v_{6} \in$ $V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then by the proof of Claim 2.16, $y_{j+1}, v_{2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the proof of Claim 2.23 we could check that $N_{Q_{2}}\left(v_{7}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, y_{j+1}\right\}$. But now $v_{1} \notin$ $V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Similarly, we could obtain that $y_{j+1} \in$ $V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Now $v_{1}, w_{1}, y_{j+1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{2}$, since otherwise adding $v_{7}$ to $Q_{2}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. If $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, then there are two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} v_{2}, u_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ or $u_{1} w_{1} y_{j+2}$, $u_{2} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ are two subpaths of $Q_{2}$, for otherwise $y_{j+2} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ or $y_{j+2} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, a contradiction. But now $Q_{2}=u_{1} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u_{2}$ or $Q_{2}=$ $u_{2} y_{j+1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} w_{1} u_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ or $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$. Now both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 2, for otherwise either $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{s}$ or $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Furthermore, both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths exactly 2, for otherwise $s \geqslant 9$ and $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. We assume that $N_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Since if there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$, then $v_{1}^{\prime \prime} v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{s}$ is a path of length at least 9 , a contradiction. Now as $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+2}\right\}, N_{Q}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. By Claim 2.3, $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}, v_{1}^{\prime} v_{7}, v_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$. Thus $d_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

Since $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, there is no vertex $u_{1} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} v_{2}$ or $u_{1} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, for otherwise $Q_{2}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} u_{1}$ or $Q_{2}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} u_{1}$, a contradiction. If there is a vertex $u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, then $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, for otherwise $Q_{2}=u_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u_{3}\left(u_{3} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)\right)$, a contradiction. But now $Q_{2}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} w_{1} u_{2}$, a contradiction. Since $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{j+2}\right\}, v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$. Similarly, we could prove that $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$. But now $v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{2}$, a contradiction. Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{7}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we could obtain that $v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. Therefore $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.
Case 2.5.2. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{7}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, we can check that for the vertex $v_{1} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-3}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=7$ );
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{7}, y_{k-2}, y_{j+2}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

If $v_{1} y_{k-2} \in E(G)$ or $v_{1} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then similar to the proof of Case 2.5.1 we could obtain that $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Case 2.5.3. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{7}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, similar to the proof of Case 2.5.1 we could obtain that $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Case 2.5.4. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} v_{7}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, similar to the proof of Case 2.5.1 we could obtain that $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Claim 2.28. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 6$, then all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$. By Claim 2.25, $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$, then by Claim 2.24, all the longest paths of $G$ share a common vertex.

If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 3$, then similar to the proof of Claim 2.15 in the third, forth, fifth paragraphs, we could obtain that for any vertex $w \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} w \in$ $E(G), N_{G}(w) \cap(V(G) \backslash V(Q))=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{2}$, then by Claim 2.23, $v_{1}, v_{3} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then there exists a vertex $u \in Q\left[w_{1}, v_{7}\right]$ such that $u \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, for otherwise by Claim 2.23, $v_{6} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Now $C_{2}=v_{1} Q_{2}\left[v_{1}, v_{6}\right] v_{6} v_{7} Q w_{1} v_{2} v_{1}$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} u \in E(Q)$ and $u \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Now we could check that $N_{Q_{2}}\left(v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{1}, u\right\}$. Thus $u=v_{3}$. By Claim 2.25, $y_{k-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the above, $y_{k-2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now $C_{3}=y_{k-2} Q_{2}\left[y_{k-2}, u\right] u w_{1} v_{2} y_{k-1} y_{k-2}$ is a cycle of length at least 8 , a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By Claim 2.25, $w_{1}=v_{3}$ and $y_{k-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the above, $y_{k-2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now we could check that $N_{Q_{2}}\left(v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{3}, y_{k-2}\right\}$, a contradiction.

Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$.
Since $G$ is a counterexample, by Claim 2.28, for any longest path $Q$ of $G, V(C) \subset$ $V(Q)$. But now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $V(C)$, a contradiction.
2.6. Proof of the case $r=8$. If $r=8$, then by Claim 2.1 we have that $s \geqslant 8$.

Claim 2.29. For any longest path $Q$ of $G,|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \geqslant 4$.

Proof. Let $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ be a longest path of $G$. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)| \leqslant 2$, then $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}$ and two independent edges in $C \backslash V(Q)$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. If $|V(Q) \cap V(C)|=3$, then at least two vertices of $V(C) \backslash V(Q)$ are consecutive in $C$. Suppose $W\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ is a maximum consecutive segment in $C \backslash V(Q)$, and $N_{C \cap Q}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\}, N_{C \cap Q}\left(v_{j}\right)=\left\{\bar{v}_{j}\right\}$. If $W\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ has length 1 , then there are two independent edges in $C \backslash V(Q)$. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}$ and the two independent edges are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. If $W\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ has length at least 2, then as $Q$ is a longest path of $G$, the length of $Q\left[\bar{v}_{i}, \bar{v}_{j}\right]$ is at least 4 . Suppose that $\bar{v}_{i}=y_{k}, \bar{v}_{j}=y_{l}, k<l$. We assume that both $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{l}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 3 , for otherwise $v_{j} W v_{i} \bar{v}_{i} Q\left[\bar{v}_{i}, y_{s}\right]$ or $v_{i} W v_{j} \bar{v}_{j} Q\left[\bar{v}_{j}, y_{0}\right]$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now the length of $Q$ is at least 10 , and $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}$, $y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}$ with an edge in $W\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

