

ON SOLUBLE GROUPS OF MODULE AUTOMORPHISMS
OF FINITE RANK

BERTRAM A. F. WEHRFRITZ, London

Received April 18, 2016. First published August 9, 2017.

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring, M an R -module and G a group of R -automorphisms of M , usually with some sort of rank restriction on G . We study the transfer of hypotheses between $M/C_M(G)$ and $[M, G]$ such as Noetherian or having finite composition length. In this we extend recent work of Dixon, Kurdachenko and Otal and of Kurdachenko, Subbotin and Chupordia. For example, suppose $[M, G]$ is R -Noetherian. If G has finite rank, then $M/C_M(G)$ also is R -Noetherian. Further, if $[M, G]$ is R -Noetherian and if only certain abelian sections of G have finite rank, then G has finite rank and is soluble-by-finite. If $M/C_M(G)$ is R -Noetherian and G has finite rank, then $[M, G]$ need not be R -Noetherian.

Keywords: soluble group; finite rank; module automorphisms; Noetherian module over commutative ring

MSC 2010: 20F16, 20C07, 13E05, 20H99

Kurdachenko, Subbotin and Chupordia's paper [3] is devoted to proving the following theorem. Let R be an integral domain, M an R -module and G a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$ such that $M/C_M(G)$ has a composition series as R -module of finite length, l say. If p is the characteristic of some R -composition factor of $M/C_M(G)$ (so p is a prime or zero), assume that there is a (finite) bound r_p on the ranks of the elementary abelian p -sections (free abelian sections if $p = 0$) of G . Then $[M, G]$ also has finite R -composition length. Moreover, this length can be bounded, for example in terms of the l , p and r_p above. (The case where R is a field had earlier been discussed by Dixon, Kurdachenko and Otal in [2].) The authors regard this as at least a superficial analogue of Schur's theorem (e.g. [9], 1.18) that if the centre of some group G has finite index in G , then the derived subgroup of G is also finite.

Here we give a much shorter proof of this theorem. Actually we lengthen our proof a little in order to prove rather more, but we indicate below which lemmas can be omitted just to obtain a proof of this theorem from [3].

Throughout this paper R denotes a commutative ring and M an R -module. Let $\pi(M)$ denote the set of primes p such that M contains an element of additive order p together with 0 if M contains an element of infinite additive order. (If M has finite R -composition length, then $\pi(M)$ is the same as the set of primes and possibly zero considered in the theorem quoted above from [3], see below.) Note that if M is Noetherian, then the \mathbb{Z} -torsion submodule of M has finite exponent $e(M)$ and hence $\pi(M)$ is finite. In order to cover all cases simultaneously, by an elementary abelian 0-group we mean a free abelian group.

Proposition 1. *Suppose M is Noetherian as R -module. Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$ such that for every $p \in \pi(M)$ every elementary abelian p -section of G has finite rank. Then G is soluble-by-finite and has finite rank. If $0 \notin \pi(M)$, then G is even abelian-by-finite.*

Whenever G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$, let \mathfrak{g} denote the augmentation ideal of G in the group ring RG , so $C_M(G) = \text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g})$ and $[M, G] = M\mathfrak{g}$. We need a couple of small extensions to Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$. If either*

- (a) *$M/C_M(G)$ has finite R -composition length and every elementary abelian p -section of G has finite rank for every p in $\pi(M/C_M(G))$, or*
- (b) *$M/C_M(G)$ is R -Noetherian and every elementary abelian p -section of G has finite rank for every p in $\pi(M\mathfrak{g})$, or*
- (c) *$M\mathfrak{g}$ is R -Noetherian and every elementary abelian p -section of G has finite rank for every p in $\pi(M\mathfrak{g})$,*

then G is soluble-by-finite and has finite rank.

We will see from the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 that the rank of G and the index of its maximal soluble normal subgroup can be bounded in terms of the p -ranks for each p in $\pi(M/C_M(G))$ (or $\pi(M\mathfrak{g})$) and certain structural constants of these R -modules.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$ of finite rank r , and s a positive integer.*

- (a) *If $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s)$ has finite R -composition length l , then $M\mathfrak{g}^s$ has finite R -composition length at most lr^s .*
- (b) *If $M\mathfrak{g}^s$ has finite R -composition length l , then $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s)$ has finite R -composition length at most lr^s .*

(c) If $M\mathfrak{g}^s$ is R -Noetherian, then $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s)$ is R -Noetherian.

