SOME FINITE GENERALIZATIONS OF EULER'S PENTAGONAL NUMBER THEOREM

JI-CAI LIU, Wenzhou

Received February 10, 2016. First published March 1, 2017.

Abstract. Euler's pentagonal number theorem was a spectacular achievement at the time of its discovery, and is still considered to be a beautiful result in number theory and combinatorics. In this paper, we obtain three new finite generalizations of Euler's pentagonal number theorem.

Keywords: *q*-binomial coefficient; *q*-binomial theorem; pentagonal number theorem *MSC 2010*: 05A17, 11B65

1. INTRODUCTION

One of Euler's most profound discoveries, the pentagonal number theorem, see [1], Corollary 1.7, page 11, is stated as follows:

(1.1)
$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-q^k) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2}.$$

For some connections between the pentagonal number theorem and the theory of partitions, one refers to [1], page 10, and [2].

Throughout this paper, we assume |q| < 1 and use the following q-series notation:

$$(a;q)_0 = 1, \quad (a;q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a;q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k),$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \frac{(q;q)_n}{(q;q)_m(q;q)_{n-m}} & \text{if } 0 \leqslant m \leqslant n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

DOI: 10.21136/CMJ.2017.0063-16

Some finite forms of Euler's pentagonal number theorem have been already studied by several authors. Shanks in [7] proved that

(1.2)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \frac{(q;q)_{n}}{(q;q)_{k}} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}+nk} = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{k(3k+1)/2},$$

which was a truncated version of (1.1). Note that (1.2) reduces to (1.1) when $n \to \infty$.

Berkovich and Garvan in [3] have found some finite generalizations of Euler's pentagonal number theorem. For example, they showed that

(1.3)
$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j {2L-j \brack L+j} q^{j(3j+1)/2} = 1.$$

By using a well-known cubic summation formula, Warnaar in [8] obtained another finite generalization of Euler's pentagonal number theorem:

(1.4)
$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} 2L-j+1\\ L+j \end{bmatrix} q^{j(3j-1)/2} = 1.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2L - j \\ L + j \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{L \to \infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2L - j + 1 \\ L + j \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}}.$$

Then both (1.3) and (1.4) reduce to (1.1) when $L \to \infty$.

The first aim of the paper is to show the following finite form of (1.1):

Theorem 1.1. Let n be any non-negative integer. Then

(1.5)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k \brack k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} = \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2},$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to a real number x.

Observe that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \binom{n-k}{k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(q;q)_{n-k}}{(q;q)_k (q;q)_{n-2k}} = \frac{1}{(q;q)_k}.$$

By Tannery's theorem, see [4], page 136, letting $n \to \infty$ in (1.5) reduces it to

(1.6)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}}{(q;q)_k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2}.$$

By [1], (2.2.6), we have

(1.7)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}}{(q;q)_k} = (q;q)_{\infty},$$

which is a special case of the q-binomial theorem, see [1], Theorem 2.1, page 17. Combining (1.6) and (1.7), we are led to (1.1).

The second result consists of the following two finite generalizations of (1.1):

Theorem 1.2. Suppose m is a positive integer. Then

(1.8)
$$\frac{1-q^{3m}}{1+q^m} \sum_{k=-m}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {\binom{2m-k}{m+k}} \frac{q^{k(3k-1)/2}}{1-q^{2m-k}} = 1,$$

(1.9)
$$(1-q^{3m-1}) \sum_{k=-m}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {2m-k-1 \brack m+k} \frac{q^{k(3k+1)/2}}{1-q^{2m-k-1}} = 1.$$

Note that |q| < 1 and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-k \\ m+k \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-k-1 \\ m+k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(q;q)_{\infty}}.$$

By Tannery's theorem, see [4], page 136, we conclude that both (1.8) and (1.9) reduce to (1.1) when $m \to \infty$.

2. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In order to prove the main results, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([1], page 35). Let $0 \leq m \leq n$ be integers. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1\\m-1 \end{bmatrix} + q^m \begin{bmatrix} n-1\\m \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1\\m \end{bmatrix} + q^{n-m} \begin{bmatrix} n-1\\m-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The next two lemmas play important roles in our proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. We shall prove these two lemmas together with Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose n is a non-negative integer. Then

(2.1)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k \brack k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m q^{m(3m-1)/2} & \text{if } n = 3m, \\ (-1)^m q^{m(3m+1)/2} & \text{if } n = 3m+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 3m-1. \end{cases}$$

Ekhad and Zeilberger in [5] proved (2.1) by Zeilberger's algorithm, see [6]. Warnaar in [8] gave another proof of (2.1) using a well-known cubic summation formula. We will present an essentially different proof by establishing relationships with other two results and using mathematical induction.

Lemma 2.3. For any non-negative integer n, we have

$$(2.2) \qquad (1-q^n) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k \brack k} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{1-q^{n-k}} \\ = \begin{cases} (-1)^m (1+q^m) q^{m(3m-1)/2} & \text{if } n=3m, \\ (-1)^m q^{m(3m+1)/2} & \text{if } n=3m+1, \\ (-1)^m q^{m(3m-1)/2} & \text{if } n=3m-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3. Denote the left-hand sides of (2.1), (2.2) and (1.5) by U_n , V_n and W_n , respectively. We shall prove (2.1), (2.2) and (1.5) by establishing the following relationships:

(2.3)
$$W_n = W_{n-1} - q^{n-1} U_{n-2},$$

(2.4)
$$V_n = U_n - q^{n-1} U_{n-2},$$

(2.5)
$$V_n = W_n - W_{n-2}.$$

Substituting (2.3) into (2.5) gives

(2.6)
$$V_n = W_{n-1} - W_{n-2} - q^{n-1}U_{n-2}.$$

By (2.4) and (2.6), we have

(2.7)
$$U_n = W_{n-1} - W_{n-2}.$$

Replacing n by n-1 in (2.3), we get

(2.8)
$$W_{n-1} - W_{n-2} = -q^{n-2}U_{n-3}.$$

By (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$U_n = -q^{n-2}U_{n-3} \quad \text{for } n \ge 3.$$

We can deduce (2.1) by induction from the initial values $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = 1$ and $U_2 = 0$. Substituting (2.1) into (2.4), we get (2.2) directly.

We will prove (1.5) by using induction on n. It is easy to verify that (1.5) is true for n = 0, 1, 2. Assume (1.5) is true for $N \leq n$. By (2.3), we have

(2.9)
$$W_{n+1} = W_n - q^n U_{n-1}.$$

If n = 3m, by (2.1) and (2.9), we have $W_{n+1} = W_n$. It follows from the induction that

$$W_{n+1} = W_n = \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2} = \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+2)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2},$$

which implies that (1.5) is also true for N = n + 1.

If n = 3m + 1, it follows from (2.1) and (2.9) that

$$W_{n+1} = W_n + (-1)^{m+1} q^{(m+1)(3m+2)/2}.$$

So we have

$$W_{n+1} = \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2} + (-1)^{m+1} q^{(m+1)(3m+2)/2}$$
$$= \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2},$$

which proves (1.5) for the case N = n + 1.

If n = 3m + 2, using (2.1) and (2.9), we get

$$W_{n+1} = W_n + (-1)^{m+1} q^{(m+1)(3m+4)/2}$$

and hence

$$W_{n+1} = \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+1)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2} + (-1)^{m+1} q^{(m+1)(3m+4)/2}$$
$$= \sum_{k=-\lfloor (n+2)/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n+1/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(3k+1)/2},$$

which implies that (1.5) is true for N = n + 1. This concludes the proof of (1.5).