Claim 2.30. For any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Proof. If not, then there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ and $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ such that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$ or $v_{i+1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{i-1} \notin V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now similar to the first paragraph of the proof of Claim 2.17 we could obtain that $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2}$ or $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$ and $s=8$.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{v_{i}, v_{i-1}\right\}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, w_{2}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}\left(\right.$ if $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $\left.Q_{1}\right)$ or $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (if $v_{i+1} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{i-2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$ ) (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q_{1}\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q_{1}\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q_{1}\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q_{1}\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ such that $v z \in E(G)$, then $v_{i} v_{i-1} z Q_{1} y_{s}$ or $v_{i-1} v_{i} z Q_{1} y_{s}$ or $v_{i} v_{i-1} z Q_{1} y_{0}$ or $v_{i-1} v_{i} z Q_{1} y_{0}$ is a path of length at least 9 , a contradiction);
(iii) $N_{Q_{1}}(v) \subseteq\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i-2}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Now similar to the proof of Claim 2.17 in the third, forth and fifth paragraphs we could obtain that for any longest path $Q$ of $G$, if $v_{i} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, then $v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} \in$ $V(Q)$.

Claim 2.31. If there is a vertex $v_{i} \in V(C)$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$, then all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i+1}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Claim 2.10 we could obtain this result.
Claim 2.32. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 7$, then for each vertex $v \in V(C) \backslash V(Q), d_{Q}(v)=2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$. By Claim 2.31, $v_{2}, v_{8} \in V(Q)$. Since $r=8, v_{2} w_{1} v_{8}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{8}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{8}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} v_{8}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} v_{8}$ is a subpath of $Q$ in $G\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}\right.$ may be vertices of $V(C))$. Now $v_{2}$ and $v_{8}$ are not end-vertices of $Q$, since otherwise adding $v_{1}$ to $Q$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose $v_{2}=y_{k}, v_{8}=y_{j}$, $1 \leqslant k<j \leqslant s-1$.

Case 2.6.1. $v_{2} w_{1} v_{8}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, we can check that for the vertex $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-5}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=8$ );
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{k-2}, y_{k-3}, y_{k-4}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}, y_{j+4}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Claim 2.33. $v_{1} y_{j+4} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+4} \in E(G)$, then $v_{1} y_{k-2}, v_{1} y_{k-3}, v_{1} y_{k-4} \notin E(G)$, otherwise we could find a cycle longer than $C$, a contradiction. By Claim 2.3, $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin$ $E(G)$. If there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} v_{8} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} y_{j+3} y_{j+4} y_{j+5}$ is a path of length 9 . Since $Q$ is a longest path, $s \geqslant 9$. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+4}\right\}$.

Now we can check that for the vertex $w_{1}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $z Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+4} Q w_{1} z$ or $z Q y_{j+4} Q v_{8} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} z$ is a cycle of length at least 9$)$;
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{j+1}, y_{j+3}\right\}$. Since otherwise

$$
y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} w_{1} y_{j+1} Q y_{s} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} Q w_{1} y_{j+3} Q v_{8} v_{1} y_{j+4} Q y_{s}
$$

is a path longer than $Q$;
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (If there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{8}\right]$ has length at least 4, otherwise $z w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} v_{1}, w_{1} z, v_{8} y_{j+1}, y_{j+2} y_{j+3}$, $y_{j+4} y_{j+5}$ are 6 independent edges.);
(iv) $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+4}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

If $v_{1} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$ or $w_{1} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then we can check that for the vertex $y_{j+1}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $y_{j+1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=8$ );
(ii) $y_{j+1}$ is not adjacent to $y_{j+3}$ (since otherwise $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{0} Q y_{j+1} y_{j+3} y_{j+2} v_{1} y_{j+4} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction);
(iii) $y_{j+1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (If there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $y_{j+1} z \in E(G)$, then $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{j+2}\right]$ has length at least 6 , otherwise $z y_{j+1} v_{8} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} Q y_{s}$ or $z y_{j+1} v_{8} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} v_{1}, w_{1} v_{8}, y_{j+1} z, y_{j+2} y_{j+3}, y_{j+4} y_{j+5}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.);
(iv) $N_{G}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+4}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $v_{8}$, then by Claim 2.30, $Q_{1}$ contains $w_{1}, y_{j+1}, v_{1}$. Now $w_{1}, y_{j+1}, v_{1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $v_{8}$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Thus $v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ or $v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+4}$ or $y_{j+2} w_{1} y_{j+4}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Now $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+4}$ or $y_{j+2} y_{j+1} y_{j+4}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+4}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Now we assume that $y_{j+2} v_{1} y_{j+4}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+4} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ or $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} w_{1} v_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. But now $y_{j+4} y_{j+1} v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} v_{1} y_{j+4}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$, a contradiction.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+2}, w_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$. Now if there exists a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{8}$, then by Claim 2.30, $Q_{2}$ contains $v_{1}, w_{1}$. We say that $v_{1}$, $w_{1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{2}$, since otherwise adding $v_{8}$ to $Q_{2}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+4} w_{1} v_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$, a contradiction. Thus all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+4} \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we could prove that $v_{1} y_{k-4} \notin E(G)$.