Note that the theorem from [3] quoted above follows at once from Proposition 2 (a) and Proposition 3 (a) with $s = 1$ (and with R an integral domain). Further, Theorem A of [2] also follows at once from Propositions 2 and 3 (with R a field), but Proposition 2 is not strong enough to read off Theorems B and C of [2]. At the end of this paper we give some simple examples limiting possible extensions of Propositions 2 and 3. However, [2] is devoted to the case where $R = F$ is a field and in this case a much stronger version of Proposition 2 holds. The reason for this is that $\pi(M) = \{\text{char}F\}$ for all nonzero vector spaces M over F . Theorems B and C of [2] are immediate from Proposition 3 and Proposition 4 below.

Proposition 4. *Let $R = F$ be a field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, s a positive integer, M a vector space over F and G a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_F M$ such that either $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s)$ or $M\mathfrak{g}^s$ is finite dimensional. If every elementary abelian p -section of G has finite rank, then G is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank.*

For brevity, if in some situation involving integers a, b, c etc. there is an integer-valued function f only of the variables b, c etc. and of none of the other information in the situation such that $a \leq f(b, c, \dots)$, we shall often just say that a is (b, c, \dots) -bounded.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$, where n is a positive integer and F is a field of characteristic 0. Suppose that every free abelian section of G has finite rank. Then G has finite rank, r say, and G is soluble-by-finite and n -bounded. If r_0 is the upper bound of the ranks of the free abelian sections of G , then $r_0 \leq r \leq f_0(n, r_0)$ for f_0 being some integer-valued function of n and r_0 only.*

Proof. Clearly G can contain no non-cyclic free subgroups. Hence by Tits's theorem ([5], 10.17, but see also [5], 10.11) the group G has a soluble normal subgroup S whose index $(G : S)$ in G is finite and n -bounded. Now S contains a triangularizable (over the algebraic closure \hat{F} of F) normal subgroup T of G with S/T finite and n -bounded (see Proposition 1 of [7]), so $(G : T)$ is n -bounded.

If U is the unipotent radical of T , then U is nilpotent of class less than n and its upper central factors are torsion-free. Hence U has finite rank (at most $r_0(n-1)$ once we know that r is finite). Also $A = T/U$ embeds into the diagonal group $D(n, \hat{F})$. Thus, its torsion subgroup $\tau(A)$ has rank at most n while $A/\tau(A)$ has finite rank (at most r_0). Hence, T has finite rank and consequently so does G . Moreover the above then shows that

$$r_0 \leq r \leq (r_0 + 1)n + (G : T)$$

and $(G : T)$ is n -bounded. Hence, r is (n, r_0) -bounded. □

Lemma 2. *Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$, where n is a positive integer and F is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that every elementary abelian p -section of G has finite rank. Then G has finite rank, r say. If r_p is the upper bound of the ranks of the elementary abelian p -sections of G , then G is abelian-by-finite and (p, n, r_p) -bounded and $r_p \leq r \leq f_p(n, r_p)$ for f_p being some integer-valued function of n and r_p only.*

Proof. Here Tits's theorem ([5], 10.17) only yields a soluble normal subgroup S of G with G/S locally finite, but [7], Proposition 1, does at least yield a triangularizable (over \hat{F}) normal subgroup $T \leq S$ of G with $(S : T)$ n -bounded.

If U again denotes the unipotent radical of T , then U has a central series of length less than n with its factors being elementary abelian p -groups. Thus, U is finite, say of order q , where $\log_p q \leq r_p(n - 1)$. Now $C = C_T(U)$ is nilpotent of class at most 2 (for T/U is abelian). Hence C^q is abelian. Set $A = C_G C_G(C^q)$. Then A is an abelian normal subgroup of G containing C^q (it is the centre of $C_G(C^q)$) and G/A is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n^2, F)$, see [5], 6.2.