It remains to prove (2.3)–(2.5). From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-k\\k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1\\k \end{bmatrix} + q^{n-2k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1\\k-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$W_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k} {n-k-1 \brack k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} + q^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k} {n-k-1 \brack k-1} q^{\binom{k-1}{2}}$$
$$= W_{n-1} - q^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k} {n-k-2 \brack k} q^{\binom{k}{2}}$$
$$= W_{n-1} - q^{n-1} U_{n-2}.$$

This concludes the proof of (2.3).

Note that $1 - q^n = 1 - q^{n-k} + q^{n-k}(1 - q^k)$. Then

$$\begin{split} V_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k \brack k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} + q^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k \brack k} \frac{1-q^k}{1-q^{n-k}} q^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \\ &= U_n + q^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k-1 \brack k-1} q^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \\ &= U_n - q^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k {n-k-2 \brack k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \\ &= U_n - q^{n-1} U_{n-2}, \end{split}$$

which is (2.4).

Applying the fact:

$$\frac{1-q^n}{1-q^{n-k}} = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q^{n-k}} + q^k,$$

we get

(2.10)
$$\begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{1-q^n}{1-q^{n-k}} = \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^k.$$

Substituting (2.10) into the left-hand side of (2.2) gives

$$V_{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k} {\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}} q^{\binom{k}{2}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k} {\binom{n-k}{k}} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k} {\binom{n-k-2}{k}} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} + W_{n} = -W_{n-2} + W_{n}.$$

This proves (2.5). Now we complete the proof of (2.3)-(2.5).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Replacing n by 3m in (2.2) and then letting $k \to m + k$, we obtain

(2.11)
$$(1-q^{3m}) \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k {\binom{2m-k}{m+k}} \frac{q^{\binom{m+k}{2}}}{1-q^{2m-k}} = (1+q^m)q^{m(3m-1)/2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-1}} = \frac{(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-2})\dots(1-q^{-n})}{(1-q^{-1})\dots(1-q^{-m})(1-q^{-1})\dots(1-q^{-(n-m)})}$$
$$= \frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^n)}{(1-q)\dots(1-q^m)(1-q)\dots(1-q^{n-m})} q^{\binom{m+1}{2} + \binom{n-m+1}{2} - \binom{n+1}{2}}$$
$$(2.12) \qquad = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{m(m-n)}.$$

Letting $q \to q^{-1}$ in (2.11) and then using (2.12), we obtain (1.8).

Similarly, replacing n by 3m-1 in (2.2) and then letting $k \to m+k$ and $q \to q^{-1}$, we get (1.9).

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for careful review and valuable comments, which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- G. E. Andrews: The Theory of Partitions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 2. Section: Number Theory. Reading, Advanced Book Program, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1976.
- [2] G. E. Andrews: Euler's pentagonal number theorem. Math. Mag. 56 (1983), 279–284.
- [3] A. Berkovich, F. G. Garvan: Some observations on Dyson's new symmetries of partitions. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 100 (2002), 61–93.
- [4] T. J. Bromwich: An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1991.
- [5] S. B. Ekhad, D. Zeilberger: The number of solutions of $X^2 = 0$ in triangular matrices over GF(q). Electron. J. Comb. 3 (1996), Research paper R2, 2 pages; printed version in J. Comb. 3 (1996), 25–26.
- [6] M. Petkovšek, H. S. Wilf, D. Zeilberger: A = B. With foreword by Donald E. Knuth, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, 1996.
- [7] D. Shanks: A short proof of an identity of Euler. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 747–749. zbl MR doi
- [8] S. O. Warnaar: q-hypergeometric proofs of polynomial analogues of the triple product identity, Lebesgue's identity and Euler's pentagonal number theorem. Ramanujan J. 8 (2004), 467–474.
 Zbl MR doi

Author's address: Ji-Cai Liu, College of Mathematics and Information Science, Wenzhou University, 276 Xueyuan Middle Road, Wenzhou, 325027, Zhejiang, P. R. China, e-mail: jc2051@163.com.

zbl MR doi

 $^{\mathrm{zbl}}$

zbl MR

zbl MR