Claim 2.34. $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+3} \in E(G)$, then as $r=8, v_{1} y_{k-2}, v_{1} y_{k-3} \notin E(G)$. By Claim 2.3, $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$. We assume that $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$, since if there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in$ $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 2 . But now $s \geqslant 9$ and $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{2} y_{3}, y_{4} y_{5}, y_{6} y_{7}, y_{8} y_{9}, v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

Now we can check that for the vertex $w_{1}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $z Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q v_{8} w_{1} z$ or $z Q v_{8} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} z$ is a cycle of length at least 9 );
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{k-1}, y_{j+4}\right\}$. Since otherwise

$$
y_{0} Q y_{k-1} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q w_{1} y_{j+4} Q y_{s}
$$

is a path longer than $Q$;
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{j+1}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. Since otherwise

$$
y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} w_{1} y_{j+1} Q y_{s} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} Q w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{8} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}
$$

is a path longer than $Q$;
(iv) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (If there exists a vertex $z \in$ $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 2, for otherwise $z w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ or $z w_{1} Q y_{j+3} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$. But now $s \geqslant 9$ and $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} v_{1}, w_{1} z, v_{8} y_{j+1}, y_{j+2} y_{j+3}, y_{j+4} y_{j+5}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.);
(v) $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)).

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{3}$ not containing $v_{8}$, then by Claim 2.30, $v_{1}, v_{7} \in V\left(Q_{3}\right)$. If $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{3}\right)$, then $w_{1}, v_{1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{3}$, since otherwise adding $v_{8}$ to $Q_{3}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} w_{1} v_{2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{3}$, a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{3}\right)$. By the proof of Claim 2.29, $v_{2}, y_{j+1} \in V\left(Q_{3}\right)$. We could check that $N_{Q_{3}}\left(v_{8}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, y_{j+1}\right\}$, a contradiction to $v_{1}, v_{7} \in V\left(Q_{3}\right)$.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin E(G)$.
Similarly, we could prove that $v_{1} y_{k-3} \notin E(G)$.
Claim 2.35. $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+2} \in E(G)$, then by the proof of the case $v_{1} y_{j+4} \in E(G)$, $v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$.

Now we can check that for the vertex $w_{1}$, the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-3}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=8$ );
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{j+1}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+3}\right\}$ (since otherwise $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{8}$ $w_{1} y_{j+1} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{0} Q y_{k-1} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} Q w_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$ );
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+4}, y_{k-2}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

By symmetry, we could prove that $N_{Q}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+4}, y_{k-2}\right\}$.
If $w_{1} y_{k-2} \in E(G)$, then $w_{1} y_{j+4}, y_{j+1} y_{j+4} \notin E(G)$, for otherwise

$$
y_{k-2} y_{k-1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{j+4} w_{1} y_{k-2} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{k-2} y_{k-1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+3} y_{j+4} y_{j+1} v_{8} w_{1} y_{k-2}
$$

is a cycle of length at least 10 , a contradiction. Now $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{8}\right]$ has length at least 5 , otherwise $y_{k-1} y_{k-2} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Further, if there exists a vertex $w_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ has length at least 2 , otherwise $w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{8} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$,
a contradiction. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{k-1} v_{2}, w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}, v_{8} v_{1}, y_{j+1} y_{j+2}, y_{j+3} y_{j+4}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right)=N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{k-2}\right\}$. Similarly, we could obtain that $N_{G}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{k-2}\right\}$. Now if there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} \in E(G)$, then $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{8}\right]$ has length at least 6 , otherwise $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} y_{k-1} y_{k-2} w_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now $s \geqslant 9$ and we could find 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. If there is a longest path $Q_{4}$ not containing $v_{8}$, then by Claim 2.30, $v_{1}, w_{1}, y_{j+1} \in V\left(Q_{4}\right)$. We assume that $v_{1}, w_{1}, y_{j+1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{4}$, since otherwise adding $v_{8}$ to $Q_{4}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Since $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}, v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{4}$. Now either $v_{2} w_{1} y_{k-2}$ and $y_{j+2} y_{j+1} y_{k-2}$ or $y_{j+2} w_{1} y_{k-2}$ and $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{k-2}$ are two subpaths of $Q_{4}$. But now $y_{k-2} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} y_{k-2}$ or $y_{k-2} w_{1} y_{j+2} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{k-2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{4}$, a contradiction. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $w_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. Similarly, we could prove that $w_{1} y_{j+4}, y_{j+1} y_{k-2}, y_{j+1} y_{j+4} \notin E(G)$. Thus $N_{Q}(v) \subseteq$ $\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}, v \in\left\{w_{1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$.