Now $(S : T)$ is finite and n -bounded, $(T : C)$ divides $q!$ and $(C : C^q)$ is a finite power of p with $\log_p(C : C^q) \leq (r_p + 1)r_p(n - 1)$. Thus, $(S : A)$ is finite and (p, n, r_p) -bounded. In particular, G/A is locally finite and embeddable into $\mathrm{GL}(n^2, F)$. Further, a Sylow p -subgroup of G/A is finite, say of order p^α , where $\alpha \leq r_p(n^2 - 1)$. By the Brauer-Feit theorem (see [1] or [5], 9.6 and 9.7 for summary) there is an integer-valued function $f(m, n, p)$ of the exhibited variables only such that G/A has an abelian normal subgroup B/A of finite index with $(G : B) \leq f(r_p(n^2 - 1), n^2, p)$. In particular, B is soluble, so we may choose $S = B$. Consequently $(B : A)$ is finite and (p, n, r_p) -bounded. Finally, the torsion subgroup $\tau(A)$ has finite rank at most $\max\{n, r_p\}$ and $A/\tau(A)$ has finite rank at most r_p . Thus, A has finite rank and hence so does G . Also

$$r_p \leq r \leq 2r_p + n + (B : A) + f(r_p(n^2 - 1), n^2, p),$$

which is (p, n, r_p) -bounded. □

Proof of Proposition 1. There exists a positive integer n (depending only on M) and for each p in $\pi(M)$ a field F_p of characteristic p such that G (indeed $\mathrm{Aut}_R M$) embeds into the direct product over $p \in \pi(M)$ of the $\mathrm{GL}(n, F_p)$, see [8], 6.1 and 6.2, or less explicitly [6]. By Lemmas 1 and 2 for each p in $\pi(M)$ there exists a normal subgroup N_p of G such that G/N_p is soluble-by-finite (even abelian-by-finite if $p < 0$) of finite rank and with $I_p N_p = \langle 1 \rangle$. Since $\pi(M)$ is finite, the claims of Proposition 1 follow. Clearly the rank r of G can be bounded in terms of n , $\pi(M)$ and for each $p \in \pi(M)$ by the upper bound r_p of the ranks of the elementary abelian p -sections of G . □

Consider a module X over the commutative ring R . If X is Noetherian, then the \mathbb{Z} -torsion submodule T of X has finite exponent e say. If Y is an R -submodule of X with X/Y irreducible of characteristic $p > 0$ and Y irreducible of characteristic 0, then $pX = Y$. Thus, if $P = \{x \in X: px = 0\}$, then $X/P \cong Y$, $P \cap Y = \{0\}$ and $P \cong X/Y$. Suppose X has a composition series (as R -module) of finite length. The above implies that the composition factors of X/T all have characteristic 0. Necessarily those of T have characteristics dividing e . It follows that $\pi(X)$ is equal to the set of characteristics of the composition factors of X . Also if φ is any R -homomorphism of X , then $T\varphi$ is the \mathbb{Z} -torsion submodule of $X\varphi$, $\pi(X\varphi) \subseteq \pi(X)$ and $e(X\varphi)$ divides $e(X)$.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathbf{X} be a class of R -modules that is closed under taking homomorphic images and direct sums of finitely many modules. Let M be an R -module and G a finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$ such that $M/C_M(G) \in \mathbf{X}$. Then $[M, G] \in \mathbf{X}$.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \rangle$ and $N = [M, G]$. Now each $M(x_i - 1) \cong M/C_M(x_i)$ is an image of $M/C_M(G)$ and hence each $M(x_i - 1) \in \mathbf{X}$. Now $N = \sum_i M(x_i - 1)$ since

$$M(xy - 1) \leq M(x - 1) + M(y - 1), \quad x, y \in G$$

and hence N is an image of $\bigoplus_i M(x_i - 1)$. Therefore $N \in \mathbf{X}$. □

Proof of Proposition 2 (a) and 2 (b). Set $N = C_M(G)$ and $C = C_G(M/N)$. By Proposition 1 the group G/C is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank. If $g \in C$, then $g\alpha g - 1$ determines an embedding of C into the additive group of $H = \text{Hom}_R(M/N, [M, C])$. In particular, G is soluble-by-finite.