If there exists a vertex $w_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then $N_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{w_{1}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. Since if there exists a vertex $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+2}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 3 , for otherwise $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ or $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{1} v_{8} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, y_{k-1} v_{2}, w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}, v_{8} v_{1}, y_{j+1} y_{j+2}, y_{j+3} y_{j+4}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $N_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $N_{Q}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}, N_{Q}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{w_{1}, v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. By Claim 2.3, $w_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}, w_{1}^{\prime} v_{8} \notin E(G)$. Thus $N_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{w_{1}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. Similarly, we could prove that for any vertex $y_{j+1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $y_{j+1} y_{j+1}^{\prime} \in E(G), N_{G}\left(y_{j+1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{y_{j+1}, v_{2}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{5}$ not containing $v_{8}$, then by Claim 2.30, $v_{1}, v_{7} \in V\left(Q_{5}\right)$. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{5}\right)$, then by the proof of Claim 2.29, $v_{2}, y_{j+1} \in V\left(Q_{5}\right)$. We could check that $N_{Q_{5}}\left(v_{8}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{v_{2}, y_{j+1}\right\}$, a contradiction to $v_{1}, v_{7} \in V\left(Q_{5}\right)$. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{5}\right)$. Similarly, we could prove that $y_{j+1} \in V\left(Q_{5}\right)$. Now if $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a subpath of $Q_{5}$, then there are two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} v_{2}, u_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ or $u_{1} w_{1} y_{j+2}$, $u_{2} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ are two segments of $Q_{5}$. But now $Q_{5}=u_{1} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u_{2}$ or $Q_{5}=$ $u_{2} y_{j+1} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+2} w_{1} u_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ or $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} y_{j+2}$ is a segment of $Q_{5}$. Now $Q=y_{0} y_{1} v_{2} w_{1} v_{8} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} y_{7} y_{8}$, otherwise we could find 6 independent edges, a contradiction. We assume that $w_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$, otherwise $y_{0} y_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} y_{7} y_{8}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Similarly, $d_{G}\left(y_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{5}$. Since $s=8, N_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=N_{Q}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Since $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}, N_{Q}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. By Claim 2.3, $v_{1}^{\prime} v_{2}, v_{1}^{\prime} v_{8}, v_{1}^{\prime} y_{j+2} \notin$
$E(G)$. Thus $d_{G}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$. If $v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2}$ or $v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a segment of $Q_{5}$, then there exists a vertex $u \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u$ or $y_{j+2} w_{1} u$ is a segment of $Q_{5}$. But now $Q_{5}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} u$ or $Q_{5}=v_{1}^{\prime} v_{1} v_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} w_{1} u$, a contradiction. Thus, there are two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{1} w_{1} v_{2}, u_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ or $u_{1} w_{1} y_{j+2}, u_{2} y_{j+1} v_{2}$ are two segments of $Q_{5}$. Now either $Q_{5}=u_{1} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ or $Q_{5}=u_{2} y_{j+1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin$ $E(G)$.

Similarly, we could prove that $v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. Thus $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.
Case 2.6.2. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} v_{8}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, we can check that for vertex $v_{1} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, y_{k-1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$ (by Claim 2.3);
(ii) $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-4}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=8$ );
(iii) $N_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}, y_{k-2}, y_{k-3}\right\}$ (since (i) and (ii)).

Claim 2.36. $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$ and $v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. We could obtain the result similarly as in the proof of Case 2.6.1.

Claim 2.37. $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin E(G)$.
Proof. If $v_{1} y_{j+3} \in E(G)$, then as $r=8, v_{1} y_{k-3}, v_{1} y_{k-2} \notin E(G)$. By Claim 2.3, $v_{1} y_{j+2} \notin E(G)$. If there exists a vertex $v_{1}^{\prime} \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime} \in E(G)$, then both $Q\left[y_{0}, v_{2}\right]$ and $Q\left[y_{j+3}, y_{s}\right]$ have lengths at least 2 . But now $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} w_{1}, w_{2} v_{8}$, $y_{j+1} y_{j+2}, y_{j+3} y_{j+4}, v_{1} v_{1}^{\prime}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=3$.

We can check that for vertex $w_{2}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{2}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\left\{y_{k-1}, y_{j+2}\right\}$. Since otherwise

$$
y_{0} Q y_{k-1} w_{2} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} Q w_{2} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} v_{8} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}
$$

is a path longer than $Q$;
(ii) $w_{2}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-2}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+4}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=8$ );
(iii) $w_{2}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (Since if there exists a vertex $z \in$ $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{2} z \in E(G)$, then $s \geqslant 9$, for otherwise $z w_{2} w_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$. But now $y_{0} y_{1}, v_{2} w_{1}, w_{2} z, v_{8} v_{1}, y_{j+1} y_{j+2}, y_{j+3} y_{j+4}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.);
(iv) $N_{G}\left(w_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, w_{1}, y_{j+1}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

Similarly, we could prove that $N_{G}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}\right\}$.
We can check that for vertex $w_{1}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to $y_{j+1}, y_{j+4}$ (since otherwise $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} v_{8} w_{2} w_{1} y_{j+1} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{0} Q v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q w_{1} y_{j+4} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$ );
(ii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $Q\left[y_{0}, y_{k-1}\right] \cup Q\left[y_{j+5}, y_{s}\right]$ (since $r=8$ );
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (Since if there exists a vertex $z \in$ $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $w_{1} z \in E(G)$, then $s \geqslant 9$, for otherwise $z w_{1} Q y_{j+3} v_{1} v_{2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$. But now $y_{k-1} v_{2}, w_{1} z, w_{2} v_{8}, y_{j+1} y_{j+2}, y_{j+3} v_{1}, y_{j+4} y_{j+5}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.);
(iv) $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}, y_{j+2}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

Similarly, we could prove that $N_{G}\left(y_{j+2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, y_{j+1}, w_{1}\right\}$.
Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{6}$ not containing $v_{8}$, then by Claim 2.30, $v_{1}, w_{2}, y_{j+1} \in V\left(Q_{6}\right)$. Now $v_{1}, w_{2}$ and $y_{j+1}$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{6}$, since otherwise adding $v_{8}$ to $Q_{6}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Since $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=3, v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$. If $v_{2} w_{2} w_{1}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$, then since $N_{G}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}\right\}, y_{j+3} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$. Since $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}, y_{j+2}\right\}, N_{G}\left(y_{j+2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}\right.$, $\left.w_{1}, y_{j+1}\right\}, Q_{6}=w_{1} w_{2} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$, a contradiction. If $v_{2} w_{2} y_{j+1}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$, then since $N_{G}\left(y_{j+1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+2}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}\right\}, y_{j+1} y_{j+2} \in E\left(Q_{6}\right)$. Now $y_{j+2} w_{1} \notin E\left(Q_{6}\right)$, otherwise $y_{0} Q v_{2} w_{1} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} w_{2} v_{8} v_{1} y_{j+3} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{6}\right)$, then $\left(Q_{6}-v_{2} w_{2}\right) \cup v_{2} w_{1} w_{2}$ is a path longer than $Q_{6}$, a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{6}\right)$. Since $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}, y_{j+2}\right\}, y_{j+3} w_{1} \in$ $E\left(Q_{6}\right)$. But now $Q_{6}=y_{j+2} y_{j+1} w_{2} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} w_{1}$, a contradiction. If $v_{2} w_{2} y_{j+3}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$, then $y_{j+3} w_{2} v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$, a contradiction. Thus $w_{2} v_{2} \notin E\left(Q_{6}\right)$. Similarly, $y_{j+1} v_{2}, w_{2} y_{j+3}, y_{j+1} y_{j+3} \notin E\left(Q_{6}\right)$. Therefore $w_{1} w_{2} y_{j+1}$ and $w_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2}$ are two segments of $Q_{6}$. Since $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, w_{2}, y_{j+2}\right\}$, $N_{G}\left(y_{j+2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{2}, v_{8}, y_{j+3}, w_{1}, y_{j+1}\right\}, w_{1} v_{2}, y_{j+2} y_{j+3} \in E\left(Q_{6}\right)$ or $w_{1} y_{j+3}, y_{j+2} v_{2} \in$ $E\left(Q_{6}\right)$. But now $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} y_{j+2} y_{j+1} w_{2} w_{1} v_{2}$ or $v_{2} v_{1} y_{j+3} w_{1} w_{2} y_{j+1} y_{j+2} v_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{6}$, a contradiction. Therefore all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{8}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v_{1} y_{j+3} \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we could obtain that $v_{1} y_{k-3} \notin E(G)$. Therefore $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Case 2.6.3. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} v_{8}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, similar to the proof of Case 2.6.1, $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Case 2.6.4. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} v_{8}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, similar to the proof of Case 2.6.1, $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Case 2.6.5. $v_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} v_{8}$ is a subpath of $Q$. In this case, similar to the proofs of Case 2.6.1 and Case 2.6.2, $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$.

Claim 2.38. If there is a longest path $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ of $G$ such that $\mid V(Q) \cap$ $V(C) \mid \leqslant 7$, then all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $v_{1} \in V(C) \backslash V(Q)$. By Claim 2.32, $d_{Q}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$. If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=2$, then by Claim 2.31, all the longest paths of $G$ share a common vertex.

If $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant 3$, then similar to the proof of Claim 2.15 in the third, forth, fifth paragraphs we could obtain that for any vertex $w \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v_{1} w \in$ $E(G), N_{G}(w) \cap(V(G) \backslash V(Q))=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $v_{2}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $v_{2}$, then by Claim 2.30, $v_{1}, v_{3} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. If $w_{1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then there exists a vertex $u \in Q\left[w_{1}, v_{8}\right]$ such that $u \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, for otherwise by Claim 2.30, $v_{7} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the proof of Claim 2.29, $Q_{2}$ is a path of length at least 10 , a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} u \in E(Q)$ and $u \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Now we could check that $N_{Q_{2}}\left(v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{1}, u\right\}$. Thus $u=v_{3}$. By Claim 2.32, $y_{k-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the above, $y_{k-2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now $Q_{2}$ is a path of length at least 10, a contradiction. Thus $w_{1} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By Claim 2.32, $w_{1}=v_{3}$ and $y_{k-1} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. By the above, $y_{k-2} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now we could check that $N_{Q_{2}}\left(v_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{3}, y_{k-2}\right\}$, a contradiction.

Since $G$ is a counterexample, by Claim 2.38, for any longest path $Q$ of $G, V(C) \subset$ $V(Q)$. But now all the longest paths of $G$ contain $V(C)$, a contradiction.
2.7. Proof of the case $r=9$. If $r=9$, then by Claim 2.1 we have that $s \geqslant 9$. Theorem 2.1 is very important for the following proof.