If $g \in G$, then $M(g - 1)$ is an image of M/N . Suppose M/N has finite R -composition length. If $e = e(M/N)$ and if T is the \mathbb{Z} -torsion submodule of $[M, G]$, then $e(T \cap [M, H]) = \{0\}$ for every finitely generated subgroup H of G by Lemma 3 and hence $eT = \{0\}$. If C contains an element of a prime order p , then so does H and hence so does $[M, C]$. Therefore p divides e and the p -component of C has, by hypothesis, finite rank. If C contains an element of infinite order, then so does H . But T has finite exponent and hence M/N contains an element of infinite order. Therefore $0 \in \pi(M/N)$ and so the \mathbb{Z} -torsion-free quotient of C has finite rank. Hence C has finite rank and consequently so does G . This settles Part (a).

For Part (b) if C contains an element of prime order p , then so does H and hence so does $[M, C]$. Consequently $p \in \pi([M, G])$ and hence the p -component of C has finite rank. If C contains an element of infinite order, then so does H . But M/N is

finitely R -generated. Thus, $[M, C]$ contains an element of infinite order as \mathbb{Z} -module and consequently the full torsion-free quotient of C , C and G itself have finite rank. \square

Lemma 4. *Let e be a positive integer and π a set of primes and possibly zero. Let \mathbf{X} denote the class of all R -modules with finite composition length such that $e(M)$ divides e and $\pi(M) \subseteq \pi$. If M is an R -module and G a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R(M)$ of finite rank such that $M/C_M(G) \in \mathbf{X}$, then $[M, G] \in \mathbf{X}$.*

Lemma 4 completes our proof of the theorem from [3]. Unlike in Propositions 1, 2 (b) and 2 (c), in Lemma 4 we cannot weaken having finite composition length to being Noetherian (example later).

Proof. Let r denote the rank of G and l the composition length $M/C_M(G)$. We prove that $[M, G]$ has composition length at most lr .

Consider a subgroup $H = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \rangle$ of G . Then $[M, H] = \sum_i M(x_i - 1)$ has composition length $l_H \leq lr$. Choose such $H \leq G$ so that l_H is maximal. Let $x \in G$ and set $K = \langle H, x \rangle$. Since $\text{rank } G = r$, K too can be generated by r elements and trivially $[M, K] \geq [M, H]$ and $l_K \geq l_H$. Therefore $l_K = l_H$ and $[M, K] = [M, H]$ for every x in G . Consequently $[M, G] = [M, H]$ and $l_G = l_H \leq lr$. Finally $[M, H]$ and hence $[M, G]$ lie in \mathbf{X} by Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 5. *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$ of finite rank r and s a positive integer. If $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s)$ has finite R -composition length l , then $M\mathfrak{g}^s$ has finite R -composition length at most lr^s . Also $e(M\mathfrak{g}^s)$ divides $e(M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s))$ and $\pi(M\mathfrak{g}^s)$ is contained in $\pi(M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s))$.*

Proposition 3 (a) follows at once from Lemma 5.

Proof. We induct on s . The case where $s = 1$ is covered by Lemma 4 (and the bound obtained in its proof). Suppose $s > 1$ and set $N = \text{Ann}_M(\mathfrak{g}^s)$. Apply the case $s = 1$ to $M/N\mathfrak{g}$. This yields that $M\mathfrak{g}/N\mathfrak{g}$ has composition length at most lr , has $e(M\mathfrak{g}/N\mathfrak{g})$ dividing $e(M/N)$ and has $\pi(M\mathfrak{g}/N\mathfrak{g})$ contained $\pi(M/N)$. Now apply induction to $M\mathfrak{g}$, $N\mathfrak{g}$, $(M\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}^{s-1}$ and $(N\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}^{s-1} = \{0\}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2 (c). Set $C = C_G(M\mathfrak{g})$. By Proposition 1 the group G/C is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank. There is a standard embedding of C into $H = \text{Hom}_R(M/M\mathfrak{g}, M\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, C is abelian and G is soluble-by-finite.