Theorem 2.1 (Petersen's theorem, [8]). Every bridgeless cubic graph has a perfect matching.

Claim 2.39. If $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{s}$ is a longest path of $G$, then there is no edge in $G \backslash V(Q)$.

Proof. If there is an edge $e \in G \backslash V(Q)$, then the 5 independent edges in $Q$ together with $e$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

Claim 2.40. For any $v \in V(G) \backslash V(Q), d_{G}(v)=3$.
Proof. If $d(v) \leqslant 2$, then suppose that $v x \in E(G)$. Now we assume that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $x$, since if there is a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $x$, then by Claim 2.39, $v \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now $v$ is not the end-vertex of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $x$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $d_{G}(v) \geqslant 3$, a contradiction.

If $d_{G}(v) \geqslant 4$, then by Claim 2.3 and $r=9, d_{G}(v)=4$. Suppose that $N_{G}(v)=$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ and $u_{1}=y_{j_{1}}, u_{2}=y_{j_{2}}, u_{3}=y_{j_{3}}, u_{4}=y_{j_{4}}, 1 \leqslant j_{1}<j_{2}<j_{3}<j_{4} \leqslant$ $s-1$.

If $v u_{1} Q\left[u_{1}, u_{4}\right] u_{4} v$ is a cycle of length 9 , then either $u_{1} w_{1} w_{2} u_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ or $u_{1} w_{1} u_{2} w_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ or $u_{1} w_{1} u_{2} w_{2} u_{3} w_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ is a subpath of $Q$. If $u_{1} w_{1} w_{2} u_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ is a subpath of $Q$, then $Q=y_{0} u_{1} w_{1} w_{2} u_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4} y_{9}$, for otherwise we could find 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{w_{3}, w_{4}\right\}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to $y_{0}, y_{9}$ (since $r=9$ );
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to $w_{1}, w_{2}$ (since otherwise we could obtain a path longer than $Q$ );
(iii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v z \in E(G)$, then $y_{0} u_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}, u_{2} v, w_{3} z, u_{3} w_{4}, u_{4} y_{9}$ or $y_{0} u_{1}, w_{1} w_{2}, u_{2} v, w_{3} u_{3}, w_{4} z, u_{4} y_{9}$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction);
(iv) $w_{3} w_{4} \notin E(G)$ (since otherwise $y_{0} Q w_{3} w_{4} u_{3} v u_{4} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction);
(v) $N_{G}(v) \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)).

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $u_{3}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $u_{3}$, then by Claim 2.39, $w_{3}, w_{4}, v \in V\left(Q_{1}\right)$. Now $w_{3}, w_{4}, v$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $u_{3}$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. Suppose that $u_{1} v u_{2}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$, then $u_{1} w_{3} u_{4}, u_{2} w_{4} u_{4}$ or $u_{2} w_{3} u_{4}$, $u_{1} w_{4} u_{4}$ are two segments of $Q_{1}$. But now $u_{4} w_{3} u_{1} v u_{2} w_{4} u_{4}$ or $u_{4} w_{4} u_{1} v u_{2} w_{3} u_{4}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$, a contradiction. Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $u_{3}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $u_{1} w_{1} w_{2} u_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ is not a subpath of $Q$. Similarly, $u_{1} w_{1} u_{2} w_{2} u_{3} w_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ is not a subpath of $Q$.

If $u_{1} w_{1} u_{2} w_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4}$ is a subpath of $Q$, then $Q=y_{0} u_{1} w_{1} u_{2} w_{2} w_{3} u_{3} w_{4} u_{4} y_{9}$, for otherwise we could find 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

We can check that for each vertex $v \in\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ the following assertions hold:
(i) $v$ is not adjacent to $y_{0}, y_{9}, w_{4}$ (since otherwise we could find a path longer than $Q$ );
(ii) $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ (since if there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $v z \in E(G)$, then $z w_{1} Q u_{4} v u_{1} y_{0}$ or $z w_{2} Q u_{4} v u_{2} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$ );
(iii) $w_{1}$ is not adjacent to $\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$. Since otherwise

$$
y_{0} u_{1} v u_{2} w_{1} w_{2} Q y_{9} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{0} Q w_{1} w_{3} w_{2} u_{2} v u_{3} Q y_{9}
$$

is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction;
(iv) $N_{G}\left(w_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ and $N_{G}\left(w_{2}\right) \subseteq\left\{w_{3}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ (since (i), (ii) and (iii)).

Similarly, we could prove that $N_{G}\left(w_{3}\right) \subseteq\left\{w_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ and $N_{G}\left(w_{4}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$.