If $e = e(M\mathfrak{g})$, then the \mathbb{Z} -torsion submodule of H has an exponent dividing e . Hence, if C contains an element of prime order p , then p divides e , $p \in \pi(M\mathfrak{g})$ and the maximal p -subgroup of C has finite rank. Therefore the torsion subgroup of C has finite rank. If C is not a torsion, then neither is H or $M\mathfrak{g}$. Then $0 \in \pi(M\mathfrak{g})$, so

by hypothesis the \mathbb{Z} -torsion-free quotient of C has finite rank. Therefore C and G have finite rank. \square

Lemma 6. *Let \mathbf{Y} be a class of R -modules that is closed under taking submodules and direct sums of finitely many modules. Let M be an R -module and G a finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R M$ such that $[M, G] \in \mathbf{Y}$. Then $M/C_M(G) \in \mathbf{Y}$.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \rangle$. Then for each i we have $M/C_M(x_i) \cong M(x_i - 1) \leq M\mathbf{g}$. Thus, we have embeddings $M/C_M(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i M/C_M(x_i) \rightarrow (M\mathbf{g})^{(s)}$, which lie in \mathbf{Y} . Therefore $M/C_M(G) \in \mathbf{Y}$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let e and s be positive integers and π a set of primes and possibly zero. Let \mathbf{Y} denote the class of all R -modules with finite composition length such that $e(M)$ divides e and $\pi(M) \subseteq \pi$. If M is an R -module and G a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_R(M)$ of finite rank such that $M\mathbf{g}^s \in \mathbf{Y}$, then $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathbf{g}^s) \in \mathbf{Y}$.*

Proposition 3 (b) follows at once from Lemma 7 and the bound below.

Proof. Let r denote the rank of G and l the composition length of $M\mathbf{g}^s$ (as R -module of course). We prove that $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathbf{g}^s)$ has composition length at most lr^s .

Consider first the case where $s = 1$. Choose $H = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \rangle \leq G$ such that the composition length l^H of $M/C_M(H)$ is maximal. By Lemma 6 we have $M/C_M(H) \in \mathbf{Y}$ and, cf. the proof of Lemma 6, clearly $l^H \leq lr$. If $x \in G$ and $K = \langle H, x \rangle$, then $C_M(K) \leq C_M(H)$, so $l^H \leq l^K$. By the choice of H we have $l^H = l^K$, $C_M(K) = C_M(H)$ for all x in G . Hence, $C_M(G) = C_M(H)$ and the case where $s = 1$ follows.

Now assume that $s > 1$. Apply the case where $s = 1$ to $M\mathbf{g}^{s-1} \geq M\mathbf{g}^{s-1}\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{Y}$. Hence, $M\mathbf{g}^{s-1}/A \in \mathbf{Y}$ and has composition length at most lr , where $A = \text{Ann}_M(\mathbf{g}) \cap M\mathbf{g}^{s-1}$. Now apply induction on s to $M/A \geq (M/A)\mathbf{g}^{s-1} = M\mathbf{g}^{s-1}/A \in \mathbf{Y}$. Thus, $M/B \in \mathbf{Y}$ and has composition length at most lrr^{s-1} , where $B/A = \text{Ann}_{M/A}(\mathbf{g}^{s-1})$. Then $B\mathbf{g}^{s-1} \leq A$, so $B\mathbf{g}^s = \{0\}$. But then $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathbf{g}^s)$ as an image of M/B lies in \mathbf{Y} . The proof is complete. \square

To prove Proposition 3 (c) we need to recall the part of the theory of Krull dimension. All we use can be found, for example, in Sections 6.1 and 6.2 of [4].

Suppose M is a nonzero Noetherian R -module. Then M has Krull dimension, an ordinal $\kappa(M)$, and a critical composition series $M = M_0 > M_1 > \dots > M_n = \{0\}$ of finite length, where if $\alpha_i = \kappa(M_{i-1}/M_i)$ for each i , then $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$. We denote this sequence of ordinals by $\text{sp}(M)$. It does depend only on M , see [4], 6.2.21. Now any nonzero submodule of an α -critical is α -critical ([4], 6.2.11). Thus, if N is a nonzero submodule of M , then $\{M_i \cap N : 0 \leq i \leq n \text{ with the repetitions removed}\}$ is a critical composition series of N and $\text{sp}(N)$ is a subsequence of $\text{sp}(M)$.