Now we prove that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $u_{2}$. If there exists a longest path $Q_{2}$ not containing $u_{2}$, then by Claim 2.39, $w_{1}, w_{2}, v \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Now $w_{1}, w_{2}, v$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{2}$, since otherwise adding $u_{2}$ to $Q_{2}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. If $u_{1} v u_{3}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$, then $u_{1} w_{1} u_{4}$ or $u_{3} w_{1} u_{4}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $u_{1} w_{1} u_{4}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$. Now $u_{4} w_{2} w_{3}$ or $u_{3} w_{2} w_{3}$ is a segment of $Q_{2}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $u_{4} w_{2} w_{3}$ is a segment of $Q_{1}$. Since $N_{G}\left(w_{3}\right) \subseteq\left\{w_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}, w_{3}$ is an end-vertex of $Q_{2}$. If $w_{4} \in V\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then since $N_{G}\left(w_{4}\right) \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}, Q_{2}=w_{3} w_{2} u_{4} w_{1} u_{1} v u_{3} w_{4}$, a contradiction. Thus $w_{4} \notin V\left(Q_{2}\right)$. Now $u_{3}$ is not an end-vertex of $Q_{2}$. If $u_{3} y_{0}$ or $u_{3} y_{s} \in E\left(Q_{2}\right)$, then $Q_{2}=w_{3} w_{2} u_{4} w_{1} u_{1} v u_{3} y_{0}$ or $Q_{2}=w_{3} w_{2} u_{4} w_{1} u_{1} v u_{3} y_{s}$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists a vertex $z \in V(G) \backslash V(Q)$ such that $u_{3} z \in E\left(Q_{2}\right)$. But now $Q_{2}=w_{3} w_{2} u_{4} w_{1} u_{1} v u_{3} z$, a contradiction. Thus $u_{1} v u_{3}$ is not a segment of $Q_{2}$. Similarly, we could prove that $u_{1} v u_{4}, u_{3} v u_{4}$ are not segments of $Q_{2}$, a contradiction. Thus, all the longest paths of $G$ contain $u_{2}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $v u_{1} Q\left[u_{1}, u_{4}\right] u_{4} v$ is a cycle of length 8 .

As above, we could prove that $N_{G}\left(w_{i}\right) \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}(i=1,2,3)$, and all the longest paths of $G$ have a common vertex, a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}(v)=3$.

Claim 2.41. $G$ has an independent set of 6 edges.
Proof. Since $G$ is a counterexample, for any vertex $v \in V(G)$ there exists a longest path not containing it. By Claim 2.40, $d_{G}(v)=3$. Since $s \geqslant 9, G$ has at least 12 vertices. Suppose that $X$ is a connected component in $G \backslash V(C)$. If $|X| \geqslant 4$, then there is a path of length at least 11, and therefore $G$ has 6 independent edges. If $|X|=3$, then since $G[X]$ is connected, there is a spanning path in $G[X]$. Since $G$ is cubic, there is a path of length at least 11 and $G$ has 6 independent edges. If $|X| \leqslant 2$, then since $G$ is a connected cubic graph, the edges connecting $X$ and $C$ are not cut edges. Thus $G$ is a bridgeless cubic graph. By Theorem 2.1, $G$ has 6 independent edges.

By Claim 2.41, $G$ has 6 independent edges, a contradiction.
2.8. Proof of the case $r=10$. If $r=10$, then by Claim 2.1 we have that $s=10$.

Claim 2.42. If $Q=y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{10}$ is a longest path of $G$, then there is no edge in $G \backslash V(Q)$.

Proof. If there is an edge $a \in G \backslash V(Q)$, then 5 independent edges in $Q$ together with $a$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction.

Claim 2.43. For any $v \in V(G) \backslash V(Q), d_{G}(v)=3$.
Proof. Since $s=10, N_{G}(v) \subseteq\left\{y_{1}, y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}, y_{9}\right\}$. If $d_{G}(v) \leqslant 2$, then suppose that $v x \in E(G)$. Now we claim that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $x$. Since if there is a longest path $Q_{1}$ not containing $x$, then by Claim $2.42, v \in Q_{1}$. We see that $v$ is not the end-vertex of $Q_{1}$, since otherwise adding $x$ to $Q_{1}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. But now $d_{G}(v) \geqslant 3$, a contradiction.

If $d_{G}(v)=5$, then $y_{i} y_{j} \notin E(G), i, j \in\{0,2,4,6,8,10\}$ (without loss of generality, suppose that $i<j$ ), for otherwise $y_{0} Q y_{i} y_{j} Q y_{i+1} v y_{j+1} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Now for the cycle $C_{1}=v y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{5} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8} y_{9} v$ there is no edge in $G \backslash V\left(C_{1}\right)$ and for each vertex $x \in V(G) \backslash V\left(C_{1}\right), N_{G}(x) \subseteq\left\{y_{1}, y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}, y_{9}\right\}$. If there is a longest path $Q_{2}$ of $G$ not containing $y_{1}$, then $Q_{2}$ contains at most 9 vertices, a contradiction. Thus, every longest path of $G$ contains $y_{1}$. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $d_{G}(v) \neq 5$.