Now suppose that $M = M_0 > M_1 > \dots > M_r = N = N_0 > N_1 > \dots > N_s = \{0\}$, where the M_{i-1}/M_i form a critical composition series of M/N and the N_j form a critical composition series of N with $\text{sp}(M/N) = \{\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_r\}$ and $\text{sp}(N) = \{\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_s\}$. If $\alpha_r \geq \beta_1$, then the above series of M is a critical composition series of M and

$$\text{sp}(M) = \{\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_r \geq \beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_s\}.$$

Suppose $\alpha_{t-1} \geq \beta_1 > \alpha_t$. Let $K \geq N_1$ be a submodule of M_{t-1} maximal subject to $K \cap N = N_1$. Then M_{t-1}/K is β_1 -critical and $\kappa(K) \leq \beta_1$. Hence, if $\text{sp}(K) = \{\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_u\}$, then

$$\text{sp}(M) = \{\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{t-1} \geq \beta_1 \geq \gamma_1 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_u\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3 (c). Consider first the case where $s = 1$. Let $H = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \rangle \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ be an r -generated subgroup of G . Then $M/C_M(H)$ embeds into the Noetherian R -module $(M\mathfrak{g})^{(r)}$ and in particular $\text{sp}(M/C_M(H)) = \{\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_m\}$ is a subsequence of the finite sequence $\text{sp}((M\mathfrak{g})^{(r)}) = \{\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \dots \geq \delta_n\}$. Consider those H with $\alpha_1 = \delta_j$ maximal. Of these H consider those with the number of α_i equal to δ_j maximal. Then of these H consider those with the number of α_i equal to δ_{j+1} maximal. Keep going like this right through to and including the final δ_n .

Let $x \in G$ and set $K = \langle H, x \rangle$. Then $C_M(K) \leq C_M(H)$. Also K is r -generator since G has finite rank r and therefore K is one of the subgroups of G considered during the choice of H . Suppose $C_M(K) < C_M(H)$ and set $\text{sp}(C_M(H)/C_M(K)) = \{\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_s\}$. If

$$\text{sp}(M/C_M(K)) = \{\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_m \geq \beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_s\}$$

or if $\alpha_{t-1} \geq \beta_1 > \alpha_t$ with

$$\text{sp}(M/C_M(K)) = \{\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{t-1} \geq \beta_1 \geq \gamma_1 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_u\}$$

for some γ_j , then we have a contradiction to our choice of H . Therefore $C_M(K) = C_M(H)$ and this is for all x in G . Consequently, $C_M(G) = C_M(H)$. But $M/C_M(H)$ is R -Noetherian (apply Lemma 6 with \mathbf{Y} being the class of Noetherian R -modules). Hence, $M/C_M(G)$ is also R -Noetherian, which settles the ' $s = 1$ ' case of Proposition 3 (c). The proof is now completed by an easy induction on s , cf. the final paragraph of the proof of Lemma 7, again with \mathbf{Y} being the class of Noetherian R -modules. \square

Remark. If we apply the above proof to the case where $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the following. If $M\mathbf{g}^s$ is additively finitely l -generated, then $M/\text{Ann}_M(\mathbf{g}^s)$ is additively lr^s -generated. A similar remark applies if R is any principal ideal domain. The main step is that $M/C_M(G)$ embeds into the R -module $(M\mathbf{g})^{(r)}$.

Proof of Proposition 4. Set $N = \text{Ann}_M(\mathbf{g}^s)$ and suppose $\dim_F(M/N)$ is finite. If $C = C_G(M/N)$, then G/C is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank by Proposition 1. Also C stabilizes the series

$$M \geq N \geq N\mathbf{g} \geq N\mathbf{g}^2 \geq \dots \geq N\mathbf{g}^s \geq \{0\},$$

each factor of which is additively an elementary abelian p -group (torsion-free abelian if $p = 0$). By standard stability theory, e.g. see [9], 1.21, the group C is nilpotent of class at most s and has a series of lengths s whose factors are elementary abelian p -groups (torsion-free abelian if $p = 0$). Therefore C has finite rank (at most $r_p s$ in our earlier notation). Consequently, G is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank.

Now assume that $\dim_F(M\mathbf{g}^s)$ is finite and set $C = C_G(M\mathbf{g}^s)$. Our proof here is similar to that above. We deduce that C is nilpotent of finite rank (at most $r_p s$), that G/C is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank and that G is soluble-by-finite and of finite rank.

The index in G of its maximal soluble normal subgroup is bounded in terms of $\dim_F(M/N)$ or $\dim_F(M\mathbf{g}^s)$ only and $\text{rank } G$ is bounded in terms of r_p , s and $\dim_F(M/N)$ or $\dim_F(M\mathbf{g}^s)$, respectively, only. \square

Examples. (1) Although in Propositions 1, 2 (b) and 2 (c) and in Lemma 3 we can work with Noetherian modules rather than modules with finite composition length, this is not the case with Proposition 3 (a) or for that matter Lemmas 4 and 5, even if R is the integers.

Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus C$, where C is an additive Prüfer p -group for some prime p (and \mathbb{Z} denotes the integers). If $a \in C$, let (a) denote the automorphism of M given by $(n, c)(a) = (n, na + c)$. Then $G = \{(a) : a \in C\}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ isomorphic to C . Clearly G centralizes C , M/C is \mathbb{Z} -Noetherian and $[M, G] = C$, which is \mathbb{Z} -Artinian, but not \mathbb{Z} -Noetherian.

(2) In Proposition 2 (a) we cannot weaken the hypothesis on $M/C_M(G)$ to just being R -Noetherian. For, repeat the construction of Example (1), but now with C being the direct sum of infinitely many Prüfer p -groups. Defining G in the same way, again G centralizes C and $M/C \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is \mathbb{Z} -Noetherian with $\pi(M/C) = \{0\}$. However now G is abelian of infinite rank, being isomorphic to C . Also G is periodic, so every elementary p -section of G is trivial for every p in $\pi(M/C)$.

(3) Although Proposition 2 is the basis of the proof of Proposition 3, Proposition 2 is not the ‘ $s = 1$ ’ case of a more general result along the lines of Proposition 3. As a trivial example let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = F^{(2)}$, where F is an infinite field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $G = \text{Tr}_1(2, F)$, the full (lower) unitriangular group of degree 2 over F . If we set $N = M$, then $N\mathfrak{g}^2 = \{0\}$ and $\pi(M/N)$ is empty and yet G is an elementary abelian p -group of infinite rank. Trivially, M/N has finite composition length. Further, $M\mathfrak{g}^2$ is R -Noetherian being $\{0\}$. Thus, there is no ‘ $s = 2$ ’ version for any of the three cases of Proposition 2. If you feel that having these modules $\{0\}$ is a bit of a cheat, set $M_1 = \mathbf{F}_q^{(2)} \oplus M$, where \mathbf{F}_q denotes the field of q -elements, q a prime other than p , and $G_1 = \text{GL}(2, q) \times G$, acting on M_1 in the obvious way. If \mathfrak{g}_1 is the augmentation ideal of G_1 , then M_1 modulo the annihilator of $(\mathfrak{g}_1)^2$ and $M_1(\mathfrak{g}_1)^2$ are both isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}_q^{(2)}$, the set $\pi(\mathbf{F}_q^{(2)}) = \{q\}$, the group G_1 has finite q -rank and yet G_1 has infinite rank.

References

- [1] *R. Brauer, W. Feit*: An analogue of Jordan’s theorem in characteristic p . *Ann. Math.* (2) *84* (1966), 119–131. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *M. R. Dixon, L. A. Kurdachenko, J. Otal*: Linear analogues of theorems of Schur, Baer and Hall. *Int. J. Group Theory* *2* (2013), 79–89. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *L. A. Kurdachenko, I. Ya. Subbotin, V. A. Chupordia*: On the relations between the central factor-module and the derived submodule in modules over group rings. *Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol.* *56* (2015), 433–445. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *J. C. McConnell, J. C. Robson*: Noncommutative Noetherian Rings. With the Cooperation of L. W. Small. *Pure and Applied Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1987. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *B. A. F. Wehrfritz*: Infinite Linear Groups. An Account of the Group-Theoretic Properties of Infinite Groups of Matrices. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* *76*, Springer, Berlin, 1973. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *B. A. F. Wehrfritz*: Automorphism groups of Noetherian modules over commutative rings. *Arch. Math.* *27* (1976), 276–281. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *B. A. F. Wehrfritz*: On the Lie-Kolchin-Mal’cev theorem. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A* *26* (1978), 270–276. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *B. A. F. Wehrfritz*: Lectures around Complete Local Rings. *Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes*, London, 1979. [MR](#)
- [9] *B. A. F. Wehrfritz*: Group and Ring Theoretic Properties of Polycyclic Groups. *Algebra and Applications* *10*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Author’s address: Bertram A. F. Wehrfritz, School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, England, e-mail: b.a.f.wehrfritz@qmul.ac.uk.