If $d_{G}(v)=4$ and $v y_{1}, v y_{9} \in E(G)$, then $v y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{5} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8} y_{9} v$ is a cycle of length 10. If $v y_{3} \notin E(G)$, then $y_{2} y_{j} \notin E(G), j \in\{6,8,0,10\}$, for otherwise $y_{0} y_{1} v y_{j-1} Q y_{2} y_{j} Q y_{s}$ or $y_{10} Q y_{2} y_{0} y_{1} v$ or $y_{10} y_{2} Q y_{9} v y_{1} y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Furthermore, $y_{i} y_{j} \notin E(G), i, j \in\{0,4,6,8,10\}$ (without loss of generality, suppose that $i<j$ ), for otherwise $y_{0} Q y_{i} y_{j} Q y_{i+1} v y_{j+1} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Now for the cycle $C_{2}=v y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} y_{5} y_{6} y_{7} y_{8} y_{9} v$ there is no edge in $G \backslash V\left(C_{2}\right)$ and for each vertex $x \in V(G) \backslash V\left(C_{2}\right), N_{G}(x) \subseteq\left\{y_{1}, y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}, y_{9}\right\}$. If $y_{2} y_{4} \notin$ $E(G)$, then as above, every longest path of $G$ not containing $y_{1}$ contains at most 9 vertices, a contradiction. Since $G$ is a counterexample, $y_{2} y_{4} \in E(G)$. Now if there is a longest path $Q_{3}$ of $G$ not containing $y_{1}$, then $Q_{3}$ contains at most 10 vertices, a contradiction. Thus $v y_{3} \in E(G)$. Similarly, we could prove that $v y_{5}, v y_{7} \in E(G)$. But now $d_{G}(v)=5$, a contradiction. Thus $v y_{1} \notin E(G)$ or $v y_{9} \notin E(G)$. Now we could obtain that $y_{i} y_{j} \notin E(G), i, j \in\{2,4,6,8\}$ (without loss of generality, suppose that $i<j$ ), for otherwise $y_{0} Q y_{i} y_{j} Q y_{i+1} v y_{j+1} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. If $y_{0} y_{i} \in E(G)$ or $y_{10} y_{i} \in E(G), i \in\{0,4,6,8,10\}$, then $y_{2} y_{1} y_{0} y_{10} Q y_{3} v$ or $y_{10} Q y_{i} y_{0} Q y_{i-1} v$ or $y_{10} y_{i} Q y_{9} v y_{i-1} Q y_{0}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. Thus $y_{0} y_{i}, y_{10} y_{i} \notin E(G), i \in\{0,4,6,8,10\}$. Furthermore, $y_{2} y_{10} \notin E(G)$, for otherwise $y_{0} y_{1} y_{2} y_{10} Q y_{3} v$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. If $y_{4} y_{1} \in E(G)$, then $C_{3}=y_{1} y_{4} Q y_{9} v y_{3} y_{2} y_{1}$ is a cycle of length 10 . Now $y_{2} y_{0} \notin E(G)$, for otherwise $y_{0} y_{2} y_{1} y_{4} y_{3} v y_{5} Q y_{s}$ is a path longer than $Q$, a contradiction. But now, as above, we could obtain that all the longest paths of $G$ contain $y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}, y_{9}$, a contradiction. Thus $y_{4} y_{1} \notin E(G)$. Similarly, we could obtain that $y_{i} y_{1} \notin E(G), i \in\{6,8\}$. Now $N_{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \subseteq\left\{y_{3}, y_{5}, y_{7}, y_{9}\right\}, i \in\{4,6,8\}$. If there is a longest path $Q_{3}$ of $G$ not containing $y_{7}$, then by Claim 2.42, $y_{6}, y_{8}, v \in V\left(Q_{3}\right)$. Now $y_{6}, y_{8}, v$ are not end-vertices of $Q_{3}$. Since otherwise adding $y_{7}$ to $Q_{3}$ results in a longer path, a contradiction. If $y_{3} v y_{5}$
is a segment of $Q_{3}$, then $y_{3} y_{6} y_{9}, y_{5} y_{8} y_{9}$ or $y_{5} y_{6} y_{9}, y_{3} y_{8} y_{9}$ are two segments of $Q_{3}$. But now $y_{9} y_{6} y_{3} v y_{5} y_{8} y_{9}$ or $y_{9} y_{8} y_{3} v y_{5} y_{6} y_{9}$ is a segment of $Q_{3}$, a contradiction. Thus, $y_{3} v y_{5}$ is not a segment of $Q_{3}$. Similarly, we could obtain that $y_{3} v y_{9}, y_{5} v y_{9} \notin E(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $d_{G}(v) \neq 4$. Therefore, $d_{G}(v)=3$.

Claim 2.44. $G$ has an independent set of 6 edges.
Proof. Since $G$ is a counterexample, for any vertex $v \in V(G)$ there exists a longest path not containing it. By Claim 2.43, $d_{G}(v)=3$. Since $s \geqslant 10, G$ has at least 12 vertices. Suppose that $X$ is a connected component in $G \backslash V(C)$. If $|X| \geqslant 2$, then the 5 independent edges and an edge in $X$ are 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus $|X|=1$. Since $G$ is a connected cubic graph, there are three edges connecting $X$ and $C$. Thus, the edges connecting $X$ and $C$ are not cut edges. Now $G$ is a bridgeless cubic graph. By Theorem 2.1, $G$ has 6 independent edges.

By Claim 2.44, $G$ has 6 independent edges, a contradiction. Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Pro of of Conjecture 1.2. By Theorem 1.1, Gallai's conjecture is true for every connected graph $G$ with $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 5$. Thus, a smallest counterexample to Gallai's conjecture must have at least 6 independent edges. As the graph in Figure 1 has 12 vertices, we complete the proof.
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